

Final Modification Report	At what stage is this document in the process?
IGT179: NExA Tables Clarification Update and Related Documents	01 Modification 02 Workgroup Report 03 Draft Modification Report 04 Final Modification Report
Purpose of Modification: This Modification looks to provide an update to the NExA tables, and some of the language used around the AQ Review process to provide greater clarity. This will be achieved by adding relevant dates to the NExA tables to provide time periods for which they are relevant, with further clarity added for when the document is 'live' or 'proposed'.	
	Panel consideration is due on 31st October 2025. The Panel recommends [by majority / unanimously] that this Authority Determined Modification Proposal should be implemented.
	Panel consideration is due on 31st October 2025. The Panel recommends [by majority / unanimously] that this Authority Determined Modification Proposal should not be implemented.
	High Impact: None
	Medium Impact: None
	Low Impact: IGT UNC, IGTs, Suppliers.

Contents

1 Summary	3
2 Governance	3
3 Why Change?	4
4 Code Specific Matters	4
5 Solution	5
6 Impacts & Other Considerations	5
7 Relevant Objectives	7
8 Implementation	8
9 Legal Text	9
10 Consultation	9
11 Panel Discussions and Determinations	13

Timeline

Modification timetable:

Modification Raised	14 th August 2025
Initial consideration by Workgroup	11 th September 2025
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	26 th September 2025
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	29 th September 2025
Consultation Close-out for representations	20 th October 2025
Final Modification Report available for Panel	24 th October 2025
Modification Panel decision	31 st October 2025



Any questions?

Contact:

Code Administrator



IGTUNC@talan.com



02070901044

Proposer:

Aleksis Butler



alexis.butler@bu-uk.co.uk

1 Summary

What

This Modification proposes to make changes to the naming conventions of the tables and processes used in the Annual Quantity (AQ) Review Process.

Why

The AQ Review values implemented annually into the IGT UNC in February have always been correct.

However, a recent review of the supporting information provided to the Workstream and Panel as part of the AQ Review process has led to the discovery that some of the supporting information was not correct and data from the wrong time period had been used for comparison.

The issue was caused by poor naming conventions within the process, and the Modification seeks to address this weakness.

How

This Modification proposes to rename the Connection System Exit Point (CSEP) Network Exit Agreement (NExA) AQ Value tables to provide the year they are relevant to i.e. the AQ Review undertaken in May 2025 will be implemented and take effect from February 2026 till February 2027. The Modification would update the IGT Name section of the NExA AQ Values table to highlight this to be the case.

To ensure clarity is achieved across the board, the Modification also proposes for the 'new' upcoming values, to include the relevant date, for example "[February 2026 – February 2027] Proposed Values", until they have been approved. This will clearly show that they are the figures being proposed.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance procedures

The Modification meets the requirements for Self-Governance, and there are no changes of process for, nor additional governance on, any IGT or Shipper parties.

It will have no material effect on:

- existing or future gas consumers;
- competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes;
- the operation of one or more pipe-line system(s);
- matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies; or
- the Uniform Network Code governance procedures or the network Code Modification procedures; and is unlikely to discriminate between different classes of parties to the uniform network Code/relevant gas Transporters, gas Shippers or DN operators.

Proposer's requested next steps

This Modification should:

- be subject to self-governance procedures;
- proceed to one work group; and
- proceed to consultation.

It would be preferable to have this Modification in place ahead of the next AQ Review Process that takes place in May. This will confirm that a smooth transition is in place for updated documents / templates / names are present from there on, providing clarity that was at present missing.

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Workgroup considered the Proposer's justification for Self-Governance. The Code Administrator provided the Workgroup with additional context, advising that the AQ review process currently run by the Independent Networks Association (INA) was not changing but rather the templates used when sending data back to the IGT UNC.

The Workgroup agreed unanimously that IGT179 should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification Proposal.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that IGT179 should continue be treated as a Self-Governance Modification Proposal.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed [unanimously/by majority] that IGT179 [should continue be treated as an Authority Direction Modification Proposal].

3 Why Change?

If the changes are not made the issues identified might reoccur in the process or in the interpretation of the data and the consequences could have the same or greater impact.

4 Code Specific Matters

Technical skillsets

General knowledge of the AQ Review Process would be beneficial but not necessarily needed to understand the Modification being proposed.

Reference documents

[CSEP NExA Tables Document](#)

[IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document](#)

[NExA Table Reporting Template C2](#)

[AQ Review Process - IGT UNC](#)

5 Solution

NExA Table Reporting Template C2:

The NExA Table Reporting Template C2 is the template that collates the results of each IGT AQ review to create the Proposed CSEP NExA Table. This template is currently available via the IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document, located in the IGT UNC Ancillary Documents.

It is proposed that this document is updated to reflect the dates of when the table is 'proposed', and 'live'. This proposed document can be accessed via the [Modification page](#).

IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document:

Relevant legal text within the IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document will be amended to reflect the updated templates. The proposed solution includes:

- Updating the hyperlink name and link itself, for the templates.
- Detailed explanations, with reference images of the NExA AQ tables from the templates.

