

Draft Modification Report		At what stage is this document in the process?
IGT175: Alignment of the IGT UNC with the UNC following Modification UNC0899 and additional housekeeping changes		01 Modification 02 Workgroup Report 03 Draft Modification Report 04 Final Modification Report
Purpose of Modification: Following housekeeping changes made in UNC0899 to correct legal text changes that had become misaligned following the implementation of UNC0701 and UNC0853, this Modification looks to correct the misalignment made to the associated IGT UNC mirror Modification IGT169. This Modification also looks to make other housekeeping changes highlighted in the January 2025 Known Issues Register.		
	This Draft Modification Report is issued for consultation at the request of the Panel. All parties are invited to consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this Self-Governance Modification.	
	The close-out date for responses is DD MONTH YEAR, which should be sent to IGTUNC@Gemserv.com. A response template, which you may wish to use can be found on the IGT UNC website. The Panel will consider the responses and agree whether or not this Self-Governance Modification should be made.	
	High Impact: None	
	Medium Impact: None	
	Low Impact: Pipeline Operators and Users	

Contents

1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	4
4	Code Specific Matters	5
5	Solution	5
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	6
7	Relevant Objectives	21
8	Implementation	21
9	Legal Text	22
10	Recommendations	23



Any questions?

Contact:

Code Administrator



iGTUNC@Gemserv.com



02070901044

Proposer:

Charlotte Gilbert



charlotte.gilbert@bu-uk.co.uk

Timetable

Modification timetable:

Initial consideration by Workgroup	10 th April 2025
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	10 th April 2025
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	25 th April 2025
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	28 th April 2025
Consultation Close-out for representations	20 th May 2025
Variation Request presented to Panel	-
Final Modification Report available for Panel	21 st May 2025
Modification Panel decision	23 rd May 2025

1 Summary

What

This Modification is seeking to correct identified legal text errors following the implementation of IGT169¹.

These errors were highlighted through UNC Modification 0899², which corrected errors from UNC0701³ and UNC0853⁴, and were then mirrored in IGT169. As a result, an associated IGT UNC Modification is required to ensure the two Codes are aligned.

For context, IGT169 was implemented on 29th April 2024. This Modification introduced alignment of Capacity requirements for the Network Exit Agreement (NExA) Supply Points in the UNC with Capacity requirements for Local Distribution Zone (LDZ) Connected System Exit Point (CSEP) Ancillary Agreement (LCAA) Supply Points in the IGT UNC.

Additionally, this Modification will also look to correct a number of identified housekeeping changes included in the Known Issues Register (as of January 2025).

Why

Due to the varying timetables for the UNC Modifications and the cross-impacting nature of the associated legal texts changes, the changes to the UNC have become misaligned. This has had a knock-on impact to the IGT169 legal text which is now also misaligned.

Generally, housekeeping changes are required to ensure alignment of the IGT UNC with the UNC, so that the IGT UNC is pointing to the intended parts of UNC. Without this, the Codes become misaligned, introducing risks to industry parties where Code doesn't contain the correct obligations and processes.

How

The legal text changes made in UNC0899 have been reviewed and a number of changes have been identified which also need to be made to IGT UNC, these are simple changes with a low impact to parties.

This Modification also seeks to include housekeeping changes required to legal text identified in the Known Issues register.

Please see the solution section for a breakdown of changes required.

¹ [IGT169 - Aligning the Capacity requirements for NExA Supply Points in the UNC with Capacity requirements for LDZ CSEP Ancillary Agreement \(LCAA\) Supply Points under the IGT UNC \(i.e. bringing Code in line with UNC0701 and UNC0853\)](#)

² [UNC0899 - To ensure the suite of Network Exit Agreements \(NExA\) Modifications has been implemented, taking into account the changes introduced by 0804 \(REC 3.0\)](#)

³ [UNC0701 - Aligning Capacity booking under the UNC and arrangements set out in relevant NExAs](#)

⁴ [UNC0853 - CDSP permissions to facilitate implementation of UNC0701](#)

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance

As a housekeeping Modification, this meets the Self-Governance criteria. There are no identified material impacts.

