

IGT UNC 23-08 Modification Workstream Meeting

Draft Minutes

Thursday, 10th August 2023 via Teleconference

Attendee	Initial	Organisation	Notes	
Anne Jackson	AJ	Gemserv	Chair	
Andrew Eisenberg	AE	E.ON		
Charlotte Gilbert	CG	BUUK		
Cher Harris	СН	Indigo		
Claire Louise Roberts	CR	Scottish Power		
David Addison	DA	Xoserve	Present for Item 7	
Ellie Rogers	ER	Xoserve		
Helen Bevan	НВ	Gemserv	Code Administrator	
Jenny Rawlinson	JR	BUUK		
Nick King	NK	Barrow Shipping	Present for Item 7	
Stuart Monk	SM	MUA		
Tracey Saunders	TS	Northern Gas	Present for Item 5	
Isaac Moore	IM	Gemserv	Secretariat	

1. Welcome and Apologies for Absence

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting and accepted apologies from David Morley.

2. Confirmation of Agenda

The Chair confirmed the items for discussion as outlined in the Final Agenda and asked attendees for 'Any Other Business' (AOB) items, which there were none.

3. Approval of the Previous Minutes 22-08

IM informed the Workgroup that no comments were received for the draft 23-07 Modification Workstream meeting minutes prior to the meeting. CG asked to confirm a statement made by David Morley, regarding the funding arrangements for UNC0843 — Establishing the Independent Shrinkage Charge and the Independent Shrinkage Expert and IGT165 — Independent Shrinkage Expert and Independent Shrinkage Expert and Independent Shrinkage Charge. The Chair noted this and indicated that the Code Administrator would review the recording of the 23-07 Workstream meeting. The minutes were carried over for approval to the September 2023 Workstream meeting.

4. Outstanding Actions

The Chair informed the Workgroup that there were two outstanding actions as follows:



- 23/07 01: David Morley to provide an illustration of the shrinkage allocation
 calculation process for UNC0843, in support of the IGT165 Workgroup discussion at
 the next Modification Workstream meeting. This action was carried over to the September
 2023 Workstream meeting as DM, the proposer of both UNC0843 and IGT165, was absent
 from the meeting.
- 23/07 02: Ellie Rogers to provide any relevant information with respect to the procurement process for the Independent Shrinkage Expert (ISE) to the next Modification Workstream meeting, where that information may support the development of IGT165. ER informed the Workgroup that in terms of Procurement, the CDSP will not issue anything to the industry until UNC0843 is approved. They noted that the proposed ISE and Independent Shrinkage Charge (ISC) have no precedent in other structures such as the Allocation of Unidentified Gas Expert (AUGE). SM agreed that it would be useful to have sight of the Procurement information. The Chair added that as this will be an Authority Decision Modification, the Authority will want to see insights of the costs for this Modification. ER agreed that currently the Authority would not have the oversight of the costs of the Independent Shrinkage Expert and Independent Shrinkage Charge. The Chair added that the only way to mitigate the risk was if the benefit is seen as large enough to outweigh the costs. Action closed.

Code Matters arising

5. UNC0853 - CDSP Permissions to facilitate implementation of UNC0701

The Chair welcomed TS, as the proposer of the Modification, to the meeting. TS gave an overview of UNC0853. <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.

The Chair asked why any Daily Metered (DM) sites on a CSEP are not subject to similar arrangements as a single DM site CSEP. TS said that the DM site could not be disconnected by the Distribution Network (DN) without cutting the gas to all sites on the CSEP. In the UNC, the NExA must be put in place before the flow of gas can begin.

TS then introduced the concept of ratcheting to the Workgroup. In these situations, a customer is charged a financial penalty when gas consumption passes a cap.

TS specified that in UNC0853 a site exceeding its agreed capacity of gas gives the CDSP permission to reduce the booking of gas on that site if the Shipper has not taken the action themselves. This could include single MPRNs on a CSEP if the booking is greater than the NExA with the IGT. An IGT



Modification would be required to manage the capacity cap through shipper / supplier relationships with the consumer for the IGTs.

JR asked TS if the IGTs will be responsible for ensuring that MPRNs do not rise above the predetermined NExA levels. TS said that this will be the responsibility of Shippers. They added that the CDSP has been in contact with Shippers to ensure compliance on their sites. If sites have bookings above NExA levels, Shippers will be in breach of the Code once UNC0701 is implemented. ER said that if the levels are increasing within the range, the CDSP will refer the site to the Shipper. JR asked to confirm that parties cannot go above the agreed values. TS confirmed that this is a hard line.

