

**IGT171 - Settlement Adjustments for Supply Meter Points impacted by the Central Switching System P1 Incident**

*Statement from CDSP on the importance of implementing this Modification and the implications of what the work needed to exclude IGT sites from any system changes.*

David Addison, CDSP

*“We haven’t planned to differentiate the process for sites connected to the DN and IGT Networks, so we would need to vary the process to do so, and it would reduce economies of scale in the implementation of the processes from our side (for example, we’d need to load the UNC reads, wait and load the IGT UNC reads a few months later ... then run a separate process to get the deadlines established for SARs etc, etc), and it would also do the same for Shippers as they would need to stand up teams to manage the various the UNC Transportation charges and then the IGT equivalents.*

*Any delay to the IGT Mod implementation would mean that consumers on IGT Networks would be impacted beyond the equivalent of their DN counterparts, and the settlement positions would not be corrected until potentially significantly after.*

*I would hope that the decision process for IGT Mods and UNC Mods should be the same, save for some very minor details the Mods were the same Mod, so Ofgem themselves would benefit from economies of scale by considering them together.”*