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Proposer provided the Workgroup with an overview of the Modification. The Code Administrator and the Proposer took the Workgroup through the proposed legal text changes to provide further clarification on the intent of the Modification.

The Workgroup agreed unanimously that the solution delivers the intent of the Modification and that no further work is required.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that no further work was required on the solution.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed **[unanimously/by majority]** that **[no further work was required on the solution]**.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this Modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

This Modification will not impact a SCR, nor will it impact a significant industry change project.

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Workgroup considered the Proposer's views on impacts to SCRs and other significant industry change projects.

The Workgroup agreed unanimously that IGT179 will not have an impact on an SCR or other significant industry change project.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that no further work was required with regards to this matter.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed [unanimously/by majority] that [IGT179 will not impact an SCR or any other significant industry change project].

Consumer impacts

What is the current consumer experience?

Not applicable

What would the new consumer experience be?

No impact

Impact of the change on Consumer Benefit Areas

Area	Identified Impact
Improved safety and reliability	None
Lower bills than would otherwise be the case	None
Reduced environmental damage	None
Improved quality of service	None
Benefits for society as a whole	None

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Workgroup considered the Proposer's view that there was no consumer impacts associated with this Modification Proposal.

The Workgroup agreed unanimously that IGT179 will not have an impact on consumers.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that no further work was required with regards to this matter.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed [unanimously/by majority] that [there was no further work required with regards to this matter].

Cross-Code impacts

UNC	☐
REC	☐
Other	☐
None	☒

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Workgroup considered the Proposer's view that there are no cross-Code impacts. The Code Administrator noted that the AQ Review process is a strictly IGT UNC process, and that there are no other Codes involved.

The Workgroup agreed unanimously that IGT179 will not have any cross-Code impacts.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that no further work was required with regards to this matter.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed [unanimously/by majority] that [there was no further work required with regards to this matter].

Environmental impacts

No expected environmental impact.

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Workgroup noted the Proposer's view that there are no environmental impacts because of this Modification.

The Workgroup agreed unanimously that IGT179 will not result in any environmental impacts.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that no further work was required with regards to this matter.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed [unanimously/by majority] that [there was no further work required with regards to this matter].

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the Modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(A) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system	None
(B) Co-ordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system; and/or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	None
(C) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations	None
(D) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation	None

agreements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers	
(E) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	None
(F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Positive
(G) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators	None

Proposer's initial views

Objective (F): Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

This Modification could be viewed as a housekeeping change, it looks to update text surrounding the NExA tables, and AQ Review process to provide greater clarity. With this in place, it should promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of Code as comprehension of the process and tables is made easier to understand.

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Workgroup considered the Proposer's views regarding positive impacts on Relevant Objective (F).

The Workgroup agreed unanimously that IGT179 will have a positive impact on Relevant Objective (F), in line with the Proposer's views as set out above.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that no further work was required with regards to this matter.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed [unanimously/by majority] that [IGT179 will have a positive impact on Relevant Objective X.....].

8 Implementation

Implementation approach

The Proposer and the Workgroup recommend the following implementation approach:

- IGT179 is implemented as soon as possible and as part of the next available IGT UNC Release.

Proposer's initial recommendation

The Proposer recommended that the Modification is implemented as soon as possible, this will help establish added clarity with the AQ Review Process, and NExA AQ Tables. This would be for the November release, or the February release at the latest.

As referenced earlier, it would be preferable to have this Modification in place ahead of the next AQ Review Process that takes place in May of each year. This will confirm that a smooth transition is in place

for updated documents / templates / names are present from there on, providing clarity that is at present missing.

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Workgroup considered the Proposer's initial views on implementation as well as the Code Administrator's suggested implementation approach.

The Code Administrator advised that, due to the 15 Working Day Appeal Window following Panel decision, this Modification would not be able to be included in the November 2025 Release. They confirmed that should the Modification be approved, the next available IGT UNC Release would be the February 2026 Release. The Workgroup noted this.

The Workgroup agreed unanimously with the above implementation approach.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that no further work was required with regards to this matter.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed [unanimously/by majority] with [the proposed implementation approach].

9 Legal Text

Text Commentary

The legal text under IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document, 1. Reporting will be updated to reflect the new template.

Suggested Text

For the suggested legal text for the Modification, please refer to the suggested text document on the [Modification webpage](#).

Workgroup discussions and conclusions (September 2025)

The Workgroup reviewed the Proposer's draft legal text changes.

The Workgroup agreed unanimously that the draft legal text delivers the intent of the solution.

Initial Panel views (September 2025)

The Panel agreed unanimously that no further work was required with regards to this matter.

Panel decision (October 2025)

The Panel, having considered all consultation responses, agreed [unanimously/by majority] that [the draft legal text changes deliver the intent of the solution.]

10 Consultation

Panel invited representations from interested parties by 20th October 2025. The summaries in the following table are provided for reference on a reasonable endeavours basis only. We recommend that all representations are read in full when considering this Report. Representations are published alongside

this Final Modification Report.

