

| Process       | Sub Process  | UNC Code Reference | Business Rule  | Processing Date   | Action Subsequent to Last Processing Date   | IGT UNC References  |
|---------------|--|--------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Confirmations |  | G 6.6.8 a          | Confirmation must be submitted and Proposed Supply Point Registration Date must be on or prior to CSSID. | From the day following CSSID-[30]SPSBDs<br>CDSP will reject Confirmations with a Proposed Supply Point Registration Date greater than CSSID | As stated.  | IGT UNC C I Supply Point Registration 12.7 states "For the purposes of this Clause 12.7 the provisions of Section G6.6.8 of the UNC shall apply" – exclusions listed are not impacted by Transitional text. |
|               |  | G 6.6.8 b          |  | Last Processing Date: CSSID – [14]Ds (excl submission day)  | CDSP will reject any Confirmations either:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Because minimum period is not observed (existing validation)</li><li>- Proposed Supply Point Registration Date greater than CSSID (Transition only)</li></ul> |   |
|               | Supply Point Withdrawal submitted by Registered User (but has not become an Effective Supply Point Withdrawal (see below)) | G 6.6.8 b i        | Defines Last Processing Date   | Last Processing Date: CSSID – [4]SPSBDs (excl submission date)  |   |   |
|               | 'Re-confirmation' – i.e. No change of User   | G 6.6.8 b ii       |  |   |   | IGT UNC C I – 12.10 references Change of Supplier by Reconfirmation, but is not impacted by Transition text.  |

|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Initial Registration  |  |  |  |  | <p>We have not included any specific text for this scenario – NB: it is not clear where First Registration timescales are defined in the UNC save for reference to 'no change of Shipper' G 6.6.8 bii) and the Withdrawn Shipper G 6.6.8 bi). No specific text needed.</p> |
|  | Effective Supply Point Withdrawal (G6.12.1) - (i.e. Isolated and Withdrawn) |  |  |  |  | <p>PART C IV - SUPPLY POINT WITHDRAWAL AND ISOLATION references "For the purposes of this Clause 2 the provisions of paragraph 6.12.1 to 6.12.3 of Section G of the UNC shall apply" – exclusions listed do not impact the Transitional text.</p>                          |

|  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Transporter /<br>CDSP Initiated<br>Registrations |  | Within the<br>UNC the<br>CDSP is<br>granted<br>authority to<br>register<br>Supply<br>Meter<br>Points<br>(UNC TPD<br>G4.4.4;<br>G4.6.11;<br>G8.2.4;<br>G8.4.3;<br>G8.5.4) | Defines Last Processing<br>Date – but this is for<br>information only, as no<br>Legal Text is expected as<br>the timescales are not<br>currently specified in the<br>UNC | Last Processing Date<br>prior to CSS:<br><br>CSSID – [4] SPSBDs<br><br>For information, it is<br>anticipated that CDSP<br>Initiated Registrations<br>with resume on CSSID +<br>[0]SPSBDs. | <b><i>No Legal Text expected as<br/>there are no timings<br/>currently specified in the<br/>UNC.</i></b>                     | See Note B below.<br><br>Expect to add<br>something as to this<br>process in the IGT<br>UNC Transition text<br>as ket IGT UNC<br>reason for CDSP<br>Initiated Registrations<br>will not be in the UNC. |
|  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Maintenance of<br>the Supplier<br>Identity       |  | G 6.6.10   | Last Processing Date of<br>Supplier identity<br>Amendment via a<br>Confirmation.   | Last Processing Date<br>prior to CSSID will be<br>defined based upon the<br>rules above within the<br>Confirmation Section.   | Any Supplier identity updates<br>will need to be managed by<br>the Supplier via a Switch<br>Request to CSS under the<br>REC. | As per Confirmation<br>Section above. No<br>specific Transition<br>Text impact. C I 12.7.  |
|  |  |  | Last Processing Date of<br>Supply Point Amendment<br>(GEA) to notify the identity<br>of the Supplier   | Last Processing Date<br>prior to CSSID – [2]<br>SPSBDs where Supplier<br>Effective Date is on or<br>before CSSID  |  | See note A1 below:   |

