

Consultation Response IGT0140: Changes to the IGT Panel Rules Responses invited by: 16 Oct 2020 Respondent Details Name: Claire Roberts Organisation: ScottishPower Support Implementation

IGT0xx Consultation Response

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 4



Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support

SP is in Support of implementation of this modification, at present we are the only Shipper holding one vote at Panel. This change will seek to address the lack of quoracy at Panel and will allow for decisions to be made. It will also ensure the Shipper's community views are represented too.

To note in the long term, we would like to see a merge of the IGT UNC and UNC code as mention in the last workgroup meeting around this issue. There seems to be a lack of Shipper's interest to attend IGT UNC for reasons unknown to Panel and only assumptions can be made i.e. lack of resource. There seems to be a higher Shipper presence at UNC and if the codes were to align this could prevent duplication of representative's time and workloads.

IGT0xx

Consultation Response

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 4



Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

SP agrees sending this modification to the Authority for a decision

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

None Identified

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Implementation of the modification would positively support relevant objective F - Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

None identified

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

Immediate implementation of this modification (five Working Days after the Authority) would be preferable.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

SP is satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

No further comments to add

IGT0xx

Consultation Response

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 4



Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com

IGT0xx

Consultation Response

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 4