

Consultation Response

IGT170: Resolution of Missing Messages following Central Switching Service implementation and integration with REC Change R0067

Y

 \square

Responses invited by: 20th November 2023

Respondent Details

Name: Cher Harris

Organisation: Indigo Pipelines Limited

Support Implementation Qualified Support

Neutral

Do N	ot Sı	upport
------	-------	--------

IGT170 Consultation Response 30th October 2023 Version 1.0 Page 1 of 4 © 2023 all rights reserved



Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We support the proposals intention to ensure that CDSP and CSS take steps to maintain data alignment in order to minimise the impact to invoicing and Settlements, following a Missing Messages event.

> IGT170 Consultation Response 30th October 2023 Version 1.0 Page 2 of 4 © 2023 all rights reserved



Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

We agree with the proposer that this modification can proceed as Self Governance

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

None identified

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We agree with the proposer that this modification would have a positive impact on Objective D, by reducing exceptions in the Switching process, and also Objective F by applying a materiality test that prevents IGTs being overwhelmed with invoice adjustments for immaterial sums.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

Invoice adjustments arising from this process may need additional resource to manage but it is difficult to predict how often this scenario might occur, and the requirement for CDSP to take action to maintain alignment with CSS should mitigate the impact to IGT invoicing. Therefore minimal impact and costs anticipated.

Implementation What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

Implementation should, where possible, align with related changes going through REC and UNC governance

IGT170 Consultation Response 30th October 2023 Version 1.0 Page 3 of 4

© 2023 all rights reserved



Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

We are satisfied that the legal text facilitates the proposal

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

No further comments

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com

IGT170 Consultation Response 30th October 2023 Version 1.0 Page 4 of 4 © 2023 all rights reserved