

IGT UNC 25-07 Modification Workstream Meeting

Draft Minutes

Thursday 10th July 2025 via Teleconference

Attendee	Initial	Organisation	Notes
Ali Beard	ABE	Gemserv	Chair
Aleksis Butler	AB	BUUK	
Ben Mitchell	BM	Xoserve	
Bradley Wood	BW	Centrica	
Cher Harris	CH	Indigo Pipelines	
Ellie Osobina	EO	Ofgem	
Graeme Forbes	GF	Gemserv	
Helen Gilbert	HG	National Energy System Operator	
Jenny Rawlinson	JR	BUUK	
Josie Lewis	JL	Xoserve	
Katy Binch	KB	C&C	
Nick King	NK	CNG Services	
Shona Watt	SW	National Energy System Operator	
Talia Lattimore	TL	Gemserv	Code Administrator
Sophia Master	SM	Gemserv	Secretariat

1. Welcome and Apologies for Absence

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting. There were apologies received from Harry Firth and Anne Jackson. It was noted that Ali Beard would be standing in as Chair and Sophia Master would be standing in as Secretariat.

2. Confirmation of Agenda

The Chair confirmed the items for discussion as outlined in the Final Agenda and asked attendees for 'Any Other Business' (AOB) items, which there were none.

3. Approval of the Previous Minutes 25-06

TL informed the Workgroup that no comments were received for the draft 25-06 Modification Workstream meeting minutes prior to the meeting. The Workgroup had no comments to add to the minutes at the meeting, and they were approved as a true and accurate record of the meeting 25-06.

4. Outstanding Actions

TL informed the Workgroup that there were three outstanding actions:

25/06 – 01: IGTs to consider whether their organisation requires new MPRNs to be created when transferring Supply Points from DNOs to their own portfolio and feedback to Xoserve

The Chair reminded the present IGT representatives whether they needed to determine whether new MPRNs will need to be created when transferring Supply Points from DNOs to their own portfolio, and provide this feedback to Xoserve.

The Workgroup members provided ~~no comments~~ further comments under Item 10 - Process of Updating MPRNs when transferred from a DNO to an IGT and agreed to close the action.

Action closed.

25/06 – 02: IGT UNC parties to bring relevant experience to the July Workgroup to facilitate discussion on the current processes for updating MPRNs when being transferred from a DNO to an IGT

The Chair noted that several IGT representatives had attended the Workgroup to discuss Item 10 - Process of Updating MPRNs when transferred from a DNO to an IGT.

Action closed.

25/06 – 03: NK to reconsider capacity obligations on parties and amend legal text ahead of the July Workgroup if required.

NK informed the Workgroup that they had discussed the issue of capacity obligations on parties with Philip Lucas (National Gas Transmission) since it was raised in the June Workgroup meeting. They added that a revised version of the IGT176 legal text had been published ahead of the meeting.

Action closed.

MODIFICATIONS

5. IGT176 - Changes to IGT UNC to enable UNC0887 'Facilitating Bi-Directional Connections Between IGT Pipelines and the NTS'

TL provided an overview of the Modification. TL explained that IGT176 is an enabling Modification for UNC 0887 - Facilitating Bi-Directional Connections Between IGT Pipelines and the NTS. They added that NK had provided a revised version of the Modification legal text following feedback received at the June Workgroup meeting, and the aim was to complete the IGT176 Workgroup Report in this meeting.

Legal Text

NK provided an update on the changes to IGT UNC and explained the changes which have been made throughout the legal text. AB queried if it is essential to have 8.1 - Supply Point Registration in the legal text if they are all being removed. TL advised for the purpose of Section G4.1 through 4.2 it will remain in the legal text. TL asked the Proposer if they were able to remove the wording 'with the

following changes' if there are no other uses in the Code or legal text. NK agreed to these proposed changes.

