IGT UNC 26-11 Modification Workstream Meeting

Draft Minutes

Thursday 12th November 2026 via teleconference

Attendee	Initial	Organisation	Notes
Anne Jackson	AJ	Talan	Chair
Alice Pigott	AP	Ofgem	Present for item 1
Ben Mitchell	BMi	Xoserve	
Ben Mulcahy	BMu	Joint Office	Present for item 1
Cher Harris	CH	Indigo Pipelines	
Helen Gilbert	HG	NESO	
Nadir Hafeez	NH	Ofgem	
Richard Welsby	RW	Last Mile Gas	
Stuart Monk	SM	Xoserve	Present for item 1
Talia Lattimore	TL	Talan	Code Administrator
Himanshu Barot	HB	Talan	Secretariat

1. Welcome and Apologies for Absence

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting. There were apologies received from Aleksis Butler (AB) (BUUK) and Oorlagh Chapman (Centrica).

The Chair confirmed that no Shipper representatives were present and that there was insufficient attendance to support the planned discussion on RG008 – IGT UNC Code Review in preparation for Code Manager and Code Consolidation, item 5 on the agenda. Members agreed that the meeting would continue without item 5 and due to low IGTUNC Party attendance and the absence of a Shipper, item 5 on RG008 would be deferred and discussed at the next Workstream meeting.

2. Confirmation of Agenda

The Chair confirmed the agenda and requested any items of AOB.

TL added Item 11, Fulcrum Pipelines to ES Pipelines Asset Transfer, and Item 12, Independent Gas Transporter (IGT) Consultation on New Priority Consumer Category D – Community Heating. HG added Item 13, National Energy System Operator (NESO) Engagement on Disconnection Data. The Chair added Item 14, UNC 0925 Review Group - IGT Meter Asset Data Flows.

3. Approval of the Previous Minutes 26-10

TL noted that no comments on the minutes of the 26-10 meeting had been raised ahead of the meeting. The Workgroup had no comments to add to the minutes, which were consequently approved as a true and accurate record of the meeting.

4. Outstanding Actions

The Chair noted that there were three outstanding actions for consideration:

26/10 – 01: Aleksis Butler to raise the following question at the IGTAD meeting on 21st October 2026: "Can the Large Transporters confirm whether the concept of Firm and Interruptible CSEPs still exists and, if so, how the associated arrangements operate?" TL provided an update on behalf of AB. The question had been raised at the Independent Gas Transporters Arrangements Document (IGTAD) meeting, but attendees had been unable to confirm whether Firm / Interruptible Connected System Exit Point (CSEPs) existed or remained in use. TL said the remaining ambiguity could be raised with Ofgem through future Code Reform and Gas Network Code (GNC) discussions. The update was noted. **Action closed.**

26/10– 02: Ahead of the next Modification Workstream meeting on 12th November 2026, RG008 Members to consider Parts N and P in support of the Phase One identification and logging IGT-specific provisions. This action was deferred because the RG008 discussion did not proceed. **Action carried forward to the December Workstream meeting.**

26/10– 03: Ahead of a future meeting of the Workstream, BMi to provide a summary of work being undertaken within Xoserve regarding future changes to mandatory electronic invoice requirements. BMi provided an update on Xoserve's work on the Government's proposed mandatory electronic invoicing requirements indicating that they remain at an early stage, with preparatory analysis underway. Detailed requirements from the Government agency are expected in late 2027 or early 2028, and Xoserve intended to engage with Government to seek further information as early as possible.

TL noted that IGT invoicing was not currently undertaken by the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP) in the same way as other Distribution Networks and that the impact of electronic invoicing on IGT arrangements would therefore require further consideration. TL and BMi agreed to discuss the existing IGT invoicing provisions and supporting documentation separately. TL also noted that, given the anticipated timescales and future gas Code arrangements, any required changes might ultimately need to be considered under the new Code.

CH commented that IGTs were likely to wish to retain responsibility for their own invoicing. BMi acknowledged this and confirmed that any change affecting IGT invoicing arrangements would be driven by IGT requirements.

