

Consultation Response

IGT183: Maintenance criteria for Emergency Contact data held in Central Systems

Responses invited by: 22nd September 2026

Respondent Details

Name: Aleksis Butler

Organisation: BUUK

Support Implementation ☒

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We support the implementation of IGT183. The Modification will create increased clarity in the IGT UNC for the maintenance of Consumer emergency contact details, which will in turn benefit Pipeline Operators and Large Transporters in accessing said data in a gas emergency.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

We agree with the proposer and believe this Modification should proceed as Self-Governance.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

No new or additional issues that should be considered.

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We agree that the Modification furthers *Relevant Objective (F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code*, as it will ensure consistent treatment of Consumer emergency contact data across the IGT UNC considering the changes being made in the UNC via UNC0926.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

We note that *UNC0926S – Maintenance criteria for Emergency Contact data held in Central Systems* does have costs associated with its implementation via the Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) and XRN6079 raised. At present the costs are limited to Shippers, and GDNs, however, there is suggestion that given *"IGTs and NGT are also impacted parties, further discussion at DSC ChMc on this split may be beneficial"*.¹ Thus, there could be a cost to IGTs, but not associated with IGT183, but rather UNC0926S, which IGT183 looks to align with.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

We agree with the proposer's note that given this Modification will have Central System impacts, the implementation effective date of this Modification will be determined by the DSC Change Management Committee. We have no issues with this approach being taken.

¹ [XRN 6079 | Xoserve](#) – Change Proposal, Funding Comments

Legal Text**Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?**

We believe the legal text as drafted will deliver the intention of the Modification.

Further Comments**Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?**

Xoserve noted at the IGT UNC workstream on 14th May 2026², that "*Interruptible Supply Points still exist as a mechanism within the framework, but none are currently contracted, and historically none have been used for IGTs.*" This is helpful to understand when viewing the changes, that even though Interruptible Supply Points are not in use, they are still involved in Code, and also require necessary attention which IGT183 looks to address.

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@taln.com

² [26-05-Final-Workstream-Minutes-v1.0.pdf](#) – Agenda Item 6, Page 7