Self-Governance - The Modification:

- (i) is unlikely to have a material effect on:
- (aa) existing or future gas consumers; and
 - (bb) competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes; and
 - (cc) the operation of one or more pipe-line system(s); and
 - (dd) matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies; and
 - (ee) the uniform network code governance procedures or the network code modification procedures; and
- (ii) is unlikely to discriminate between different classes of parties to the uniform network code/relevant gas transporters, gas shippers or DN operators.

Requested Next Steps

This Modification should:

- Subject to Self-Governance; and
- proceed to Consultation.

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

The Workgroup considered the Proposer's rationale as set out above.

A question was raised regarding proposed changes to Part CII, Paragraph 5.6(a) and whether they would result in impacts on Central Data Services Provider (CDSP) systems, which may in turn impact the progression under Self-Governance. The Proposer advised that they had already spoken to the CDSP and they have confirmed that there is no impact to CDSP processes or systems. The Workgroup had no further comments or concerns on this matter.

The Workgroup unanimously agreed that this Modification should be progressed as a Self-Governance Modification Proposal, in line with the reasons given by the Proposer.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

3 Why Change?

When IGT169 was implemented, it used legal text from its equivalent UNC Modifications (0701 and 0853). It has now been identified that the legal texts from these Modifications have become misaligned.

The IGT UNC Workstream includes a standing agenda item, the Known Issues Register that includes a list of known housekeeping changes that require an IGT UNC Modification to rectify. These changes need to be made to ensure the legal text correctly reflects the purpose and intention it was written for.

Additionally, alignment of the IGT UNC and UNC is prudent to allow for a more streamlined transition to the future Gas Network Code (GNC) as part of Energy Code Reform.

4 Code Specific Matters

Technical Skillsets

None required

Reference Documents

Awareness of:

<https://www.igt-unc.co.uk/igt169/>

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0899>

<https://www.igt-unc.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Known-Issues-Register-101-January-2025.xls>

5 Solution

The table below sets out the changes required to the IGT UNC to account for UNC0899 and the housekeeping changes in the Known Issues Register.

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
Part CII	22	4.1	4.1 For the purposes of this Clause 4 the provisions of paragraph 5 of Section B Annex B-3 of the UNC shall apply with the following changes: the word "NExA shall be replaced by 'LCAA' the words " in the case of an NTS Supply equal to 24 times the Supply Point Offtake Rate" in paragraph 5.1 of shall be deleted. paragraph 5.4 shall not apply.	4.1 For the purposes of this Clause 4 the provisions of paragraph 5 of Section B Annex B-3 of the UNC shall apply with the following changes: the word "NExA shall be replaced by 'LCAA' Paragraph 5 (c) shall not apply. paragraph 5.4 shall not apply.	UNC actually adds in further wording to this subsection 5 (c), but if it is being removed entirely anyway, it may be more prudent to exclude it entirely.	IGT169 changes.
Part CII	22	5	Other Requirements	Pipeline Operator Determination of Feasibility	This is the title of the section, changed to 'Transporter Determination of Feasibility' in UNC.	IGT169 changes.
Part CII	23	5.6(a)	with effect from the following Day, and until the Pipeline Operator has assessed whether it is feasible to make available gas for offtake (in a 24 hour period) in the amount of such sum, the Ratcheted DM Capacity shall be equal to the Provisional Maximum Supply Point Capacity	with effect from the Day following the Day on which the Supply Point Ratchet occurred , and until the Pipeline Operator has assessed whether it is feasible to make available gas for offtake (in a 24 hour period) in the amount of such sum, the Ratcheted DM Capacity shall be equal to the Provisional Maximum Supply Point Capacity	Confirmed with CDSP that this doesn't have any impacts to obligations.	IGT169 changes.

