

IGT UNC 22-12 Modification Workstream Meeting

Draft Minutes

Thursday 8th December 2022 via Teleconference

Attendee	Initial	Organisation	Notes
Anne Jackson	AJ	Gemserv	Chair
Amie Lauper-Bull	ALB	Gemserv	Secretariat
Cher Harris	СН	Indigo Pipelines	
Claire Roberts	CR	Scottish Power	
Clare Manning	СМ	E.ON	
Dave Addison	DA	Xoserve	CDSP
Ellie Rogers	ER	Xoserve	CDSP
Kundai Matiringe	KM	BUUK	
Michelle Brown	MB	Energy Assets	
Talia Lattimore	TL	Gemserv	Code Administrator

1. Welcome and Apologies for Absence

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting and confirmed that no apologies had been received. All attendees were informed that the meeting was being recorded.

2. Confirmation of Agenda

The Chair confirmed the items for discussion as outlined in the Final Agenda and asked attendees for 'Any Other Business' (AOB) items, which there were none.

3. Approval of the Previous Minutes 22-11

The Code Administrator (CA) informed the Workgroup that no comments were received for the draft 22-11 Modification Workstream meeting minutes prior to the meeting. The Workgroup had no comments to add to the minutes at the meeting and they were approved as a true and accurate record of the meeting.

4. Outstanding Actions

The CA informed the Workgroup that there were three outstanding actions:



22-11/ 01 Code Administrator to check that all groups involved are using the same definition for 'output nomination'. TL informed the Workgroup that they had examined both the UNC and IGT UNC and noted that the IGT UNC makes a direct reference to the definition of 'output nomination', but they would also need to look at National Grid documentation to ensure that the definition was the same across all three documents. This action was formally closed.

22-11/02 The Code Administrator to hold talks with National Grid to discuss their working assumptions to aid in determining what data permissions may be required under the IGT UNC.

The Chair informed the Workgroup that they had a conversation with National Grid regarding UNC0822 / UNC0833 and it was agreed that the Modifications did relate to the IGT UNC because the Demand Side Response (DSR) activities would need to be used for IGT sites too. The Chair stated that the effects of the two Modifications would need to be combined for an IGT UNC Modification and confirmed that National Grid had further plans for Demand Side Response (DSR) activity. This action was formally closed.

22-11/3 Shippers to privately inform the IGT UNC of any customers they think would consider using this scheme on IGT networks this winter. The Chair advised that no Shippers had informed the Code Administrator that they were aware of any customers that may want to use the scheme, however more DSR Modifications would be coming soon. This action was formally closed.

5. Cross-Code Modification Implications Tracker

TL took the workgroup through the Cross-Code Modification Tracker and the following Modifications were highlighted:

UNC0833 - Enabling Demand Side Response (DSR) Market Offers to be made by Non-Trading System Transactions: This Modification was raised to enable National Grid NTS to effect Gas DSR trades with Users that do not have access to the On-the-Day Commodity Market (OCM) and correct two drafting oversights from UNC0822 – Reform of Gas Demand Side Arrangements. Ofgem have agreed that Urgent procedures should apply. This Modification is currently out for consultation with responses due by 2nd December. The FMR is due to be provided to the Panel on 7th December. Any Modification raised in the IGT UNC to implement provisions under UNC0822 will also need to take UNC0833 into account.

UNC0822 - Reform of Gas Demand Side Response Arrangements: The UNC Modification was discussed at the November IGT UNC Workgroup meeting in an effort to determine what aspects of the UNC Modification need to be included in the IGT UNC. The Code Administrator will continue work in this area until a Modification can be raised.

UNC0819 – Establishing/Amending a Gas Vacant Site Process: The Workgroup report is due to be taken to Panel in March 2023.



UNC0816 – Update to AQ Correction Processes: The Workgroup report is due to be taken to Panel in January 2023.

UNC0815 – DSC Committee Quoracy: This Modification is currently out for industry consultation, with responses due by 8th December.

UNC0811 – Shipper Agreed Read (SAR) Exceptions Process: The Workgroup Report is due to be presented to Panel in December.

UNC0808 – Reverse Compression: An Amended Modification has been submitted and the Modification now sees to introduce "clarification of the requirements when gas can flow to a DN from an IGT as well as from a DN to an IGT, such as through reverse compression with zero net flow into or out of the DN". TL recommended that IGTs have a look at the Amended Modification.

UNC0799 – UNC Arrangements for the H100 Fife Project (100% Hydrogen): This Modification is awaiting implementation. CR noted that the timescales for H100 had changed, and that it would now come into effect in Q3-4 in 2024.

IGT162 - Appointment of CDSP as the Scheme Administrator for the Energy Price Guarantee (EPG) for Domestic Gas Consumers (Gas) The Panel considered this Modification at its November meeting and unanimously agreed a recommendation to the Authority that it be implemented. The Final Modification Report has been submitted to Ofgem for Authority decision.

UNC0812R - Review of Alternatives to "Must Read" Arrangements: TL confirmed that there is still no intention of expanding the discussion from DN to IGT with regards to this Review Group.