|                       |  |          |  |  |  |   |
|-----------------------|--|----------|--|--|--|---|
|                       |  |          | Cancellation of any accepted Supplier Supply Point Amendments where they have yet to become effective and will not become effective prior to CSSID | [To be defined when this will be applied]  | <p><i>Note: the means of communication of this will not be via Batch UK Link Communication</i></p> <p><b>No Legal Text expected as this is not currently detailed in UK Link Manual.</b></p> | <p>This process is a one off process for Transition. There is no reference in the UNC against which to search for the IGT UNC.</p> <p>NB: Have suggested that there is a reference in UNC Transition Rules for this. If this is agreed to by the lawyers then text will be required for this in IGT UNC, or point to the UNC Transition Text.</p> |
|                       |  |          |  |  |  |   |
| Withdrawal Submission |  | G 6.11.2 | Defines Last Processing Date   | Last Processing Date: CSSID – [3] SBSBDs [Note: timescale is not specified in the UNC] | CDSP will reject any Withdrawals following LPD.  | <p>IGT UNC C I 15 Effect of Confirmation: Existing Supply Point not already withdrawn states: “For the purposes of this Clause 15 the provisions of</p>   |

**Commented [DA1]:** To be confirmed.

|   |  |          |   |  |   |   |
|---|--|----------|---|--|---|---|
|   |  |          |   |  |   | Section G6.9of the UNC shall apply" – exclusions listed do not impact the Transitional text.  |
|   |  |          |   |  | Suppliers will need to hold and submit Registration Deactivation Requests post CSS ID.  | This is a reference to a REC process, no need for IGT UNC text change.  |
| Accepted Withdrawals that have yet to become an Effective Supply Point Withdrawal (G6.12.1) (i.e. Isolated and Withdrawn) |  | G 6.12.1 | Cancellation of any Withdrawals that have yet to become effective. Following processing of the last Withdrawal files where these cannot be an Effective Supply Point Withdrawal prior to CSS Go Live such Withdrawals will have no effect, and so will be cancelled (after conclusion of above on CSSID – [3] SPSBD). | Following processing of final Withdrawal Submission (see line above) this will cancel any Withdrawals that not be able to become Effective Supply Point Withdrawals (i.e. the Supply Point hasn't already been subject to Isolation (see G 7.2 – note timings as Isolation transaction processing date +1D (G 7.2.3)). | <u>Transition text describes the CDSP ability to lapse Withdrawals that cannot become an Effective Supply Point Withdrawal.</u> | There is no reference in UNC as this is a specific task for Transition. Text will be required for this in IGT UNC, or point to the UNC Transition Text. |
|   |  |          |   |  | Suppliers will need to submit Registration Deactivation Requests in REC post CSS ID.  | This is a reference to a REC process, no need for IGT UNC text change.  |
|   |  |          |   |  | <i>Note: the means of communication of this will not be via Batch UK Link Communication as stipulated</i>                       | Reference to UKLM. No UNC IGT X-ref   |

|   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
|   |  |   |   |   | <p><i>in UKL CD1 - CODE COMMUNICATIONS REFERENCE document (line 316)</i></p> <p><b>No Legal Text expected as this is currently detailed in UK Link Manual.</b></p>  | expected or text required.   |
| Isolations (to complete an Effective Supply Point Withdrawal) |  | G 7, specifically G 7.2.3 refers to timings | Whilst processing of RGMA Transactions are unaffected by these Transition Rules, in order for an Isolation to be effective such that this will be considered to enable an Effective Supply Point Withdrawal these must be submitted by CSS ID – [3] SPSBDs. | Last Processing Date: CSSID – [3] SPSBDs [Note: timescales are a concatenation of 'Withdrawal Submission' timings (not stated in UNC) and Isolation timings (defined in Code)]. | Any RGMA transactions submitted after this date will still effect the Isolation, but the Effective Supply Point Withdrawal will not be enacted under the UNC, and this will need to be enacted by a Supplier using the Registration Deactivation Requests in REC post CSS ID. | IGT UNC C IV 4.1 states: For the purposes of this Clause 4 the provisions of paragraph 7.1 of Section G of the UNC shall apply. Exclusions listed do not impact the Transitional text. This is dealt with by the Transition text defining the point that Withdrawals will be lapsed if at CSSID-2SPSBDs they cannot become effective. No |