NK shared that the changes to 23. Supply Point Registration – Non-CSS Supply Points, reflects the feedback from Xoserve. Paragraph G6 of TPD was excluded when IGT UNC went through the changes of the Retail Energy Code (REC). IGT UNC does not have the non-CSS site, therefore it is not required.

TL asked the Workgroup if they were happy that the legal text delivered the intent of the Modification. The Workgroup unanimously agreed that the legal text and solution delivered the intent of the Modification, and no further work is required.

Impacts and Other Considerations

TL noted that the Proposer had stated there were no impacts on a Significant Code Review for this Modification. AB queried if there is any Significant Code Review apart from Code Reform. There were no comments received regarding this.

TL asked the Workgroup to consider the environmental impacts of IGT176. TL noted that the Proposer cited a positive impact. The Workgroup agreed with this view.

AB noted that they agreed with the Proposers rationale regarding the consumer impacts and as well as the benefits reflected as a result of the proposed alignment to UNC0887, which this Modification is enabling. A National Energy System Operator (NESO) representative noted this allows for more flexibility in the system.

Cross-Code Impacts

TL made the Workgroup aware that a cross-code impact on the UNC had been identified. TL advised that this identified impact could be subject to change as IGT176 will not require any change under the UNC. There is no direct impact on UNC because of the Modification, however, there is a connection. JL suggested that the UNC box should be ticked to reflect the connection and dependencies between the two Modifications. The Workgroup agreed that there are no direct impacts on the UNC, however, there are dependencies with regards to implementation.

AB enquired if UNC0887 has been implemented. TL advised that this has not been implemented, and both of these Modifications are Authority Decision Modifications. They added that UNC 0887 has been deliberated by the UNC Panel, and they recommended to the Authority for approval. The Authority are aware they need to wait for the IGT Modification, so they will be able to look at the two together and make a decision at the same time.

Objectives

A NESO representative noted discussions under the UNC Modification around Biomethane still requiring the injection of propane, making this not entirely green. They added that they believed that there is a positive impact on the Objectives. NK advised their understanding is the propane is needed

where it goes into a distribution network as they have the calculation of thermal energy rags. The NESO representative noted that this invalidates their feedback and asked for the comment to be removed from the Workgroup Report.

The Workgroup unanimously agreed that IGT176 will have a positive impact on Objectives (A), (B) and (D).

Implementation

TL suggested to the Workgroup that this Modification be implemented at the same time as UNC0887. It was suggested this Modification should only be implemented if UNC0887 is implemented.

The Workgroup unanimously agreed the Legal Text delivers the intent of the solution and no further work is required.

AB queried if there would be a wait for the Authority's decision before proceeding with the Modification. TL advised the Authority prefer to have both Modifications at the same time before making a decision. EO agreed with this explanation.

Recommendation

The Workgroup recommends to Panel that:

- This Authority Direction Modification should proceed to industry consultation.

CODE BUSINESS

6. [AQ Review Table](#)

TL presented the draft IGT average NEXA AQ Value table to the Workgroup. No comments were received from the Workgroup. The Workgroup unanimously agreed to recommend the draft IGT average NEXA AQ Value table to the IGT UNC Modification Panel in July 2025. TL added that once it is ratified, the tables will be available on the IGT UNC website but will only be included in the February 2026 release.

The Workgroup had no comments or concerns about the values presented.

SW asked if there was an overview of the trends and differences in terms of the IGT AQs versus the standard ones. TL advised that Code Administrator do not provide the data, it is collated by the Independent Networks Association (INA). TL noted that the Code Administrator only consider the weighted average and total variation from the last couple of years. TL noted that the Code Administrator sense check what has been sent and if there was a significant change, they would first question the INA and also highlight it to Workgroup.

