

Consultation Response

IGT179: NExA Tables Clarification Update and Related Documents

Responses invited by: 20 Oct 2025

Respondent Details

Name: Bradley Wood

Organisation: Centrica

Support Implementation

☒

Qualified Support

☐

Neutral

☐

Do Not Support

☐

IGT0xx

Consultation Response

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 4

© 2025 all rights reserved

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

Updating the language and clearly defining the relevant dates to the NExA tables will certainly provide greater clarity around the AQ review process, which we consider to be a positive proactive step.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

We agree this should be self-governance

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

n/a

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We agree with objective F as it promotes efficiency within code administration

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

n/a

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

Ahead of the next NExA AQ review (2026)

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

n/a

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com