CH asked how an IGT can know that a NExA on the IGT network has been breached. TS said that the transporter will have a signed document that allows transparency between transporters and sites through the Gas Enquiry Service (GES). CH asked if the IGT would be involved once a Shipper is notified of the breach. ER said this was not a line of communication that involved the IGT. TS asked ER if the CDSP could open a channel to allow the IGT to be included. ER said that they could look into this. CH agreed that having this communication would reinforce the consumer agreement with the IGT.

SM asked TS if the calculation for the cap was done entirely on analysis of the network. TS said that this was done with a formula to incorporate many factors, and not a specific usage level. SM confirmed that it is based on specific factors that affect levels at any specific time.

JR asked if this could apply to Daily Metered sites. TS said that these would be Daily Metered sites.

The Chair asked TS if the ratchets are applied to single MPRN CSEPs. TS said that the ratchets apply to CSEPs rather than sites. JR said that IGTs do not have a concept of ratchets on IGT sites. The Chair said that the relationship with the DN would be in the UNC. The Chair said that under these new Modifications the CSEP will be contacted. The IGT UNC text should allow the CSEP to contact the Shipper, who can rectify the situation.

The Chair said that there might need to be clarification on who the CDSP interfaces with, and the Shipper obligation would require an entry in the IGT UNC.

TS clarified that NExAs are not in the IGTAD but rather in the UNC. The UNC states certain aspects of them, but the rest is operational. A CSEP NExA can cover multiple MPRNs.

SM said that this should be a wider discussion for the IGTs. The end user needs to inform their Shipper. TS said that a NExA on an IGT should be between the IGT and the site.

TS said that they were willing to work with the IGTs to develop the concepts around the NExA.

DA said that the period is quite tight with restricting the NExA. The Chair confirmed that UK Link can support seasonal loads on IGTs, but the Code does not support this. CH added that NExAs are not visible to IGTs.

TS said that in the CSEP overview, the DN has a valve to control the flow of gas to the CSEP.



CH asked what specific data is monitored to understand a breach in the site. ER said that there is a NExA SOQ and SHQ for each site. If the request is above the NExA, the CDSP identifies the site to be in breach. DA explained this further. CH noted that IGTs do not have visibility on this.

TS informed the Workgroup that they would like to see a final Authority decision for the 4th November 2023 implementation and that UNC0853 would be on the agenda for the August UNC Panel meeting. They added that the intention was to send UNC0853 to consultation to enable an implementation on 4th November as well.

The Chair informed the Workgroup that the IGT UNC will require a modification to allow IGTs to manage the NExA implications. The Chair said that following this discussion they believe that the IGT UNC Modification(s) will require Authority consent. SM said it was important that IGTs be informed if they are affected by UNC0701. DA said that as the Modification development was completed for UNC0701, parties believed that there would not be an effect on IGTs.

SM volunteered to sponsor the IGT UNC Modification(s).

<u>Action: 23/08 – 01</u>: Code Administrator to examine the IGT UNC to understand if the NExA concept is already included in the Code.

<u>Action: 23/08 – 02</u>: SM to discuss the timetables for raising and developing an IGT UNC Modification(s) with the Code Administrator.

MODIFICATIONS

6. IGT165 - Independent Shrinkage Expert and Independent Shrinkage Charge

The Chair informed the Workgroup that as the Proposer of IGT165 was absent, this item would be carried over to the September 2023 IGT UNC Workstream meeting.

7. Cross Code Modification Implications Tracker

<u>UNC0855 – Settlement Adjustments for Supply Meter Points impacted by the Central Switching</u>
P1 Incident

DA presented slides and gave an overview of the background for UNC0855. This began with a summary of <u>UNC0836 – Resolution of Missing Messages following Central Switching Service implementation and integration with REC Change R0067.</u>

The purpose of these Modifications was to reinforce the communications between the CDSP, the DCC, and the REC. This was to avoid the possibility of discrepancies between Switching and messages being issued. The recent CSS Switching incident had done important damage to Settlement over the period. DA informed the Workgroup that they believed that the two UNC Modifications would require two equivalent IGT UNC Modifications.

DA added that there are no IGT sites currently under the jurisdiction of UNC0836.



The Chair asked ER if any IGT sites were involved in the derogation request of the UNC Committee (UNCC) allowing the CDSP to manually intervene in the shipper switching process. ER would verify with this with DA.

The Chair confirmed that the issue is completely random for sites included within the incidents. SM asked if there were any answers as to how this has happened. ER said that they would speak with DA. The Chair gave some additional context on the nature of the incident. As the DCC continues to investigate the incidents, parties were hoping to receive more information.