STANDING ITEMS

7. Cross Code Modification Implications Tracker

TL provided the Workgroup with the following updates on in-flight UNC modifications:

Watch List

- [0911 – Amendment to DSC to introduce Shipper Assurance Audit \(ISAE\)](#). This Modification proposes an amendment to the DSC to introduce a periodic Shipper Assurance Audit (International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE). There will be an impact on IGT parties, but not the IGT UNC Code. The Code Administrator recommended that this Modification is not tracked. The Workgroup agreed.
- [0910 – Amendment to DSC T&Cs to include a requirement to provide data incident contact details](#). This Modification proposes an amendment to the DSC Terms and Conditions to include an obligation on Parties to provide and maintain contact details to be used in the event of a data incident or breach. There will be an impact on IGT parties, but not the IGT UNC Code. The Code Administrator recommended that this Modification is not tracked. The Workgroup agreed.
- [0909 – Refining the Class 2 Individual Valid Meter Reading Requirement Performance Measures](#). The purpose of this Modification is to clarify the obligations on Shipper Users regarding Class 2 Meter Reading Performance. Based on the proposal form, there will be an automatic application of any changes to 5.17 of Section M, which the IGT UNC currently points to under with regards to Performance Assurance or Class 2 and 3. The Code Administrator will continue to monitor and assess the impact of any changes once legal text is available.
- [0908 – Removal of DNO meter reading obligations resulting from non-provision of a Valid Meter Reading](#). The IGT UNC Workgroup have concluded their work on IGT177. They have confirmed that whilst IGT177 will have no impact on the UNC, it should be noted under UNC0908 would have an impact on the IGT UNC regardless of IGT177 and therefore impacts should be noted under that Modification.
- [0896 - Reducing the current Code Cut-Off Date \(Line in the Sand\) from 3 to 4 years to 2 to 3 years](#). The Modification is currently out for consultation until 10th July 2025.
- [0890 - Update to AQ Correction Processes - Request for Adjustments \(RFA\) AQ Change](#). Xoserve contacted the IGT UNC Code Administrator advising of changes to the Modification that might alter the impacts of this Modification.
JL noted that UNC 0890 is not adding a further eligible cause and the Modification has changed. Originally the Modification was raised to add further eligible cause to be used within three months of RFA. ~~It has now evolved to if a consumption adjustment is received and accepted, all of the values would be taken into consideration as part of the AQ Calculation Process.~~ The Modification has evolved to take consumption adjustment values and updated meter reads into consideration as part of an AQ calculation if value or reads meet certain criteria in relation to the AQ metered period window as per the business rules outlined in UNC [0890](#).

TL noted there will be an automatic application and change under the definition of rolling annual quantity. The implications are currently being looked at. TL advised that a potential impact has been noted, and this will be relayed to Panel.

- [**0886 – Amend the Code Cut-off Date to a Rolling Three-Year Period**](#). The Modification is currently out for consultation until 10th July 2025.
- [**0876 - Updates to the Annual Quantity \(AQ\) amendment process**](#). The Code Administrator has reviewed the UNC Changes and there is no IGT UNC Code impact. However, the updates made to the AQ amendments process will apply to IGT sites as well as DNO sites as a result of a general reference to TPD G 2.3. The Modification is currently out for consultation until 10th July 2025.

IGT UNC Equivalent Modifications

- [**0899 - To ensure the suite of Network Exit Agreements \(NExA\) Modifications has been implemented, taking into account the changes introduced by 0804**](#). An IGT UNC equivalent Modification, [IGT175 - Alignment of the IGT UNC with the UNC following Modification UNC0899 and additional housekeeping changes](#), was implemented as part of a standard release on 27th June 2025. The Code Administrator recommended that this Modification is removed from the tracker. The Workgroup agreed.
- [**0887 – Facilitating Bi-Directional Connections Between IGT Pipelines and the NTS**](#): The Modification was recommended for approval by the UNC Panel and submitted to Ofgem on 20th June 2025.
- [**0884 – Extending the PC4 Submission Window**](#): There is currently no sponsor for a potential equivalent Modification in the IGT UNC.

Post-meeting note: BUUK have submitted a Modification Proposal Form for an IGT UNC equivalent Modification for UNC 0884.

