

Consultation Response

IGT173: Gateway Delivery for RPC Backing Data

Responses invited by: 17th April 2024

Respondent Details

Name:

Organisation:

Support Implementation YES

Qualified Support

Neutral

Do Not Support

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We believe this modification creates a securer solution for customer sensitive data to be transferred between parties. We will also see internal process efficiencies with the RPC data being transferred by the IX.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

We agree that the modification should be subject to self-governance.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered.

n/a.

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Currently RPC data is received by Shippers via e-mails encrypted with passwords or by downloading the data from individual IGT portals. We believe this modification meets its relevant objective by removing time spent on the administration of obtaining RPC data. We also believe that this modification creates a more secure approach to the submission of customer sensitive customer data.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

In addition please note the IGT UNC Panel discussion in the Draft Modification Report (pages 9 and 12) on the matter of cost allocation.

This modification (if proceeded) would be subject to a business review to determine costs to implement; at this stage we do not have defined costs for this modification.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

We require a 6-month lead time for implementation.

In particular the IGT UNC Panel are interested in whether you are in support of a November 2024 release for this Modification or would you require a 6 month lead time and therefore a February release?

We require a 6-month lead time for implementation and a February release date.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

We are satisfied the legal text covers the intent of this modification.

Additional Question from the IGT UNC Panel RE Testing

Would you like testing to be available prior to implementation and would you wish to participate if it was available?

We would like to participate in testing prior to implementation.

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

No further comments.

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com