





Modification		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>IGT130:</h1> <h2>Applying password protection encryption to electronic communication containing MPRNs</h2>		<div>01 Modification</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div>04 Final Modification Report</div>
Purpose of Modification: The purpose of this modification is widening the scope of encryption requirements building on those approved via IGT118. The developments and discussions have been completed through RG007 which was set up to determine the need and scope for this modification.		
	The Proposer recommends that this modification should: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> be subject to self-governance be assessed by a Workgroup This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 16 th August 2019. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.	
	High Impact:	
	Medium Impact:	
	Low Impact: IGTs, Shippers, CDSP	

Contents

1	Summary	33
2	Governance	44
3	Why Change?	44
4	Code Specific Matters	6
5	Solution	6
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	6
7	Relevant Objectives	7
8	Implementation	7
9	Legal Text	8
10	Recommendations	8
11	Appendix 1	8

Timetable

The Proposer recommends the following timetable: [r](#)

Initial consideration by Workgroup	3 rd September 2019
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	9th January 2020
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	22nd November 2019 24th January 2020
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	25th November 2019 29th January 2020
Consultation Close-out for representations	16th December 2019 19th February 2020
Variation Request presented to Panel	
Final Modification Report available for Panel	19th December 2019 21st February 2020
Modification Panel decision	17th January 28th February 2020

? Any questions?

Contact:
Code Administrator

[IGTUNC@gemse
rv.com](mailto:IGTUNC@gemse
rv.com)

[020 7090 1044](tel:02070901044)

Proposer:
E.ON

[Radhika Kalra](#) [Kirsty
Dudley](#)

[radhika.kalra@eone
nergy.com](mailto:radhika.kalra@eone
nergy.com)

[kirsty.dudley@eone
nergy.com](mailto:kirsty.dudley@eone
nergy.com)

[079718104590781617
2645](tel:079718104590781617
2645)

Alternative:
E.ON
[Kirsty Dudley](#)

[kirsty.dudley@eone
nergy.com](mailto:kirsty.dudley@eone
nergy.com)

[07816172645](tel:07816172645)

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

1 Summary

What

The Password Protection Protocol Ancillary Document was amended under IGT118 to bring the provisions up to date with the information technology and mechanisms by which protected information is sent between the Pipeline User and Pipeline Operators within the industry for the portfolio and invoicing data. During the Working Group discussions for IGT118 it became apparent that more Protected Information was sent than the portfolio and invoicing data. Therefore, the scope needed to be widened because further consideration is needed to Section K23.2 of the IGT UNC e.g. how requests which contain MPRNs and/or data which can relate to a consumer or premise are sent and if they should be encrypted. To avoid delays in development to IGT118 the additional scope discussions were separated and were taken to a Review Group, which then formed the basis of this modification.

Why

Now that the Password Protection Protocol has been amended, Section K23.2 is out of date and needs to be brought in line to the amendments made under IGT118 to ensure transparency, clarity and consistency are applied to encrypting data which is sent under the IGT UNC.

In addition, there have been instances when MPRNs are sent across the industry which is deemed to be customer information for the purposes of Data Protection and is subject to the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). It would be considered as good governance to ensure that processes outlined in the IGT UNC are in line with the regulations and are clearly outlined for both Pipeline Operators and Pipeline Users ensuring that processes remain up to date and robust.

Essentially there is now a need to provide a mechanism to ensure any information can be passed between Pipeline Operators and Pipeline Users in a secure manner when the sender determines that it is necessary, both to meet code requirements for commercial confidentiality for example and to meet the requirements of data protection regulations in respect of personal data for example.

How

Amendments are to be made to Section K23.2 to keep them in line with those made to the Password Protection Protocol under IGT118.

All Where the sender of any communication determines that it requires encryption, the sender will do so in line with the Password Protection Protocol Ancillary Document, for example all communications containing MPRN level data in an email or contained within an attachment will have encryption applied in line with the Password Protection Protocol Ancillary Document.

2 Governance

Please state clearly which governance procedures apply and why, referring to the relevant criteria (reproduced by the Code Administrator below):

Justification for Self-Governance Procedures

This change should be classed as Self-Governance Authority decision as it does not propose any changes which would have a material impact to Parties there could be consumer impacts.

Although the modification could be perceived as it doesn't have any impact code housekeeping to align processes, the decision could impact Parties' ability to competition, security of the network but instead relates adhere to legislation on data protection. Security failures in how data is shared between Pipeline Operators and Pipeline Users of the IGT UNC parties could have a material impact on consumers and code should be drafted in a way which provides and enables Parties to protect consumers and their data. It is suggested this is an Authority decision rather than Self-Governance.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be assessed by a Workgroup

Formatted: Font color: Black

3 Why Change?

What

The Password Protection Protocol Ancillary Document was amended under IGT118 (Amendments to the IGT UNC Password Protection Protocols) to bring the provisions up to date with the information technology and mechanisms by which protected information is sent between the Pipeline User and Pipeline Operators within the industry for the portfolio and invoicing data. During the Working Group discussions for IGT118 it became apparent that more Protected Information was sent than the portfolio and invoicing data. Therefore, the scope needed to be widened because further consideration is needed to Section K23 of the IGT UNC e.g. how requests which contain MPRNs are sent and if they should be encrypted. To avoid delays in development to IGT118 the additional scope discussions were separated and were taken to a Review Group, which then formed the basis of this modification.

Why

Now that the Password Protection Protocol has been amended, Section K23 is out of date and needs to be brought in line to the amendments made under IGT118 to ensure transparency, clarity and consistency are applied to encrypting data which is sent under the IGT UNC.