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
Part N	194	3.4.1	3.4 Planned UK Link downtime 3.4.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.4.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.4 of Section B of the UNC General Terms shall apply with the following changes: the reference to paragraph 5.9 of Section B of the UNC General Terms in paragraph 5.4.3 shall be interpreted as a reference to Clause 3.9 of Part N of the IGT UNC.	3.4 Planned UK Link downtime 3.4.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.4.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.4 of Section D of the UNC General Terms shall apply with the following changes: the reference to paragraph 5.9 of Section D of the UNC General Terms in paragraph 5.4.3 shall be interpreted as a reference to Clause 3.9 of Part N of the IGT UNC.	UNC General Terms D relates to CDSP and UK Link	Known Issues Register
Part N	194	3.5.1	3.5.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.5.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.5 of Section B of the UNC General Terms shall apply.	3.5.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.5.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.5 of Section D of the UNC General Terms shall apply.	UNC General Terms D relates to CDSP and UK Link	Known Issues Register
Part N	194	3.6.1	3.6.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.6.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.6 of Section B of the UNC General Terms shall apply.	3.6.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.6.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.6 of Section D of the UNC General Terms shall apply.	UNC General Terms D relates to CDSP and UK Link	Known Issues Register
Part N	194	3.8.1	3.8.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.8.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.8 of Section B of the UNC General Terms shall apply.	3.8.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.8.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.8 of Section D of the UNC General Terms shall apply.	UNC General Terms D relates to CDSP and UK Link	Known Issues Register
Part N	194	3.9.1	3.9.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.9.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.9 of Section B of the UNC General Terms shall apply.	3.9.1 For the purposes of this Clause 3.9.1 the provisions of paragraph 5.9 of Section D of the UNC General Terms shall apply.	UNC General Terms D relates to CDSP and UK Link	Known Issues Register
Part E	46	14.1	the reference to GT Section B2.4.1 in Section M of the UNC in paragraph 5.13.10 (b) shall be interpreted as a reference to GT Section B2.4.1 as incorporated into Part K by Clause 26.1	the reference to GT Section B2.5.1 in Section M of the UNC in paragraph 5.13.10 (b) shall be interpreted as a reference to GT Section B2.5.1 as incorporated into Part K by Clause 26.1	This was amended by the introduction of the ISOP to UNC.	Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
Part G	64	21	21 Code Credit Rules 21.1 Provision of Cover	21 Code Credit Rules 21.1 Provision of Cover For the purposes of this Part G: (a) "Code Credit Rules" are the rules so entitled and established and revised from time to time by the Pipeline Operator; (b) "Code Credit Limit" is an amount representing a Pipeline User's limit of indebtedness to the Pipeline Operator as more particularly defined in the Pipeline Operator's Network Code.	These definitions were removed as part of IGT132. The intention is that this limits the impact to the rest of the numbering in this section. The next subsection is listed as 21.1.1.	Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	3	Contents	2.1.1 Creating a PS1 2.1.2 Creating a PS2 (Shipper acknowledgement to the PS1) ... 2.4 PS1 Format 2.5 PS2 Format	2.1.1 Creating a PSA 2.1.2 Creating a PSB (Shipper acknowledgement to the PSA) ... 2.4 PSA Format 2.5 PSB Format		Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	5	2.1	The high-level process is that where an IGT issues a PS1 the receiving Shipper in turn will respond with a PS2 .	The high-level process is that where an IGT issues a PSA the receiving Shipper in turn will respond with a PSB .		Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	5	2.1.1	Creating a PS1 Rules for the completion of PS1: • The PS1 is compiled/sent using the defined PS1 format by the issuing IGT to the supplier nominated by the Developer/Builder. • The IGT will send only a single MAM and/or Meter Mechanism per PSR. • The IGT will send the PS1 to the Shipper at the earliest opportunity after the IGT is informed by the Developer/ UIP that they will be responsible for the gas network being built. The IGT will endeavour to send no later than 30 Business Days prior to the installation of the initial meter fit.	Creating a PSA Rules for the completion of PSA: • The PSA is compiled/sent using the defined PSA format by the issuing IGT to the supplier nominated by the Developer/Builder. • The IGT will send only a single MAM and/or Meter Mechanism per PSR. • The IGT will send the PSA to the Shipper at the earliest opportunity after the IGT is informed by the Developer/ UIP that they will be responsible for the gas network being built. The IGT will endeavour to send no later than 30 Business Days prior to the installation of the initial meter fit.		Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	6	2.1.2	Creating a PS2 (Shipper acknowledgement to the PS1) Rules for the completion of PS2: • The Shipper should endeavour to respond to receipt of the PSR within 15 business days • Shipper must accept or reject the whole of the PS1 received, on the PS2.	Creating a PSB (Shipper acknowledgement to the PSA) Rules for the completion of PSB: • The Shipper should endeavour to respond to receipt of the PSR within 15 business days • Shipper must accept or reject the whole of the PSA received, on the PSB.		Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	7	2.1.3	Where the Shipper is responsible for fitting the meter, no instruction should be issued to the meter installer until the PS2 has been confirmed.	Where the Shipper is responsible for fitting the meter, no instruction should be issued to the meter installer until the PSB has been confirmed.		Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	7	2.2	The PSR Issue Number, PSR Issue Reason Code and PSR Issue Reason/Text will be used by the IGT to track the issue of the PSR and subsequent PSR updates. Where there is a requirement to submit a revised PSR the IGT will re-submit the original PS1 file advancing the PSR Issue Number as required. Each time a re-issue occurs, a reason code(s) will be given. This will allow Shippers to more easily identify and track changes within the PSR. Where a re-issue requires additional or more specific information, the IGT may populate the PSR Issue Reason/Text field.	The PSR Issue Number, PSR Issue Reason Code and PSR Issue Reason/Text will be used by the IGT to track the issue of the PSR and subsequent PSR updates. Where there is a requirement to submit a revised PSR the IGT will re-submit the original PSA file advancing the PSR Issue Number as required. Each time a re-issue occurs, a reason code(s) will be given. This will allow Shippers to more easily identify and track changes within the PSR. Where a re-issue requires additional or more specific information, the IGT may populate the PSR Issue Reason/Text field.		Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	7	2.3	The Shipper will endeavour to provide a response to the revised PS1 in the form of a PS2 within 15 Business Days of receipt.	The Shipper will endeavour to provide a response to the revised PSA in the form of a PSB within 15 Business Days of receipt.		Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	7	2.4	PS1 Format ... Completing PS1 to a high standard will help to improve data quality issues and will allow the new connections change process work more effectively. • Having all the correct information at the start of the process in PS1 with regards to 'plot/postal/no' will allow for a better customer journey, as the information will flow through the systems correctly. Please refer to the Word template – Project Summary Report (PS1)	PSA Format ... Completing PSA to a high standard will help to improve data quality issues and will allow the new connections change process work more effectively. • Having all the correct information at the start of the process in PSA with regards to 'plot/postal/no' will allow for a better customer journey, as the information will flow through the systems correctly. Please refer to the Word template – Project Summary Report (PSA)	This links to a word document that is only partially amended.	Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	8	2.5	PS2 Format • It is essential that the Shipper returns the completed PS2 to the IGT to ensure that the registration has been actioned or rejected and the reason explained for the rejection. This is also not to hinder the customer journey. Please refer to the Word template – Project Summary Notification (PS2)	PSB Format • It is essential that the Shipper returns the completed PSB to the IGT to ensure that the registration has been actioned or rejected and the reason explained for the rejection. This is also not to hinder the customer journey. Please refer to the Word template – Project Summary Notification (PSB)	This links to a word document that is only partially amended.	Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
IGT's New Connections Domestic Sites Only	8	2.6	Note: The file extension was originally set to be the same as the relevant format name – e.g. .PS1 or .PS2 . However, during testing it was found that this was not allowable (see Modification IGT094F). Hence while the Format names for the files have been retained as PS1 and PS2 , the respective file types and file extensions have been amended to PSA/PSB and .PSA/.PSB respectively.	Remove entirely - this point is now obsolete.		Known Issues Register
IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document	1	Contents	2.1.1 Creating a PS1 2.1.2 Creating a PS2 (Shipper response to the PS1)	2.1.1 Creating a PSA 2.1.2 Creating a PSB (Shipper response to the PSA)		Known Issues Register
IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document	5	2.1	... The IGT issues the PS1 to the receiving shipper including the sites specific information. The Shipper will respond to the PS1 using the PS2 (Shipper response file). Note: The Non-Domestic PS1 must not contain details of any domestic properties, including large supply point domestic, and only contain Non-Domestic properties.	... The IGT issues the PSA to the receiving shipper including the sites specific information. The Shipper will respond to the PSA using the PSB (Shipper response file). Note: The Non-Domestic PSA must not contain details of any domestic properties, including large supply point domestic, and only contain Non-Domestic properties.		Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document	5, 6	2.1.1	Creating a PS1 Rules for the completion of PS1: • The PS1 is compiled/sent using the industry agreed PS1 format by the issuing IGT to the nominated Shipper. ... • The IGT will send the PS1 to the Shipper at the earliest opportunity and will endeavour to send no later than 30 Business Days, prior to the installation of the initial meter fit. ... The PS1 Issue number and Reason/Text should be used by the IGT to track Non-Domestic PSR updates. This will allow Shippers to more easily identify any changes within the PSR. PSR issue numbers must always be sequential ... Events that may trigger a new PS1: ... Events that may trigger PS1 revisions, prior to meter installation:	Creating a PSA Rules for the completion of PSA: • The PSA is compiled/sent using the industry agreed PS1 format by the issuing IGT to the nominated Shipper. ... • The IGT will send the PSA to the Shipper at the earliest opportunity and will endeavour to send no later than 30 Business Days, prior to the installation of the initial meter fit. ... The PSA Issue number and Reason/Text should be used by the IGT to track Non-Domestic PSR updates. This will allow Shippers to more easily identify any changes within the PSR. PSR issue numbers must always be sequential ... Events that may trigger a new PSA: ... Events that may trigger PSA revisions, prior to meter installation:		Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document	6, 7	2.1.2	Creating a PS2 (Shipper response to the PS1) Rules for the completion of PS2: • The Shipper will respond to the receipt of the PS1 by sending the PS2 within 15 days. • All Mandatory fields are required to be populated in line with file PS1 submission requirements. • Shipper must accept or reject the whole of the PS1 received, on the PS2 ... The IGT should endeavour to investigate/resolve and resubmit the PS1 within 15 Business Days, noting that any resubmission needs to comply with the sequential numbering to avoid further rejections. ... 2.1.3 Where the Shipper is responsible for fitting the meter, no instruction should be issued to the meter installer until the PS2 has been confirmed	Creating a PSB (Shipper response to the PSA) Rules for the completion of PSB: • The Shipper will respond to the receipt of the PSA by sending the PSB within 15 days. • All Mandatory fields are required to be populated in line with file PSA submission requirements. • Shipper must accept or reject the whole of the PSA received, on the PSB ... The IGT should endeavour to investigate/resolve and resubmit the PSA within 15 Business Days, noting that any resubmission needs to comply with the sequential numbering to avoid further rejections. ... 2.1.3 Where the Shipper is responsible for fitting the meter, no instruction should be issued to the meter installer until the PSB has been confirmed		Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document	7	2.2	Should the site specifications change, a revised PS1 must be prepared and submitted as outlined below: • As a rule the IGT will provide early notification to the shipper that an amendment is being made to a PS1 • The PS1 Issue Number, PS1 Issue Reason Code and PS1 Issue Reason/Text will be used by the IGT to track the issue of the PSR and subsequent PSR updates. Where there is a requirement to submit a revised PSR the IGT will re-submit the original PS1 file advancing the PS1 Issue Number as required. Each time a re-issue occurs, a reason code(s) will be provided to the Shipper. This will allow Shippers to more easily identify and track changes within the PS1. Where a re-issue requires additional or more specific information, the IGT may populate the PS1 Issue Reason/Text field. • The Shipper should confirm acceptance or rejection of the revised PS1 by submitting a PS2 file no later than 15 business days after receipt.	Should the site specifications change, a revised PSA must be prepared and submitted as outlined below: • As a rule the IGT will provide early notification to the shipper that an amendment is being made to a PSA • The PSA Issue Number, PSA Issue Reason Code and PSA Issue Reason/Text will be used by the IGT to track the issue of the PSR and subsequent PSR updates. Where there is a requirement to submit a revised PSR the IGT will re-submit the original PSA file advancing the PSA Issue Number as required. Each time a re-issue occurs, a reason code(s) will be provided to the Shipper. This will allow Shippers to more easily identify and track changes within the PSA. Where a re-issue requires additional or more specific information, the IGT may populate the PSA Issue Reason/Text field. • The Shipper should confirm acceptance or rejection of the revised PSA by submitting a PSB file no later than 15 business days after receipt.		Known Issues Register
IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document	7	2.3	To ensure Shipperless and unregistered sites are not created, shippers and the relevant supplier should not instruct a meter install until after the PS2 is confirmed.	To ensure Shipperless and unregistered sites are not created, shippers and the relevant supplier should not instruct a meter install until after the PSB is confirmed.		Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document	7	2.4	Note: The file extension was originally set to be the same as the relevant format name – e.g. .PS1 or .PS2 . However during testing it was found that this was not allowable (see Modification IGT094F). Hence while the Format names for the relevant files have been retained as PS1 and PS2 , the respective file types and file extensions have been amended to PSA/PSB and .PSA/.PSB respectively.	Remove entirely - this point is now obsolete.		Known Issues Register
IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document	8	2.4	Project Summary Report Template (PS1) Project Summary Report Template (PS2)	Project Summary Report Template (PSA) Project Summary Report Template (PSB)	This links to two word documents that are only partially amended.	Known Issues Register
Part E	47	22.1	Performance Assurance: Class 2 and 3 Supply Meter Points 22.1 For the purposes of this Clause 22 the provisions of paragraph 5.17 of Section M of the UNC shall apply. 22.2 For the purposes of this Clause 22 the transitional arrangements of paragraph 1.3.8 of PART IIC of the UNC Transition Document shall apply.	Performance Assurance: Class 2 and 3 Supply Meter Points 22.1 For the purposes of this Clause 22 the provisions of paragraph 5.17 of Section M of the UNC shall apply. 22.2 For the purposes of this Clause 22 the transitional arrangements of paragraph 1.3.8 of PART IIC of the UNC Transition Document shall apply.	Paragraph 1.3.8 of TDIIC in UNC has been removed as part of UNC0881. This transitional arrangement expired in 2006.	Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
Part K	110	23.6	23.6 The CDSP are authorised to disclose such data as is set out within Annex V-10 of the UNC to the holder of the “smart meter communications licence” as defined by the Statutory Instrument 2012 No.2400: The Electricity and Gas (Smart Meters Licensable Activity) Order 2012. Such data may relate to all Supply Meter Points regardless of status. The CDSP are authorised to appoint a third-party agent (i.e. Xoserve) to manage the collation and transmission of the data set out within Annex V-10 of the UNC on to the holder of the “smart meter communications licence”.	23.6 The CDSP are authorised to disclose such data as is set out within Data Permissions Matrix, as per paragraph 2.6 of General Terms D of the UNC to the holder of the “smart meter communications licence” as defined by the Statutory Instrument 2012 No.2400: The Electricity and Gas (Smart Meters Licensable Activity) Order 2012. Such data may relate to all Supply Meter Points regardless of status. The CDSP are authorised to appoint a third-party agent (i.e. Xoserve) to manage the collation and transmission of the data set out within paragraph 2.6 of General Terms D of the UNC on to the holder of the “smart meter communications licence”.	Annex V-10 doesn't exist. This has been incorporated into the DPM section of GTD following Modifications UNC0697VS and UNC0804.	Identified whilst resolving IGT-UNC Part K, Clause 23.10 in the Known Issues Register
Part K	110	23.7	Where in respect of any access to Supply Point data by the holder of the “smart meter communications licence” the CDSP will disclose such data in accordance with paragraph 5.17 of Section V of the UNC .	Where in respect of any access to Supply Point data by the holder of the “smart meter communications licence” the CDSP will disclose such data in accordance with paragraph 2.6 of General Terms D of the UNC .	paragraph 5.17 has been incorporated into the DPM section of GTD following Modifications UNC0697VS and UNC0804.	Identified whilst resolving IGT-UNC Part K, Clause 23.10 in the Known Issues Register
Part K	110	23.9	The Pipeline Operators are authorised to disclose such data as is required for the reports as are specified in the Performance Assurance Report Registers Schedules 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B in accordance with paragraph 16.5 of Section V of the UNC .	The Pipeline Operators are authorised to disclose such data as is required for the reports as are specified in the Performance Assurance Report Registers Schedules 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B in accordance with paragraph 16.4 of UNC TPD Section V .	Paragraph 16.5 relates to voting arrangements for PAC.	Identified whilst resolving IGT-UNC Part K, Clause 23.10 in the Known Issues Register