The Chair raised concern that the proposed timeframe for publication of the detailed requirements could leave insufficient time for coordinated industry implementation. BMi agreed that the timescales appeared challenging and confirmed that Xoserve intended to engage with Government to seek earlier clarity. The update was noted. **Action closed.**

MODIFICATIONS & REVIEW

5. RG008 – IGT UNC Code Review in preparation for Code Manager and Code Consolidation

Deferred to the December Workstream meeting.

6. Re-Prioritisation of IGT UNC Modifications against the second Strategic Direction Statement

TL presented the first six-monthly review of the Prioritisation Categories for all live IGT UNC Modifications, noting the recent publication of Ofgem's second preliminary Strategic Direction Statement (SDS2). It was explained that Fast Track Self-Governance Modifications and Reviews were outside the prioritisation process and that only IGT183 - Maintenance criteria for Emergency Contact data held in Central Systems and CSL01 - Exclusion of Standalone Networks from IGT UNC fell within the review.

TL reminded the Workgroup of the criteria areas and when a Modification would be seen as High Priority rather than Standard. TL advised that IGT183 has been approved and is awaiting implementation. They confirmed that they reviewed the Modification against the Prioritisation Criteria areas and determined that it should still be treated as Standard, as it did not have a high impact on any of the criteria. The Workstream agreed with this view and that IGT183 should remain as a Standard Priority Modification.

TL advised that CSL01 remains with the Authority for decision. TL reminded the Workgroup that this is an Individual Network Code (INC) Modification. They confirmed that they reviewed the Modification against the Prioritisation Criteria areas and determined that it should still be treated as Standard, as it did not have a high impact on any of the criteria. The Workstream agreed with this view and that CSL01 should remain as a Standard Priority Modification.

No further views were raised. Members noted that the prioritisation remained subject to determination by the IGT UNC Panel. TL confirmed that reviews would continue to be conducted on a six-monthly cycle in May and November so that all eligible live Modifications were reconsidered and none were missed.

STANDING ITEMS

7. Cross Code Modification Implications Tracker

TL provided the Workgroup with updates on in-flight UNC modifications:

Watch List

- **0949 - Reduction of Minimum Eligible Amount of Capacity** This new Self-Governance Modification proposed reducing the minimum eligible amount for National Transmission System (NTS) Entry and Exit Capacity for short-term products from 100,000 kWh/day to 1 kWh/day. The change was intended to remove a potential barrier for smaller projects. Although the proposal related to the NTS, TL would review it in detail because the IGT UNC now contained provisions relevant to direct NTS connections and alignment would need to be monitored.

- **0948 – Housekeeping: Correction to General Terms Section D.** TL explained that the UNC Panel had approved the Fast Track Self-Governance Modification for implementation on 6th November 2026 and it had since been implemented. Consequential corrections were required to IGT UNC Part N, clauses 1.3.3 and 1.3.4. TL advised that these were likely to be included in the next RG008 housekeeping Modification.

- **0940 – Updating the provision of Non-Daily Metered Sample Data -** The Modification sought to amend the obligation on Shippers to provide sufficient Non-Daily Metered (NDM) Industrial and Commercial (I&C) sample data to the CDSP in support of its demand modelling obligations. The Workgroup Report date had been extended to 21 January 2027. TL noted that the Modification amended provisions of the UNC that were directly referenced by the IGT UNC and could therefore have unintended impacts. TL would review the Legal Text to identify any potential implications for IGTs and continue to monitor the Modification.

- **0934 - Clarification of Panel Member Attendance Requirements.** The Modification had been approved, with implementation to be confirmed. No direct IGT UNC impact had been identified, although the proposal continued to be monitored for any relevant precedent for future consolidated governance.

- **0933 - Amendment to the designation arrangements outlined within TPD B4.7.16-B4.7.21 to include a designate as 'Daily Metered' category.** The Report to Panel was scheduled for 17th December 2026. No direct IGT UNC drafting impact had been identified, although changes in areas cross-referenced by the IGT UNC would apply automatically.