- [**0881 - Review and consolidation of UNC Transition Document TDIC - Transitional Rules**](#). It was confirmed that the required housekeeping change to the IGT UNC from UNC 0881 had been included within IGT175, which was implemented on 27th June 2025. The Code Administrator recommended that this Modification is removed from the tracker. The Workgroup agreed.
- [**0854 - Revision of Virtual Last Resort User and Contingent Procurement of Supplier Demand Event Triggers**](#): The Code Administrator are still waiting for the proposed Sponsor to raise the equivalent Modification to UNC 0854.
- [**0843 - Establishing the Independent Shrinkage Charge and the Independent Shrinkage Expert**](#). This Modification and the IGT UNC equivalent Modification, [IGT165 - Independent Shrinkage Expert and Independent Shrinkage Charge](#) are currently out for consultation until 11th July 2025 and will return to their respective panels in August.

Live Review Groups:

- **0879 – Review of current Supply Meter Point Classes (Classes 1-4):** Work on this review continues, with the Workgroup discussing classes 2, 3 and 4. There was also some discussion on Daily Read Equipment (DRE) Faults (DM Drift). The Code Administrator continues to recommend that IGTs get involved in this group and/or follow the outputs to stay up to date.
- **0849 - Commercial Framework Review to Enable Hydrogen Blending:** The next Workgroup meeting will be on 29th July and will cover LDZ level blending, NTS level blending and all remaining issues.

JL queried if [UNC 0904 - Review of the profiling arrangements with respect to LDZ Shrinkage Quantity](#) was on the Review Group table. TL advised this may be due to being previously agreeing this is not required. TL agreed to following up on this.

8. IGT UNC Known Issues Register

TL advised that the housekeeping log is empty and anything that is identified as housekeeping going forwards will be added onto the log. TL noted that the only changes that have been made are the removal of issues to the closed tab. There were no comments from the Workgroup.

9. IGT UNC Horizon Scanner

TL presented some changes to the Horizon Scanner and provided the Workgroup with the following updates:

TL noted there are upcoming Workgroups regarding Project Trident and these will be held on Monday 21 July and Thursday 24 July. TL provided the Workgroup with [a link to sign up](#).

AOB

10. Process of Updating MPRNs when transferred from a DNO to an IGT

TL advised that multiple parties had raised concerns about the current process of updating MPRNs when transferred from a DNO to an IGT. They asked the Workgroup for their views on this process. CH expressed they were surprised there is nothing in either the IGT UNC Code or Xoserve that mandates the IGTs can only have MPRNs beginning with a seven as this was the process from the start to ensure MPRNs could be identified as IGT sites and which IGT they belonged to. TL advised Xoserve keep the original MPRN and there is a process ([STN process](#)) where IGTs processed a new MPRN, this has to be accepted by the Shipper to ensure they are linked, but Xoserve keep the original MPRN in the background. Xoserve confirmed that Shippers would be notified via STN files.

CH explained that their concern is where an MPRN is raised (by the ~~DNO~~IGT) and the Shipper raises and registers another, leading to a duplication. CH added that alternatively, the IGT is asked to take on the DNO MPRN but are not able to due to system constraints. TL asked if these can be identified if they have a DNO MPRN but are with an IGT. This may cause issues with billing.

KB highlighted the two current options:

- 1) Shipper makes DNO MPRN extinct and raises an IGT MPRN.
- 2) Shipper requests the IGT adopts the DNO MPRN via the STN process. This will mean the history is retained and the Supplier information is retained and results in a more seamless transfer for the consumer.

KB highlighted that invoices to Shippers will state the network owner, is but is not sure if there is any validation conducted on receipt comparing the MPRN prefix and the sending party should state who the network owner is but not sure if there is any validation and invoices may not able to be issued.

BW confirmed that Centrica have been looking into this further and will feedback to KB once this work is complete.