CM asked if the pause that was put in place for Must Reads could be extended until IGT159 – Amendments to the Must Read Process are implemented. ER stated that they were working on the equivalent XRN change and had emailed their operational team earlier that morning to chase the matter. ER informed the Workgroup that the XRN change was imminent and would be raised for January 2023. ER also noted that they would need to take the change to the relevant committee to get the extension approved.

6. IGT UNC Known Issues Register

TL took the Workgroup through the Known Issues Register noting that there were no new updates.

The Chair stated that Ofgem had noted to the proposer of <u>IGT132VV – Introduction of IGT Code</u> <u>Credit Rules</u> that they were likely to accept the Modification. It was originally thought that the acceptance date would have been at the end of November 2022, however notification of the final Authority Decision had not been received.



AOB

7. Urgent IGT UNC Modification - UNC0822 - Reform of Gas Demand Side Response Arrangements

The update for this agenda item was given as part of Agenda Item 6.

8. Late Gate Closure Messages and Missing Messages

DA took the Workgroup through the <u>Late Gate Closure Messages and Missing Messages</u>

<u>Presentation</u> in detail, highlighting the below questions (marked in red within the presentation), also indicating the views of other parties impacted similarly, such as the <u>UNC</u>'s Distribution Workgroup:

- Slide 7: With regards to the use of a proxy Secured Active Notification, do the IGT UNC want / need to consider a similar decision independently under the IGT UNC?
- Slide 9: With regards to Data Permissions and whether the incoming Shipper should be treated as the Prospective Shipper or the Registered Shipper, are there any alternative views to what was concluded by the Distribution Workgroup (DWG)?
- Slide 9: With regards to needing to define a process to make information available to the shipper that would have held the registration, are there any alternative views to what was concluded by the DWG?
- **Slide 10:** With regards to updates to the Supply Point from the current Shipper, are there any alternative views to what was concluded by the DWG?
- Slide 10: With regards to the UK Link (UKL) Registered Shipper updating Supply Point Register post the Central Switching Service (CSS) Registration Effective Date (EFD), are there any alternative views to what was concluded by the DWG?
- Slide 10: With regards to the UKL system having rejected updates to the Supply Point
 Register post CSS Registration EFD from the perspective of the incoming Shipper, are there
 any alternative views to what was concluded by the DWG?
- Slide 12/14: To what extent is the IGT UNC explicitly looking across to UNC TBD Section M?
- Slide 14: UNCC are content that CDSP can act in some instances without Secured Active Registration, is there some equivalent consideration required under the IGT UNC?

The Workgroup considered the detail within the presentation and the questions posed within. CH advised that they were not in a position at the meeting to contradict what has been advised or able to propose any alternatives. This was partly due to not knowing the detail of the current issues.

KM asked DA what they recommend as the GRDA with regards to next steps. DA suggested that if they can get the extracts they need from DCC that the registrations could be set live using the secured active notification. KM asked if there were any timelines with regards to delivering the next steps. DA confirmed that they are finalising things at the Xoserve end and if they get what they need from the DCC they will be able to set the registrations live ahead of Christmas though this may go into the new year should there be any code freezes. KM asked if it's looking likely that DCC will provide



the required extracts and what would happen if it's not received. DA noted that Xoserve would need to go through the system to identify all of the components of the registrations and if there is enough information in the pending registrations they may be able to make an assumption of what needs to go live but they would only be comfortable doing so if they can also get CSS to confirm that the information sent is correct.

No other members were able to contradict or advised any alternatives to DA's approach as stated.

The Workgroup also agreed that it would be useful for DA to share the impact assessment and return to the Workgroup with an update in due course.

The Chair asked what the implications would be for gas allocation on the day. DA stated that in a case where Shipper A is the Shipper who held the site before CSS effective date and Shipper B held the site after that date, the way it had materialised in the past was that the Supplier would get a notification stating that they were no longer the Supplier at that site and the Shipper would also get the same message. This would mean that the Shipper would stop nominations for that site. In the worst-case scenario, Shipper B would not get a notification and would not commence the nominations. National Grid would go to the On-the-day Commodity Market (OCM) and take a balancing action on behalf of Shipper A. They could then buy the gas at the System Average Price (SAP). The problem is that charges at the present time could amount to £5 per therm, which could end up totalling over £1 million. This could be a factor as to whether a business can survive.

The Chair informed the Workgroup that PAFA would be raising this issue as a risk in the PAC meeting the following week.

The next Workgroup meeting is scheduled for Thursday 12th January 2022.



Annex A - Action Log

Action reference	Action Description	Owner	Status
WS 22-11/ 01	Code Administrator to check that all groups involved are using the same definition for 'output nomination'.	Code Administrator	Closed
WS 22-11/02	The Code Administrator to hold talks with National Grid to discuss their working assumptions to aid in determining what data permissions may be required under the IGT UNC.	Code Administrator	Closed
WS 22-11/03	Shippers to privately inform the IGT UNC of any customers they think would consider using this scheme on IGT networks this winter.	Shippers	Closed