

## IGT-UNC 172 – extracts from proposer’s explanatory table v2 – actions from the December 2024 meeting

Red font indicates IGT-UNC mod 172 Business Rule references. Purple font indicates key UNC mod 0842 ‘marry’ provisions.

	<b>‘ENTRY REQUIREMENTS’</b> Notes for material changes from TPD Section I
<b>1 GENERAL</b>	<b>Introduction</b> <span style="color: red;">BR 1. a. i.</span>
<b>1.1</b>	The UNC TPD Section A defined umbrella term <b>‘System Entry Point’</b> is proposed to be replaced by a new IGT-UNC term <b>‘Pipeline Entry Point’</b> .
Action from IGT-UNC meeting 11 Jan 2024	IGT-UNC does not have an equivalent of UNC TPD Section A, so replication was not straightforward. The new defined term was initially proposed to be introduced in Part Q paragraph 1.4.1. However, after discussion at the meeting and further consideration it is now proposed that the definition goes into IGT-UNC Part M. The previously suggested wording <i>‘...as defined in paragraph 1.4.1’</i> is no longer needed.

<p><b>2 PIPELINE ENTRY PROVISIONS</b></p>	<p><b>Availability of Pipeline Entry Provisions</b> BR 1. a. iii. 1.</p>
<p>2.2.2</p> <p>Action from IGT-UNC meeting 11 Jan 2024</p>	<p>UNC Mod 0842 provisions refer to two new UNC interfaces ‘<b>IGT entry point</b>’ and ‘<b>IGT LDZ SEP</b>’.</p> <p>The disapplication of 2.2 in Mod 0842 legal text is to the <b>IGT LDZ SEP</b> whereas the ‘<b>Pipeline Entry Point</b>’ corresponds to the <b>IGT entry point</b> so there was a misunderstanding in the meeting.</p> <p>The opportunity has been taken to simplify the section by removing the complex but irrelevant content relating to NTS entry.</p>
<p>2.5.3</p> <p>Action from IGT-UNC meeting 11 Jan 2024</p>	<p><b>Measurement Provisions</b> 2.5.3 delivers the key ‘marry’, as required by UNC mod 0842, ...measurement and CV data.... BR 1. a. iii. 5.</p> <p>IGT-UNC does not have the concepts of daily balancing, ‘gas flow nominations and scheduling’ or the many complicated allocation provisions that build upon them, however, the proposed 2.5.3 requires an equivalent of UNC ‘<b>Entry Point Daily Quantity Delivered</b>’, which links Section I physical measurement to commercial provisions in TPD. The proposed new IGT UNC equivalent definition is <b>Pipeline Entry Point Daily Quantity Delivered</b>.</p> <p>As the model for this definition is in UNC Section E 1.4.1 and there is no intention of replicating that section, a decision was taken to incorporate it here.</p> <p>For information only, an extract of UNC TPD Section E follows:</p> <p><i>1.4.1 In respect of each System Entry Point, the “<b>Entry Point Daily Quantity Delivered</b>” is the aggregate quantity of gas delivered to the Total System on a Day at that System Entry Point, ascertained as described in Section I2.5 (subject to paragraph 1.7).”</i></p> <p><i>1.4.2 The amount determined to be the Entry Point Daily Quantity Delivered in respect of any System Entry Point for the Gas Flow Day may be revised at any time up to and including the 5th following Day, but no revision will be made to such quantity after the 5th Day after the Gas Flow Day.</i></p> <p>An equivalent of E 1.4.2 is now incorporated in the proposed IGT-UNC Part Q 3.1.2.</p> <p>An email was sent to SGN and CDSP seeking their views and a telecon with SGN took place. Following this call, the provision was amended.</p> <p>To better reflect the TPD Sections E and I legal text, limb (a) now introduces the TPD Section E defined term and limb (b) replicates TPD Section I 2.5.3. The detail will be in the Pipeline Entry Agreement.</p> <p>An email has been received stating that no one from the CDSP will be attending the Legal text workgroup for IGT 172 as this modification has no Central Systems impacts.</p> <p>See also 3.1.2 which relates to the same.</p>