In addition, there have been instances when MPRNs are sent across the industry which is deemed to be personal information for the purposes of Data Protection and is subject to the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). It would be considered as good governance to ensure that processes outlined in the IGT UNC are in line with opened up for use in any situation which the regulations sender believes confidentiality and are clearly outlined for both Pipeline Operators and Pipeline Users ensuring that processes remain up to date and robust security warrant its use.

This aligns to information which the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) provided when responding to the Competition and Market Authority's "Energy market investigation; Notice of possible remedies" (August 2015) – a summary of this guidance is:

- "The Data Protection Act (1998) (DPA) is concerned with the processing of "personal data". Personal data is data which relates to a living individual who can be identified from that data either itself, or in combination with other information".
- "An MPAN uniquely identifies an electricity supply point, which is often a particular property (or a commercial property, where the business owner is a sole trader), is likely to be personal data even if the name of the individual (or individuals) who live there is not known".

Although this guidance specifies electricity the principles would also apply in gas.

Additionally, Ofgem have confirmed that in conversations with the ICO (point 2.28 Page 10, Ofgem's Retail Energy Code: Technical Specification approach consultation) that "Metering Point Administration Number (MPAN) and Metering Point Reference Number (MPRN) should be classified as Personal Data for the purposes of GDPR compliance.

Although not codified in the UNC or DSC in the detail proposed in this modification, communications which contain data which can relate to a person or premise are encrypted by the CDSP. The frequency of the password changes is more regular than those outlined in the Password Protection Protocols ancillary document, and they are applied to documents which require it and cover in some cases both GT and IGT supplies. The process to extend / introduce encryption into the IGT UNC would be an aligned approach to what is already delivered and would bring consistency in approach.

The SPAA and MRA have chosen to introduce a portal for Supplier to Supplier communications. Although this could be expanded to Transporter to Shipper communication the IGTs are not already using this and it would be a far greater development to introduce this portal compared to extending the use of the encryption and password protection processes already available under the IGT UNC

How

Amendments ~~are to be made~~ to Section K23 to keep them in line with those made to the Password Protection Protocol under IGT118.

All communications containing personal level data (including the MPRN, an address and/or Consumer information) in an email or contained within an attachment will have encryption applied in line with the Password Protection Protocol Ancillary Document.

The application of the password will be decided by the issuing organisation but where applied will be using the password and processes outlined in the Password Protection Protocol Ancillary Document.

4 Code Specific Matters

Technical Skillsets

IT security information may be required.

Knowledge of GDPR/Data Protection

5 Solution

To amend Section K23 in consideration of what is meant by 'Protected Information' and be clearer on the password encryption applied to communications (emails or within an attachment) ~~containing MPRN data.~~

To continue with the consistent and robust transfer of data between the Pipeline Operator and the Pipeline User or the Pipeline User and the Pipeline Operator, ~~we believe the scope of~~ the Password Protection Protocol should be ~~widened~~expanded to include a provision for password protecting communications ~~where the sender believes it is required including~~ where it contains personal level data as defined in data protection legislation.

~~Where possible all emails~~Emails and attachments containing personal level data as defined in data protection legislation should have password encryption applied. ~~The decision on whether password encryption should be applied will be at the sole discretion of the 'sending' organisation.~~

~~Section K23 has a requirement to encrypt the email or the attachment as a minimum to protect the 'Protected Information'. If~~Section K23 introduces a requirement for parties to accept the communication mechanism choice of the sender, so long as the mechanism is provided for within the code or through processes. The solution does not place additional requirements on parties to use a mechanism in particular circumstances nor does it constrain the use of a mechanism.

The IGT UNC processes need to include a mechanism for securing communications and parties are free to use this mechanism when they feel it is appropriate both for the purpose of code requirements and for the purposes of data protection legislation.

The processes available must include encryption and if the information contained in the body of an email cannot be encrypted to the standard using passwords set out in the ancillary document, then an encrypted attachment will be the default. This, for example, could be an excel spreadsheet but is not limited to just that attachment type. The passwords applied are using the existing processes outlined in the Password Protection Protocol Ancillary Document.

~~Where the premise is a new connection and is part of a developer's portfolio it will be out of scope and therefore PSA/PSB will not require encryption, however, once a Consumer begins occupancy then encryption will be required to protect their data.~~

Where personal information is not protected appropriately by the sender, the recipient of the information may seek to report ~~it to~~ the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO). Impacts & Other Considerations

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

N/A

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(A) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system	None
(B) Co-ordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system; and/or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	None
(C) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations	None
(D) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation agreements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers	None
(E) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	None
(F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Positive
(G) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators	None

The proposed change supports Relevant Objective (F) as it seeks to enhance and improve the administration and security applied to individual or smaller subsets of data by adding clarity to the provisions within code. It ensures a consistent mechanism by which protected information is sent between the Pipeline User and the Pipeline Operator (and vice versa).

Although GDPR clearly articulates the legal standard the improvement to code drafting reduces ambiguity and possible misunderstanding, it also uses the password process which has already been created for an established process so it utilises an existing process rather than creating something brand new.

8 Implementation

February 2020

Next release following Authority decision.

9 Legal Text

Part K Section 23 Legal Text for IGT130

To be provided by the Pipeline Operator – suggested Section K text and Password Protection Protocols ancillary document drafting have been provided

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- ~~Agree that Self Governance procedures should apply; and~~
- Refer this proposal to a Workgroup for assessment.

11 Appendix 1

Amended 'Password Protection Protocols' Ancillary Document