- **0932 - Introduction of a Rolling Annual Quantity backstop for Class 1 and 2 Supply Meter Points.** The Modification had been withdrawn on 1st October 2026 and would be removed from the tracker.

- **0931 - Introduction of an Escalation process for Transportation invoice payment.** The Report to Panel had moved to 19th November 2026. BMi advised that the legal text was still

being revised, which had contributed to the extension. TL would review the revised legal text when it was published to confirm the effect on the IGT UNC.

IGT UNC Equivalent Modifications

- **0922 - CDSP Obligations required for the introduction of the Gas Shipper Obligation.** The Workstream was advised that the Government was no longer taking forward the Gas Shipper Obligation (GSO). UNC 0922 and the equivalent IGT UNC Modification IGT180 had therefore been withdrawn and would be removed from the tracker.

- **0944 - Proposal to remove obsolete clauses from TPD Section V 5.10 and Annex V-2, and V 5.11 and Annex V-3 of the UNC.** A UNC Panel decision was expected on 19th November 2026. The proposal required consequential updates to annex references in IGT UNC Part K. The Code Administrator was working with an IGT on the required change and if no separate Modification was raised, the matter would be considered through RG008 in December for possible inclusion in the next housekeeping Modification. Members noted that, if the UNC change was implemented after the appeal window, the IGT UNC could temporarily be out of alignment.

- **0926 - Maintenance criteria for Emergency Contact data held in Central Systems.** Both the UNC Modification and the IGT UNC equivalent Modification, IGT183 have been approved and are awaiting implementation. The implementation dates for both Modifications will be aligned with the required system changes.

8. IGT UNC Known Issues Register

TL advised the Workgroup that there were no new updates to the Known Issues Register.

9. IGT UNC Horizon Scanner

TL advised that the Horizon Scanner continued to focus principally on Energy Code Reform and related Ofgem publications. Links had been added or updated to reflect recently published material, including the transitional measures and pre-consolidation code changes, the second preliminary Strategic Direction Statement and Energy Code Reform phase one publications. TL noted that references to the GSO, including related Modifications and timeline entries, would be removed. Members were invited to identify any additional industry developments that should be captured in the Horizon Scanner.

The Chair noted that requirements being introduced for the awarding of the first Code Manager licences would likely inform the requirements subsequently applied to the Gas Code and its Manager. They encouraged Members to consider whether emerging requirements and cross-code working

arrangements would operate effectively in the gas environment and to identify any potential issues at an early stage. The Chair noted that, although the gas Codes did not currently have the same Licence requirements, they were already participating in Cross-Code discussions and similar requirements were expected to apply in future. The Chair confirmed that they had no concerns at that stage but encouraged Members to consider the potential implications as the arrangements developed.

AOB

The Chair asked the Workgroup to raise any other items for consideration.

10. November 2026 Release

TL confirmed that the November 2026 Release had been implemented on 6th November 2026. The release included the implementation of one IGT UNC Modification:

- IGT184 – RG008 Housekeeping: Parts CI-CV, L, O, and IGT UNC Derogations Guidance

TL advised that IGT184 was a Fast Track Self-Governance Housekeeping Modification that was raised following the work undertaken by the RG008 Review Group. The first implemented output from RG008. IGT184 made housekeeping changes across Parts CI-CV, L and O and the IGT UNC Derogations Guidance Document.

TL said that she and AB were preparing the next RG008 housekeeping Modification. Following the December Workstream meeting, the intention was to raise the proposal and commence the Fast Track Objection Window ahead of the January 2027 Panel meeting.

11. Fulcrum Pipelines to ES Pipelines Asset Transfer

TL advised that a further transfer of assets from Fulcrum Pipelines to ES Pipelines was planned for 1st December 2026. The email received from Fulcrum stated that the final list of Meter Point Reference Numbers (MPRNs) would be provided on 20th November 2026 and that no further transfers were then planned. TL intended to publish the updated MPRN list by close of business on 23rd November 2026, in advance of the transfer. The existing list would remain available until the updated list was published.