KB highlighted a concern of a worst-case scenario where a consumer wants to transfer Suppliers but cannot due to system constraints or if Shippers can't pay IGT invoices. They suggested discussing at the monthly IGT Forum Shipper Constituency meeting with Corella to get more Shipper views. TL agreed that this would be a sensible approach. BM added that Xoserve would get this added to the agenda of the next Shipper Constituency meeting.

11. Gemserv Rebrand

TL informed the Workgroup that as of 1st July 2025, Gemserv will be rebranding as Talan. They added that the mailbox for the Code Administrator will be changed in the future, but the current mailbox will remain open for now and the two mailboxes are likely to run in parallel for some time.

TL added that there are not expected to be other impacts on IGT UNC parties, but the Code Administrator will provide updates to Parties as part of future meetings and will notify parties via the usual communications channels of when to expect changes in the future.

12. Ofgem Data Best Practice Consultation

GF informed the Workgroup that Ofgem have issued their consultation on [Data Best Practice](#) as a Code Obligation, which is open for responses until 11 August 2025 and asked the Workgroup for thoughts on whether the IGT UNC believed that IGT UNC Panel should submit a response. GF advised that this has been shared at the Cross Code Digitalisation Steering Group (CCDSG) and will be dissipated into the wider industry. JR noted that the obligation will be on individual IGT Parties through the Transporter Licence. GF advised when the IGT UNC merges into the new Gas Network Code (GNC), the Licenced Code Manager will assume responsibility for that Code and will have that obligation passed onto them. They added that the Data Best Practice principals will start up next year and potentially the Licenced Code Manager for GNC will commence the following year. GF reiterated that it will be a Licence requirement therefore now is the time to air views in order to shape the obligation and asked if the Workgroup wished for the Code Administrator should put in a response.

JR advised they believe that the Code Administrator should respond to the Consultation, noting that for many years the IGT UNC has not been recognised, and it would be worth putting in a response. The Workgroup agreed and recommended for the Code Administrator to ask the IGT UNC Panel if they wished to submit a response to this consultation.

25/07 – 01: Code Administrator to ask IGT UNC Panel if they wish to submit a response to Ofgem’s Best Data Practice consultation following the Workgroup recommendation.

13. IGT Must Reads Process

The Chair advised that they had taken an action at the most recent [UNC 0908 - Removal of DNO meter reading obligations resulting from non-provision of a Valid Meter Reading](#) workgroup meeting to determine why IGTs want to keep the must read process, and why [IGT177 - Aligning IGT “must read” obligations within the IGT UNC](#) had been raised. They asked the present IGT representatives for their views.

~~JR noted that this was protecting an obligation on IGTs which could be removed from the UNC. They added that when UNC 0908 was raised it was advised that this wouldn’t apply to IGT sites.~~

~~JR responded that when UNC 0908 was first raised, the Proposer made it clear that it wasn’t intended to include IGT networks. IGT177 was raised, therefore, to avoid any unintended consequence on the existing IGT UNC obligation.~~

The next Workgroup meeting is scheduled for Thursday 14th August 2025.

Annex 1 – Actions Table

Reference	Action	Owner	Status
25/06 - 01	<i>IGTs to consider whether their organisation requires new MPRNs to be created when transferring Supply Points from DNOs to their own portfolio and feedback to Xoserve</i>	<i>IGTs</i>	<i>Closed</i>
25/06 - 02	<i>IGT UNC parties to bring relevant experience to the July Workgroup to facilitate discussion on the current</i>	<i>IGT UNC Parties</i>	<i>Closed</i>

	<i>processes for updating MPRNs when being transferred from a DNO to an IGT</i>		
25/06 - 03	<i>NK to reconsider capacity obligations on parties and amend legal text ahead of the July Workgroup if required.</i>	<i>NK</i>	<i>Closed</i>
25/07 - 01	Code Administrator to ask IGT UNC Panel if they wish to submit a response to Ofgem’s Best Data Practice consultation following the Workgroup recommendation.	Code Administrator	Open

DRAFT