IGT UNC Part	Page No.	Clause	Current Text	Proposed Changes	Comments	IGT169 or Known Issues Register
Part K	110	23.10	Where in respect of any Supply Point, the CDSP is requested by the Registered User to disclose Historic Supply Meter Point Asset and Read Information such disclosure will be in accordance with paragraph 5.15 of Section V of the UNC.	Where in respect of any Supply Point, the CDSP is requested by the Registered User to disclose Historic Supply Meter Point Asset and Read Information such disclosure will be in accordance with paragraph 4.4 of UNC TPD Section M.	Paragraph 5.15 does not exist.	Known Issues Register

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

The Proposer took the Workgroup through the solution and proposed changes to the IGT UNC.

The Proposer told Workgroup that they had explored potential impacts as a result of the proposed changes to Part CII, Clause 5.6(a), and whether there would be unintended impacts on CDSP systems and how “day” is defined. The Proposer went on to advise that the CDSP has confirmed that there is no impact on the CDSP processes or systems and that the change has no impact on how “day” is defined. The Workgroup had no further comments or concerns on this matter.

A Workgroup member questioned whether the proposed changes to Part CII, Clause 4.1 need to be considered in the context of the Modification IGT176, as that Modification will introduce provisions related to the NTS and if amended under this Modification may no longer apply within the Code. The Proposer and the member discussed this further and mutually agreed that there would not be an overlap between IGT175 and IGT176, and therefore the proposed changes under IGT175 would not need to be altered. This was accompanied by a brief, out-of-scope discussion of terminology pertinent to IGT176.

A typographical error in the supportive text above the table in the Solution section of this Report was identified, where the associated UNC Modification is incorrectly referred to as UNC0889 rather than UNC0899. Workgroup members agreed that this typographical error should be resolved in v2.0 of the Modification, which they were due to consider at this meeting.

An omission in the “IGT169 or Known Issues Register” column of the table found in the solution section of the Modification Report was also identified. It was pointed out that the both the proposed changes for both Part E, Clause 22.1 and for Part K, Clauses 23.6, 23.7 and 23.9 did not contain any text in the aforementioned column. Workgroup members agreed to resolve this via the inclusion of the text “Known Issues Register” and “Identified whilst resolving IGT-UNC Part K, Clause 23.10 in the Known Issues Register” in this column, respectively.

The Workgroup Chair asked if the proposed changes to Part K, Clauses 23.6, 23.7 and 23.9 were new changes, as they had not been initially marked as having been identified in the Known Issues Register. The Code Administrator confirmed that these were identified during the investigation of changes proposed to Part K, Clause 23.10 (which was in the Known Issues Register). The Workgroup considered this and the new wording for the aforementioned column was agreed as a result.

The Code Administrator highlighted that the Modification Proposal was updated from V1.0 to V2.0 to correct a typographical error where proposed drafting changes for the “IGT’s New Connections Domestic Sites Only”, Clauses 2.2 and 2.5 were presented as PS1 and PS2, rather than PSA and PSB (respectively), as intended by the Proposer. Workgroup members agreed to proceed with V2.0 of the Modification Proposal containing these updates.

Following the discussion, the Workgroup agreed unanimously with the changes proposed under IGT175 and that there were unintended consequences as a result of these changes.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this Modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

No.

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

The Workgroup considered whether this Modification would have an impact of any SCR or significant industry change projects and agreed unanimously with the Proposal that there were no impacts.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

Consumer Impacts

What is the current consumer experience?

No change to consumer's experience due to this being an administrative change.

