

Modification		At what stage is this document in the process?
IGT179: NExA Tables Clarification Update and Related Documents		01Modification 02Workgroup Report 03Draft Modification Report 04Final Modification Report
Purpose of Modification: This Modification looks to provide an update to the NExA tables, and some of the language used around the AQ Review process to provide greater clarity. This will be achieved by adding relevant dates to the NExA tables to provide time periods for which they are relevant, with further clarity added for when the document is ‘live’ or ‘proposed’.		
	The Proposer recommends that this Modification should be: - subject to self-governance procedures - proceed to one work group - proceed to consultation This Modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 29 August 2025. The Panel will consider the Proposer’s recommendation and determine the appropriate route.	
Impacted Parties and Codes		
	High Impact: None	
	Medium Impact: None	
	Low Impact: IGT UNC, IGTs, Suppliers.	

Contents

1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	4
4	Code Specific Matters	5
5	Solution	5
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	5
7	Relevant Objectives	6
8	Implementation	7
9	Legal Text	7
10	Recommendations	7

Timetable

Please provide proposer contacts and an indicative timeline. The Code Administrator will update the contents and provide any additional Specific Code Contacts.

The Proposer recommends the following timetable:

Initial consideration by Workgroup	11 September 2025
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	dd month year
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	26 September 2025
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	29 September 2025
Consultation Close-out for representations	20 October 2025
Variation Request presented to Panel	dd month year
Final Modification Report available for Panel	24 October 2025
Modification Panel decision	31 October 2025



Any questions?

Contact:

Code Administrator



IGTUNC@talan.com



02070901044

Proposer:

Aleksis Butler



alexis.butler@bu-uk.co.uk

1 Summary

What

This modification proposes to make changes to the naming conventions of the tables and processes used in the AQ Review Process.

Why

The AQ Review values implemented annually into the IGT UNC in February have always been correct.

However, a recent review of the supporting information provided to the Workstream and Panel as part of the AQ Review process has led to the discovery that some of the supporting information was not correct and data from the wrong time period had been used for comparison.

The issue was caused by poor naming conventions within the process and the modification seeks to address this weakness.

How

This Modification proposes to rename the CSEP NExA AQ Value tables to provide the year they are relevant to i.e. the AQ Review undertaken in May 2025 will be implemented and take effect from February 2026 till February 2027. The Modification would update the IGT Name section of the NExA AQ Values table to highlight this to be the case.

To ensure clarity is achieved across the board, the Modification also proposes for the 'new' upcoming values, to include the relevant date, for example "[February 2026 – February 2027] Proposed Values", until they have been approved. This will clearly show that they are the figures being proposed.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance Procedures

The Modification meets the requirements for Self-Governance, and there are no changes of process for, nor additional governance on, any IGT or Shipper parties

It will have no material effect on:

- (aa) existing or future gas consumers;
- (bb) competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes;
- (cc) the operation of one or more pipe-line system(s);
- (dd) matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies;
- (ee) the uniform network code governance procedures or the network code modification procedures; and is unlikely to discriminate between different classes of parties to the uniform network code/relevant gas transporters, gas shippers or DN operators.

Requested Next Steps

This Modification should:

- be subject to self-governance procedures
- proceed to one work group
- proceed to consultation

It would be preferable to have this Modification in place ahead of the next AQ Review Process that takes place in May. This will confirm that a smooth transition is in place for updated documents / templates / names are present from there on, providing clarity that was at present missing.

3 Why Change?

If the changes are not made the issues identified might reoccur in the process or in the interpretation of the data and the consequences could have the same or greater impact.

4 Code Specific Matters

Technical Skillsets

General knowledge of the AQ Review Process would be beneficial, but not necessarily needed to understand the Modification being proposed.

Reference Documents

[CSEP NExA Tables Document](#)

[IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document](#)

[NExA Table Reporting Template C2](#)

[AQ Review Process - IGT UNC](#)

5 Solution

NExA Table Reporting Template C2:

The NExA Table Reporting Template C2 is the template that collates the results of each IGT AQ review to create the Proposed CSEP NExA Table. This template is currently available via the IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document, located in the IGT UNC Ancillary Documents.

It is proposed that this document is updated to reflect the dates of when the table is 'proposed', and 'live'. This proposed document can be accessed via the [Modification page](#).

IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document:

Relevant legal text within the IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document will be amended to reflect the updated templates. The proposed solution includes:

- Updating the hyperlink name and link itself, for the templates.
- Detailed explanations, with reference images of the NExA AQ tables from the templates.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this Modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

This Modification will not impact a Significant Code Review (SCR), nor will it impact a significant industry change project.

Consumer Impacts

What is the current consumer experience?

Not applicable

What would the new consumer experience be?

No impact

Impact of the change on Consumer Benefit Areas

Area	Identified Impact
Improved safety and reliability	None
Lower bills than would otherwise be the case	None
Reduced environmental damage	None
Improved quality of service	None
Benefits for society as a whole	None

Cross-Code Impacts

UNC	☐
REC	☐
Other	☐
None	☒

Environmental Impacts

No expected environmental impact.

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the Modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(A) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system	None
(B) Co-ordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system; and/or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	None
(C) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations	None
(D) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation agreements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers	None

(E) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	None
(F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Positive
(G) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators	None

Objective (F): Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

This Modification could be viewed as a housekeeping change, it looks to update text surrounding the NExA tables, and AQ Review process to provide greater clarity. With this in place, it should promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of Code as comprehension of the process and tables is made easier to understand.

8 Implementation

We would recommend that the Modification is implemented as soon as possible, this will help establish added clarity with the AQ Review Process, and NExA AQ Tables. This would be for the November release, or the February release at the latest.

As referenced earlier, it would be preferable to have this Modification in place ahead of the next AQ Review Process that takes place in May of each year. This will confirm that a smooth transition is in place for updated documents / templates / names are present from there on, providing clarity that is at present missing.

9 Legal Text

Text Commentary

The legal text under IGT CSEP NExA Table Procedures Document, 1. Reporting will be updated to reflect the new template.

Suggested Text

For the suggested legal text for the Modification, please refer to the suggested text document on the [Modification webpage](#).

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Agree that self-governance procedures should apply
- Refer this proposal to one workgroup for assessment