

Consultation Response

IGT141U – Pipeline User submitted AQ Corrections during COVID-19

Responses invited by: 12.05.20

Respondent Details

Name: Jenny Rawlinson

Organisation: BUUK

Support Implementation ☐

Qualified Support ☒

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

BUUK supports the principle behind this change. There is a concern, however, that where inaccurate AQ corrections are submitted and transportation revenue impacted, there is no mechanism to monitor the accuracy or legitimacy of the revised AQ. We would propose that an appropriate control framework is put in place to monitor the AQ challenges.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

Yes. Agree that this is not a SG modification proposal.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

Further to the summary, we believe that a monitoring/control framework should be introduced so as to ensure the correctness of the revised AQs.

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We agree with the proposer that, in theory, the change facilitates relevant objective D by way of attempting to ensure that gas offtake and delivery is equal.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

None

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

No lead time required

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

Other than the addition to facilitate a control framework, yes.

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

None

Responses should be submitted by email to iGTUNC@gemserv.com