<b>2.6</b>	<b>Local Operating Procedures</b> BR 1. a. iii. 2. and 4 <b>Delivers the key ‘marry’, as required by UNC mod 0842, ...provisions....</b>
2.6.5	<i>UNC mod 0842 proposed legal text I 3.12.5 (b) ... (i) “equivalent to Network Entry Provisions (including provisions which identify and specify each of the matters referred to in paragraph 2.3.1) and (if not incorporated into the such provisions) Local Operating Procedures (as if gas was being delivered to the Total System);”</i>
Action from IGT-UNC meeting 11 Jan 2024	UNC TPD Section I 2.6.5 refers to a definition term ‘ <b>Transportation Constraint</b> ’ which does not have an equivalent in IGT-UNC.  The term has been added into the consolidated document as a new Part Q 3.12.  See related actions 3.9 and 3.11.9.

<p><b>3 DELIVERY OF GAS TO THE PIPELINE</b></p>	
<p>3.1.2</p> <p>Action from IGT-UNC meeting 11 Jan 2024</p>	<p>See 2.5.3 above. The proposed new limb (a) to uses the definition of <b>Pipeline Entry Point Daily Quantity Delivered</b>, which was introduced in the paragraph 2.5.3, where the term first appears, and to incorporate the remainder of the TPD Section E 1.4 on which it is based:</p> <p><i>The amount determined to be the Entry Point Daily Quantity Delivered in respect of any System Entry Point for the Gas Flow Day may be revised at any time up to and including the 5th following Day, but no revision will be made to such quantity after the 5th Day after the Gas Flow Day..</i></p> <p>The proposed new Limb (b) defined term '<b>Delivering Pipeline User</b>' is based on the equivalent UNC term in Section E 1.1.2</p> <p><i>(c) in relation to a System Entry Point, a "<b>Delivering User</b>" is a User treated as delivering gas to the Total System at that point on that Day;</i></p> <p>UNC TPD I would ordinarily amend this term (3.11.1 (b) an "<b>LDZ System Entry Point User</b>" is a Delivering User at an LDZ System Entry Point;), so to simplify the legal text the decision has been made to use just the one term proposed here throughout the section.</p> <p>An email was sent to SGN and CDSP seeking their views (see also 2.5.3 which relates to the same).</p> <p>An email has been received stating that no one from the CDSP will be attending the Legal text workgroup for IGT 172 as this modification has no Central Systems impacts.</p>
<p>3.4</p> <p>3.4.2</p> <p>Action from IGT-UNC meeting 11 Jan 2024</p>	<p><b>Payment in respect of non-compliant gas</b> BR 1. a. iii. 3.</p> <p>Relief to IGT where entry provisions are breached.</p> <p>UNC TPD Section I 3.11.9 refers to a definition term '<b>Applicable Liability Gas Price definition</b>' which does not have an equivalent in IGT-UNC.</p> <p>The UNC GTC defined term '<b>Applicable Liability Gas Price</b>' has been added into the consolidated document through pointing/reference as a new Part M defined term.</p>
<p>3.9</p> <p>Action from IGT-UNC meeting 11 Jan 2024</p>	<p><b>Restricted delivery of gas</b> BR 1. a. iii. 3.</p> <p>UNC TPD Section I 3.9 refers to a definition term '<b>Transportation Constraint</b>' which does not have an equivalent in IGT-UNC.</p> <p>This issue is resolved by the new wording added under action 2.6.5.</p>
<p>3.11.9</p> <p>Action from IGT-UNC meeting 11 Jan 2024</p>	<p>Relief to IGT where entry provisions are breached. BR 1. a. iii. 3.</p> <p>UNC TPD Section I 3.11.9 refers to a definition term '<b>Transportation Constraint</b>' which does not have an equivalent in IGT-UNC.</p> <p>This issue is resolved by the new wording added under action 2.6.5.</p>