Representations were received from the following parties:

Organisation	Response	Relevant Objectives	Key Points
BUUK	Support	F - positive	• Believes IGT179 provides the necessary clarity that was currently missing from the NExA tables and relevant documents related to the AQ review process. This will aid users and undertakes of the NExA tables and AQ review process to have a stronger comprehension of the relevancy of the tables, and the dates they are associated to. • Agree the Modification meets Self-Governance criteria. • Agree the Modification will have a positive impact on Objective (F). • No development or ongoing costs/impacts identified. • Agree with the implementation approach. • Agree that the legal text delivers the intent of the Modification. • No new issues identified.
NESO	Support	F - positive	• Supports the Modification as it aims to correct inaccurate supporting information and ensure the use of appropriately dated data for the AQ Review Process, without altering the AQ Process itself. Consequently, this will provide explicit date ranges and status indications for these tables moving forward. • Agree the Modification meets Self-Governance criteria. • Agree the Modification will have a positive impact on Objective (F). • No comment on development or ongoing costs/impacts. • No comments with regards to the implementation approach. • Agree that the legal text delivers the intent of the Modification. • No new issues identified.
Indigo	Support	F - positive	• Agree with the Proposer that the current document text can be misleading and confusing. The proposed amendments will add clarity and make the documents fit for purpose.

			• Agree the Modification meets Self-Governance criteria. • Agree the Modification will have a positive impact on Objective (F). • No development or ongoing costs/impacts identified. • Agree with the implementation approach. • Agree that the legal text delivers the intent of the Modification. • No new issues identified.
Centrica	Support	F - positive	• Believe that updating the language and clearly defining the relevant dates to the NExA tables will certainly provide greater clarity around the AQ review process, which they consider to be a positive proactive step. • Agree the Modification meets Self-Governance criteria. • Agree the Modification will have a positive impact on Objective (F). • No comment on ongoing costs/impacts. • Believe the Modification should be implemented ahead of the next NExA AQ review (2026). • Agree that the legal text delivers the intent of the Modification. • No new issues identified.

Summary of responses

There was a total of four responses received to the consultation; two were IGTs, one was from a Shipper and one was from NESO.

The Code Administrator recommends that all consultation responses are looked at individually. All response to the IGT179 consultation can be found [here](#).

Governance

All respondents agreed that IGT179 meets the Self-Governance Criteria.

Support for implementation

All respondents supported the implementation of the Modification. A summary of rationale is set out below, with full details included in their individual response:

- The Modification provides clarity that was missing from the NExA tables and relevant documents related to the AQ review process.
- Extra clarity will ensure that those involved in the AQ review process will have a stronger comprehension of relevancy of tables and dates.
- Corrects inaccurate supporting information, ensuring the use of appropriately dated data without altering the process itself.

- Agree the current document text can be misleading and confusing that the clarity provided by the amendments makes the documents fit for purpose, considered to be a positive proactive step.

Relevant Objectives

Summary of views against Objectives				
Obj.	Positive	Negative	Neutral	Overall View
A	-	-	-	-
B	-	-	-	-
C	-	-	-	-
D	-	-	-	-
E	-	-	-	-
F	4	-	-	Unanimous view is positive
G	-	-	-	-

A summary of respondent's views against the Objectives is below. We encourage readers to review all rationale provided in individual consultation responses.

- **Objective F**
 - **Positive:** Updates to the NExA tables and AQ review process documents promotes greater clarity, comprehension of the process and table is made easier to understand, facilitates a more efficient process and ensures users fully comprehend the data they are reviewing.

Legal text

All respondents agreed that the legal text delivers the intent of the Modification.

Implementation approach

Three respondents (IGTs and Shipper) agreed with the proposed implementation approach. One respondent didn't have any comments on implementation as they are not an impacted party.

Impacts and costs

Three respondents (IGTs and Shipper) indicated that there would be no direct costs associated with the implementation of this Modification and no new impacts identified. One respondent didn't have any comments on impacts and costs as they are not an impacted party.

Panel discussions and conclusions (October 2025)

Insert text here

The Panel considered all consultation responses and agreed [unanimously/by majority] that [no further work was required by the Workgroup and no new issues had been raised]

11 Panel Determinations

Panel Determinations

The Panel considered the IGT179 FMR and unanimously/by majority agreed:

- that IGT179 [does / does] not have an impact on any SCRs;
- that IGT179 is [a Self-Governance Modification / a Fast Track Self-Governance Modification / an Authority Decision] Modification;
- that Impacts and costs set out in the report are accurate and appropriate, [and they had nothing new to add];
- that IGT179 will have a positive impact on Objectives [insert objectives];
- [with the proposed implementation approach]; or [with the revised implementation approach]
- that the IGT179 solution delivers the intent of the Modification; and
- that the Legal Drafting delivers the intention of the solution.

The Panel unanimously agreed / by majority agreed that:

- that IGT179 should/should not be implemented

Further discussion with regards to Panel decisions can be found in the relevant sections of this FMR.