

Consultation Response

IGT172: Provision for Gas Entry within the IGT UNC

Responses invited by: 17th April 2024

Respondent Details

Name: Nic Crowe, Government Affairs and Development Director

Organisation: Grissan Renewable Energy

Support Implementation	Yes
Qualified Support	
Neutral	
Do Not Support	

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

There are opportunities for the proposed IGT approach to provide an additional option for biomethane developers, in particular where the route to the main gas grid is difficult with restrictions on easements. The IGT approach also offers flexibility on asset adoption and processes which may reduce costs and schedule for a new biomethane project.

It is likely that IGTs will increasingly form part of a pathway to new developments as the UK Government looks to expand the role of biomethane within the UK energy system. Facilitating changes to the UNCs which allow for flexibility and enable competition will be key to delivering this degree of ambition.

> IGT172 Consultation Response 22nd March 2024 Version 1.0 Page 1 of 3 © 2024 all rights reserved



Authority Decision Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be an Authority Decision modification?

N/A

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

None

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Facilitating competition between Gas Transporters impacts the relevant objectives positively. Ensuring there is consistency of obligations, with energy accounted for appropriately, when gas is injected to an IGT is consistent with efficient administration of network codes. In addition, ensuring that IGT entry is facilitated may increase the number of parties injecting gas to the GB network, and the availability of additional sources of gas facilitates competition between Gas Shippers and Gas Suppliers.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

N/A

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

As soon as feasibly possible.

IGT172 Consultation Response 22nd March 2024 Version 1.0 Page 2 of 3

© 2024 all rights reserved



Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes.

In addition, the IGT UNC Panel are particularly interested in parties' views in respect of the commercial arrangements that would be needed as part of implementing this Modification?

IGTs that have gas injected to their networks may need to develop agreements with the parties looking to inject, and also a new form of agreement with any network they may connect to or already be connected to.

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Biomethane projects need innovation and competition to reduce costs. By supporting a new route to market, this modification is helpful.

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com

IGT172 <u>Consultation Response</u> 22nd March 2024 Version 1.0 Page 3 of 3

© 2024 all rights reserved