What would the new consumer experience be?

As above.

Impact of the change on Consumer Benefit Areas

Area	Identified Impact
Improved safety and reliability	None
Lower bills than would otherwise be the case	None
Reduced environmental damage	None
Improved quality of service	None
Benefits for society as a whole	None

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

The Workgroup considered the potential impacts of this Modification on consumers, as well as the Proposer's view that there was no impact on consumers as this is a housekeeping Modification. The Workgroup unanimously agreed that there were no identified impacts on consumers and had no further comments on this area.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

Cross-Code Impacts

Although a lot of these changes are born out of UNC Modifications, they do not impact the UNC itself.

UNC	☐
REC	☐
Other	☐
None	☒

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

The Workgroup considered potential Cross-Code impacts.

The Proposer advised the Workgroup that as the proposed changes to Code would only result in an alignment between the IGT-UNC and the UNC (where they were as a result of [UNC0899](#) and [UNC0881](#)) and housekeeping updates to make changes identified in the Known Issues Register, that there would no impacts on any other industry Code. Workgroup members unanimously with this view.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

Environmental Impacts

No foreseen environmental impacts, this is a housekeeping legal text amendment.

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

The Workgroup considered potential environmental impacts and the Proposer's rationale as set out above. The Workgroup agreed unanimously with the proposer that this Modification will not result in any environmental impacts, on the basis that it is a housekeeping legal text amendment.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the Modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(A) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system	None
(B) Co-ordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system; and/or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	None
(C) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations	None

(D) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation agreements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers	None
(E) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	None
(F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Positive
(G) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators	None

Proposer's View

This Modification furthers Standard Relevant Objective f) *Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code* as this proposal is promoting accuracy in the IGT UNC Code Legal Text.

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

The Workgroup considered the potential impact that IGT175 may have on the IGT UNC Relevant Objectives. They considered the Proposer's rationale as set out above and unanimously agreed with the proposer that this Modification will have a positive impact on Objective F) for the reasons set out by the Proposer.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

8 Implementation

Implementation Approach

The Proposer originally requested that this Modification be implemented as soon as possible. Noting that there are no foreseen costs or system changes associated with this Modification Proposal. The Workgroup have unanimously agreed that IGT175 should be implemented as part of the June 2025 Scheduled Release, subject to no appeals being received within the 15 Working Day appeal window.

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

The Proposer originally requested that this Modification be implemented as soon as possible. Noting that there are no foreseen costs or system changes associated with this Modification Proposal.

The Code Administrator provided Workgroup members with two options for the implementation of IGT175. These options were as follows:

1. Implementation as part of the June 2025 Release, subject to no appeals being received within the 15 Working Day Appeal Window; or
2. Implementation as part of an ad-hoc release, which would also be subject to no appeals being received during the 15 Working Day Appeal Window.

The Workgroup considered these options and it was noted that implementation needed to happen soon to limit the length of time the UNC and IGT UNC were out of sync, given that UNC0899 was implemented on 28 March 2025. The Code Administrator confirmed that the current progression timescales would mean that the June 2025 Release was achievable. Workgroup members agreed that implementation as part of the Scheduled Release would be best and added that industry participants would need to be notified as part of the IGT-UNC Pre-Release processes that implementation of the Modification would be subject to an appeal window.

The Workgroup unanimously agreed that IGT175 should be implemented as part of the June 2025 Release.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

9 Legal Text

Legal Text

Please see the Solution section of this document for a summary of the Legal Text changes.

Changes written into Code paragraphs has been provided by the Code Administrator and have been saved on [the IGT175 webpage](#).

Workgroup Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

Workgroup members agreed that the proposed legal text changes deliver the intent of the Modification, and that there would be no unforeseen consequences on any other elements of the Code. Please see the page 19 of the Solution section of this document for detailed discussions on the solution and the proposed changed.

Panel Discussions and Conclusions (April 2025)

[insert discussion here]

10 Recommendations

Workgroup Recommendation to Panel

The Workgroup is requesting Panel to:

- Agree that Self Governance procedures should apply; and
- That IGT175 should proceed to Consultation.