12. Independent Gas Transporter (IGT) Consultation on New Priority Consumer Category D – Community Heating

TL advised that an industry consultation reminder had been issued on 12th November 2026, in relation to proposed updates to consumer categories. The consultation was not an IGT UNC consultation and was primarily relevant to Shippers. A related consultation had also been issued through the Joint Office on behalf of the Gas Distribution Networks (GDNs). The Chair asked whether Shippers should respond to both consultations or whether responding to one would be sufficient. TL advised that Shippers should ideally respond to both consultations, noting that the parties had separate Licence obligations to consult on new consumer categories. TL added that a pragmatic approach may be taken as the information

provided in response to each consultation was likely to be similar. However, an absence of responses could be interpreted as indicating that respondents had no concerns with the proposed categories. Members were asked to encourage relevant Shipper contacts to respond to both consultations.

13. National Energy System Operator (NESO) Engagement on Disconnection Data

HG advised that, in October 2026, the Office of Gas and Electricity Markets (Ofgem) had published its final report on the Disconnections review it had been running since August 2025. As part of that report, Ofgem recommended that the National Energy System Operator (NESO) should begin to seek disconnection data from GDNs, IGTs and, where possible, Utility Infrastructure Providers (UIPs), and incorporate that information into future system modelling. NESO wished to increase its general engagement with IGTs and Parties, with contacts relevant to the disconnection discussions and who would welcome follow-up from NESO colleagues, were asked to send the relevant details to box.Gas.Market.Strategy@neso.energy . HG confirmed that the mailbox was monitored regularly by the Gas Market Strategy team.

14. UNC 0925 Review Group - IGT Meter Asset Data Flows

The Chair updated the Workstream on an issue being considered through the review UNC0925R Improving Smart / Automated Meter Reading Acceptance Levels for use in Settlement. Anecdotal evidence indicated that, where an IGT acted as both Meter Asset Manager (MAM) and Gas Transporter, meter asset data sent through the Supplier and Shipper chain to the CDSP did not always return to the IGT in the same form or did not complete the full information flow. The Review Group was seeking to understand whether this could impact meter readings reaching Xoserve systems and where any breakdown or alteration in the asset data flow occurred.

The Chair asked IGTs if they might assist and support by providing practical examples or data that could move the discussion beyond anecdotal evidence and support the UNC 0925 Review Group.
CH said this was a long-standing issue. Although Indigo Pipelines now had a relatively small metering portfolio, its experience was that meter details could be sent but not complete the return journey to the IGT. CH considered that Brookfield was also likely to hold relevant evidence and said Indigo Pipelines might be able to provide examples.

Post-Meeting Note: The Chair subsequently clarified that the anecdotal evidence referred to above had been received through REC Issue I0196, *Review of Metering Asset Data Transferred from MAMs to the CDSP*.

26/11- 01: IGTs requested to support the UNC 0925 Review Group, by providing evidence or examples of issues with Meter Asset Data communications to the Code Administrator.

The Chair thanked Members for their attendance and closed the meeting.

The next Workgroup meeting is scheduled for Thursday 10th December 2026.

DRAFT

Annex 1 – Actions Table

Reference	Action	Owner	Status
26/10 - 01	***Aleksis Butler to raise the following question at the IGTAD meeting on 21st October 2026: "Can the Large Transporters confirm whether the concept of Firm and Interruptible CSEPs still exists and, if so, how the associated arrangements operate?"***	***Aleksis Butler***	***Closed***
26/10 – 02	**Ahead of the next Modification Workstream meeting on 12th November 2026, RG008 Members to consider Parts N and P in support of the Phase One identification and logging IGT-specific provisions.**	**All**	**Carried forward to December Workstream meeting**
26/10 - 03	***Ahead of a future meeting of the Workstream, BMi to provide a summary of work being undertaken within Xoserve regarding future changes to mandatory electronic invoice requirements.***	***Ben Mitchell***	***Closed***
26/11-01	**IGTs requested to support the UNC 0925 Review Group, by providing evidence or examples of issues with Meter Asset Data communications, to the Code Administrator**	**IGTs**	**Open**

DRAFT