|  |  |  |  |  |   |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |   | change to Isolation processing.  |
| Utilisation of the Withdrawal Process to initiate the Erroneous Confirmation Process | Where a User has submitted a Confirmation but this Meter Point has never had a Meter fitted nor the CDSP has received any communication that a Meter has ever been fitted (including C&D Notices) then the Withdrawal is effected. | N/A – this is process is not detailed in the UNC | Post CSS Go-Live submission of the S39 Record (which is currently used to effect withdrawals) will have the effect of amending the Registerable Meter Point (RMP <sup>1</sup> ) Status to Dormant (subject to no Meter having been fitted or ever having been notified as fitted) to allow a Request Deactivation Request under CSS. | From CSSID – [2] SBSBDs submission of an accepted S39 Record will effect the necessary RMP Status. | No Legal Text expected as this is not currently included in UNC.<br><br>Following acceptance of such transactions the Supplier will need to submit a Registration Deactivation Request via CSS. | No Legal Text expected as this is not currently included in UNC or IGT UNC.                              |
|  |  |  |  |  |   |  |
| Market Sector Code   |  | G 3.3.2  | Post CSS the Market Sector Code will be mastered in REC.   | Last Processing Date: CSSID – [3] SPSBDs.  | Market Sector Code Notifications (MSI) will not be accepted from LPD.   | See note A2 below.   |
|  |  |  |  |  | Any RGMA transactions submitted after this date will ignore any amendment to the Market Sector Code.  | This is catered for by line above as G3.3.2 doesn't explicitly reference the form in which Market Sector |

<sup>1</sup> RMP is the REC term applied to both electricity and gas network offtake i.e. a Supply Meter Point in the gas context.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |                              |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Code amends can be received. |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|

Notes:

A: IGT UNC C I Supply Point Register para12.10 states:

12.10 In any case:

- (a) upon a change in the identity of the supplier; and/or
- (b) upon the Supply Point Premises changing from domestic premises to non domestic premises or vice versa;

where the Pipeline User continues to be the Registered User in respect of a Supply Point, such Pipeline User shall submit a Supply Point Reconfirmation to the CDSP in respect of the change of Supplier and/or in respect of the Supply Point Premises changing from domestic premises to non domestic premises or vice versa as soon as reasonably practicable after such change. By notifying the CDSP of the identity of the new supplier, such Pipeline User warrants to all Parties that such new supplier (or if there is more than one supplier, the suppliers between them) has (or have) warranted to the Pipeline User that there will be in force a contract or contracts (including a deemed contract pursuant to paragraphs 8(1) or 8(2) of the Gas Code) for the supply to the Consumer of the gas oftaken by such Pipeline User from the Pipeline at the Supply Point.

A1: Supplier Amendment can take place by Reconfirmation as stated above, however, this can also take place by Supply Point Amendment which does need to be stopped from CSS Implementation Date – [2] SPSBDs (any Supplier GEA transactions will be rejected from CSSID-1SPSBDs). It is not clear as to the extent that G6.6.10 is replicated in IGT Code.

**Commented [DA2]:** DA to absolutely confirm timings

A2: Market Sector Code can take place by Reconfirmation as stated above, however, this can also take place by Supply Point Amendment which does need to be stopped from CSS Implementation Date – [3] SPSBDs (reject any Market Sector Code changes from CSSID-2SPSBDs). It is not clear as to the extent that G3.3.2 is replicated in IGT Code.

**Commented [DA3]:** DA to absolutely confirm timings.



B: Expected to find explicit references to the process that the Elected User becomes the Registered User by the CDSP submitting a Confirmation in the event that the Initial User fails to do so. Reviewed C I Supply Point Registration and C V New Supply Meters and Other Siteworks. Could you provide the references.