CH asked how exposed IGTs were to correct incorrect invoicing in these cases. JR said that they believed that the IGT UNC Code contained text to protect against this happening.

The Chair confirmed that UNC0836 does not include a retrospective adjustment. CH said that this could be a serious concern, where the dates in the billing information in relation to shippers responsible can change at random for IGTs in the future for Transporters. The Chair confirmed with ER that billing might be impacted for a period of up to 28 days.

The Chair said that the implications of the two UNC modifications will need to be fully understood by the IGT UNC parties. They added that the legal drafting for UNC0836 would require small changes to the IGT UNC. They mentioned specifically the inclusion of 5.18 in Section M.

The Chair informed the Workgroup that while IGT sites had been quite fortunate in avoiding major issues thus far, this could not be guaranteed in the future. They emphasised the need for an IGT Modification to be raised and considered in a short timeline.

JR asked if only one Modification was raised to mirror UNC0855, would the functionally apply to UNC0836 as well for the IGT UNC? ER said that the two Modifications had been consciously raised as separate Modifications.

JR said that they were worried by the retrospective invoicing and their reliance on the CDSP.

JR asked ER if the volume of adjustment could be adjusted, through notification of change. ER said that they could not provide an answer at the time.

The Chair said that the CDSP is aware of the MPRNs and that they could identify impacted IGTs. ER said that this was dependent on the materiality test of UNC0855 and whether parties chose to opt out.

UNC0842 - Gas Entry onto the Total system via an Independent Gas Transporter

The Chair invited NK to present on the need for an IGT Modification to marry with UNC0842. NK presented their slides, which illustrated the changes in UNC0842 and the role of the IGT site.

NK believed that the IGT UNC Modification Proposal would be similar to UNC0842, after a conversation with lawyers. The diagram presentation is part of the Gas Act Section 5. NK confirmed with the Chair that the IGT UNC Modification would "marry" rather than "mirror" with UNC0842. NK added that they were looking to raise this Modification on rather than SGN.



NK gave a comprehensive presentation of the role of IGTs in allowing gas to be sent back through the network to the DN level, and the business rules that allow this to happen.

NK asked about IGT to IGT interactions in the IGT UNC. NK Specified that this concept was the "nested CSEP". The Chair said that they were not aware drafting in the IGT UNC specific to "nested CSEP".

They informed the Workgroup that the primary new term added to the Code would be "IGT LDZ SEP" (IGT Local Distribution Zone System Exit Point).

NK added that there were several tasks that needed to be done to draft the new legal text for the IGT UNC Modification.

The Chair invited questions from the Workgroup. SM asked to confirm with NK that the purpose of the UNC Modification is to take gas back up through the system from the IGT level to the DN level. They asked how this would work at the Gemini level. NK responded that specifically in the context of the anaerobic digestion plant, the level of gas is very small. SM said that quality of gas is always guaranteed downstream, but there is no other mechanism to ensure that the quality of gas remains when it travels back through the network. NK said that the DN will do what is necessary to ensure that the quality remains.

JR asked to ensure that the changes to the IGT UNC do not have an impact on any existing processes in the Code. NK agreed with this.

NK informed the Workgroup that the timing of the IGT UNC Modification would depend on the progression of UNC0842.

JR asked NK if the UNC0808 Reverse Compression Modification will also appear in the IGT UNC. NK said that UNC0808 is currently out for consultation, and the legal team had not notified NK of the need for an IGT UNC Modification. JR said that it would be useful to understand the rationale from the lawyers regarding their decision on UNC0808.

The Chair thanked NK for their presentation.

Cross-code tracker

The Code Administrator informed the Workgroup of the new Modification from the UNC and the standard updates to the Cross-Code Tracker

IGT UNC Impact Assessment

<u>UNC0852 - Shipper notification in relation to option exercise for Customer Demand Side Response</u>: CG informed the Workgroup that the legal text added by the Proposer would be encompassed in the IGT UNC by the legal text in IGT167.

<u>UNC0842 - Gas Entry onto the Total system via an Independent Gas Transporter</u>: The Workgroup now understood that an IGT UNC Modification is required for this UNC Modification.



<u>UNC0825 - Removal of remaining Retrospective Asset, Address and Supply Point (RAASP) elements of the Retrospective Adjustment Arrangements put in place under Modification 0434</u>: ER informed the Workgroup that the report for UNC0825 states that there is no need for an IGT UNC Modification as there was never an IGT UNC Modification for the retrospective Modification. They added that this Modification would be presented at the August UNC Panel before a consultation. The Chair thanked ER and informed the Workgroup that the Tracker would be updated to incorporate the feedback on the need for an IGT UNC Modification.

