

## CROSS-CODE WORKING BETWEEN THE IGT UNC AND UNC:

## POSITIVES AND AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT BETWEEN THE CODES

### POSITIVES

- What has worked well between the IGT UNC and UNC change processes?
  - Suggested amendments to legal text from the Code Administrator are considered;
  - The Chair of the IGT UNC is invited to attend the UNC Panel meeting;
  - UNC Workgroups discuss whether there are IGT UNC implications and identify these in Modification Reports/Workgroup Reports;
  - Implementation dates are aligned across both Codes to ensure that changes are implemented unilaterally
  - Some UNC Mod proposers are approaching the IGT UNC CA proactively to discuss matters prior to raising mods
  - The UNC Chair is considerate of IGT UNC issues and impacts.

## CROSS-CODE WORKING BETWEEN THE IGT UNC AND UNC:

## POSITIVES AND AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT BETWEEN THE CODES

### AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT

- What still needs to be improved between the IGT UNC and UNC change processes?
  - Earlier flag of potential impacts to the IGT UNC;
  - No GT representative at the IGT UNC Panel;
  - Legal text needs to be made available to the IGT UNC CA earlier to identify potential impacts (even where there are no identified impacts by the WG);
  - Aligned implementation dates usually fall outside of the release schedule;
  - More official cross-code working groups are needed in order to progress Modifications at the same time
  - More proactivity rather than reactivity to ensure cross-code harmony

THE WORKGROUP IS ASKED TO;

- DISCUSS THE SUGGESTED POINTS FOR RELEVANCE AND ADD TO THESE; AND
- FORMULATE A VIEW TO BE INCORPORATED INTO A REPORT (TO BE SENT TO THE PROPOSER OF o676R - REVIEW OF GAS TRANSPORTER JOINT OFFICE ARRANGEMENTS).