

Consultation Response

IGT138V: Performance Assurance Techniques and Controls							
Responses invited by: 23 rd May 2022							
Respondent Details							
Name: Michelle Brown							
Organisation: Energy Asset Pipelines (EAP)							
Support Implementation	X						
Qualified Support							
Neutral							
Do Not Support							

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

EAP are in support of modification IGT138V as this should provide an effective framework for the governance of industry performance that gives industry participants mutual assurance in accuracy of settlement volume allocation and should result in an increase in competition and the allow new shippers to enter the market.

IGT138V Consultation Response 23rd May 202

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 6

age I of 0



IGT138V Consultation Response

23rd May 202

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 6



Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

As this modification will introduce Performance Assurance into the IGT UNC which will result in	n a material
change to the Code, we agree that this change should be send to the Authority for a decision.	This is also
consistent with the treatment of UNC0674.	

ารе

<u>vci3i0i1 1.0</u>



Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered							
N/A							

IGT138V Consultation Response

23rd May 202

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 6



Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We consider that this change would have a positive effect on relevant objectives (D) and (F).

- (D) The modification should result in the reduction of settlement costs by reducing volume uncertainty at nomination and allocation, thereby reducing the likelihood of shippers passing premiums into customer contracts. It should also reduce costs for shippers in meeting UNC obligations and ensure that one Party's commercial decisions do not impact any other party. This should improve competition and support new shippers entering the market.
- (F) The modification also promotes the effectiveness of PAF and promotes a more efficient application and administration of the Code by implementing a single set of Performance Assurance Arrangements across both the IGT UNC and the UNC.

IGT138V Consultation Response

23rd May 202

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 6



Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

_	1.0.	:CT042014	~		1 100 0		
⊢∩r	modification	1(-11)1380 We	e confirm that we	will not incli	r additional	develonment of	r ongoing costs
1 01	mounication	ICIOTOCA M	- Committe and we	, will flot liledi	auditional	acvelopinent of	Ulidollid Costsi

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

As this modification requires an authority direction is received and subject DSC Change Management Procedures for any system changes. EAP agree that this should not be implemented if UNC0674 be rejected. This should be implemented on the same date as UNC0674.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

YES

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

NO

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com

IGT138V Consultation Response

23rd May 202

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 6