Watchlist

CG proposed that UNC0836 be added to the Tracker.

<u>UNC0848S - Alignment of Entry and Exit Capacity Constraint Management Provisions</u>: The Code Administrator informed the Workgroup that there is not believed to be any impact on the IGT UNC.

<u>UNC0844</u> and <u>UNC0845</u>: CG informed the Workgroup that both of these Modifications were accepted by Ofgem.

<u>UNC0841 - Introduction of cost efficiency and transparency requirements for the CDSP Budget, and revisions to DSC change processes</u>: ER said that it had been mentioned whether or not IGTs were impacted. CG updated that the Modification had a one-month extension added to it. The Chair asked ER if the Modification was expected to progress quickly. ER said that this depended on the CDSP receiving some clarification on certain points within the Modification. JR asked to ensure that it was recorded that some intent was removed from this Modification in order to accelerate its development. Therefore, it was likely that a new Modification would be raised to cover the governance aspects which were removed from UNC0841.

<u>UNC0831A - Allocation of LDZ UIG to Shippers (Class 3 and 4) Based on a Straight Throughput Method</u>: ER said that the Workgroup report states that there is no impact to IGTs or on the IGT UNC. The Legal Drafting is contained in Section E of the UNC. The Chair said that if the Modification requires activity at a site level, there would need to be changes to the IGT UNC.

<u>UNC0819 - Establishing/Amending a Gas Vacant Site Process</u>: The Code Administrator said that a Proposer had come forward for the IGT UNC Modification.

<u>UNC0816S - Update to AQ Correction Processes</u>: This Modification was approved and is awaiting implementation. The Chair said that they would review the legal text to determine the need for an IGT Modification due to changes in the legal text.

<u>UNC0813 - Revision of Virtual Last Resort User and Contingent Procurement of Supplier Demand</u>
<u>Event Triggers</u>: ER informed the Workgroup that this Modification was rejected by the Authority. They added that the Proposer was putting forward another Modification at a later date.

<u>UNC0811S - Shipper Agreed Read (SAR) exceptions process</u>: The Code Administrator informed the Workgroup that the IGT UNC Code already points to the new Legal drafting.



<u>Action: 23/08 – 03</u>: IGTs to consider the implications of the retrospective invoicing of UNC0836 and UNC0855, and who will sponsor an IGT UNC Modification.

<u>Action: 23/08 – 04:</u> NK to consult with the lawyers on the need for a IGT UNC Modification to incorporate Reverse Compression (UNC0808).

8. IGT UNC Known Issues Register

HB informed the Workgroup that a small update had been made to the Register to record the issue of Panel Shipper Representation.

AOB

9. ESP License Consolidation

The Chair informed the Workgroup that ESP plans to consolidate their IGT licenses into one license.

10. Panel Shipper Representation

The Chair informed the Workgroup that as the only remaining Shipper was leaving the Panel, there could be a serious issue with the Shipper voice being heard on Panel. JR highlighted that while this was not an ideal situation, having no Shippers would not affect the functions of the Panel in decision making on Modifications as the Code allows for meetings to be reconvened without quoracy (i.e. potentially no shipper representation) at which decisions can be made.

The next Workgroup meeting is scheduled for Thursday 14th September 2023



Annex 1 - Actions Table

Reference	Action	Owner	Status
23-07 / 01	23/07 – 01: David Morley to provide an illustration of the	David Morley	Carried Forward to
	shrinkage allocation calculation process for UNC0843, in		September 2023
	support of the IGT165 Workgroup discussion at the next		
	Modification Workstream meeting.		
23/07 – 02	23/07 – 02: Ellie Rogers to provide any relevant	Elie Rogers	Closed
	information with respect to the procurement process for		
	the Independent Shrinkage Expert to the next Modification		
	Workstream meeting, where that information may support		
	the development of IGT165.		
23/08 – 01	Code Administrator to examine the IGT UNC to understand	Code	Open
	the way in which the concept of NExA is included in the	Administrator	
	Code.		
23/08 – 02	Stuart Monk to discuss the timetables for raising and	Stuart Monk	Open
	developing an IGT UNC Modification for UNC0853 with the		
	Code Administrator.		
	IGTs to consider the implications of the retrospective	Transporters	Open
	invoicing and to find sponsor for Modification for		
	UNC0836 and UNC0855.		
23/08 – 04	Nick King to consult with the legal team on the need for a	Nick King	Open
	IGT UNC Modification to incorporate UNC 0808 - Reverse		
	Compression.		