

Consultation Response

IGT171: Settlement Adjustments for Supply Meter Points impacted by the Central Switching System P1 Incident.

 \square

Responses invited by: 20th November 2023

Respondent Details

Name: Stuart Monk

Organisation: mua Gas Limited

Support Implementation	Х
Qualified Support	
Neutral	

Do Not Support	
----------------	--

IGT171 Consultation Response 30th October 2023 Version 1.0 Page 1 of 4 © 2023 all rights reserved



Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

This mod will support the allocation of correct IGT transportation charges from the P1 incident of the CSS earlier this year and to allocate these charges to the correct Shipper of the systems that may have been affected by that P1 incident. If 'do nothing' then certain shippers would have been paying too much / not enough for the transportation charges based on the P1 incident which is both unfair and allocated upon mismatching supply point data details.

> IGT171 Consultation Response 30th October 2023 Version 1.0 Page 2 of 4 © 2023 all rights reserved



Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

Due to the retrospective nature to amend costs with past transportation charges, we support that this should be an authority decision

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

None others to highlight

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Support that this mod has a positive impact on Improved safety and reliability & Improved quality of service objectives

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

There will me administrative involvement of the retrospective nature of each IGT/Shipper affected by the P1 incident but these costs are likely to be absorbed within the administerial management of each of those companies affected to ensure the correct allocated transportation costs are maintained.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

As this is a retrospective application, the timescales are not as definitive however there should be a time limit as to the affected parties arranging the solution implementation of this mod for their own systems/accounts. The timescale of this ideally should fall before the affected financial years accounts are due (if possible.)

IGT171 Consultation Response 30th October 2023 Version 1.0 Page 3 of 4 © 2023 all rights reserved



Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

No further comment

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

No further comments

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com

IGT171 Consultation Response 30th October 2023 Version 1.0 Page 4 of 4 © 2023 all rights reserved