









Final Modification Report	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>IGT124:</h1> <h2>Market Participant MDD Migration to UNC Governance from the SPAA</h2>	<div>01 Modification</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div>04 Final Modification Report</div>
<p><b>Purpose of Modification:</b></p> <p>This IGT UNC Modification seeks to implement consequential changes in the IGT UNC and ancillary documents arising from the migration of the Market Participant MDD process, currently governed by Schedule 18 of SPAA, to the Central Data Services Provider (CDSP) via the Uniform Network Code (UNC) governance arrangements which is required for the implementation of the Central Switching Service (CSS).</p>	
	<p>Panel consideration is due on 19<sup>th</sup> July 2019</p> <p>The Panel recommends implementation of this Authority directed modification.</p>
	<p>High Impact:</p> <p>None</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>None</p>
	<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>Pipeline Users</p>

Contents		 Any questions?
1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	4
4	Code Specific Matters	4
5	Solution	4
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	10
7	Relevant Objectives	10
8	Implementation	11
9	Legal Text	11
10	Consultation	12
11	Panel Discussions	14
12	Recommendations	14
Timeline		 Any questions?
The Proposer recommends the following timetable:		 <a href="mailto:simon.harris@xoserve.com">simon.harris@xoserve.com</a>
Initial consideration by Workgroup	7 <sup>th</sup> May 2019	 0121 623 2455
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	dd month year	
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	21 <sup>st</sup> June 2019	
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	24 <sup>th</sup> June 2019	
Consultation Close-out for representations	15 <sup>th</sup> July 2019	
Variation Request presented to Panel	dd month year	
Final Modification Report available for Panel	16 <sup>th</sup> July 2019	
Modification Panel decision	19 <sup>th</sup> July 2019	

## Work Group Comments

The Workgroup agreed with the Proposers timetable as set out in the modification.

## 1 Summary

### What

This Modification seeks to make updates to the IGT UNC and ancillary documents due to the changes raised as of SPAA SCP467 - Market Participant MDD Migration to UNC Governance, UNC Modification 0682 - Market Participant MDD Migration to UNC Governance from the SPAA and DSC change XRN 4851 - Moving Market Participant Ownership from SPAA to UNC/DSC. These changes combined seek to move the management of market participant IDs for gas from SPAA to the CDSP via a UNC obligation.

### Why

As part of CSS provisions, Ofgem have expressed a policy intent through the drafting of the Retail Energy Code (REC), that Xoserve, as the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP), should become the custodians for administering the MDD Market Participants list used to denote the roles of certain organisations within the gas industry. Therefore, the existing Market Participant process, currently governed under Schedule 18 of SPAA, needs to be removed, in anticipation of an equivalent process being incorporated into UNC and Data Service Contract (DSC) governance. These changes result in housekeeping edits being required to the IGT UNC and ancillary documents to ensure the IGT UNC remains aligned.

### How

References to MDD related data items will be clearly signposted as SPAA MDD (until REC migration) for anything MDD general or asset related or CDSP MDD for market participant information.

## 2 Governance

### Justification for Governance Procedures

SCP467 has progressed as Authority Consent with UNC Modification 0682 progressing initially as Self-Governance but has instead been 'called in' by the Authority so all changes progress together. It is therefore recommended that even though this modification seeks to make consequential edits only it too follows Authority consent procedures on an aligned timeline to 0682 where possible.

### Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be assessed by a Workgroup
- proceed to Consultation

We recommend just one meeting to discuss the housekeeping edits to point to either SPAA hosted or CDSP hosted information. If required, we'd request a shortened consultation window to align with the UNC/SPAA timelines so the Authority can review all changes together.

### Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup agreed that this modification should follow Authority Direction to ensure alignment with the other related modifications.

### 3 Why Change?

The efficient running of the gas energy industry requires accurate and unambiguous management of Market Participant Identities for all Market Participants.

Under the REC the CDSP via obligations in the UNC becomes responsible for administering the Market Participant Identity register. To facilitate these changes a Joint MDD Migration Working Group was established and consisted of SPAA, UNC and other interested parties. Group members proposed and developed the changes required to remove the SPAA obligations (raised in SCP 467) and to support movement and development of the process within the UNC via this Modification and XRN4851. This group also proposed the implementation approach and timescales.

The accurate management of Market Participant Identities is essential for the effective operation of the gas market. It is important that the wider industry (including the Authority) have an effective custodian of the data and comfort that the obligations are being effectively discharged with a robust governance mechanism. The proposal seeks just to align the references held in the IGT UNC and ancillary documents.

### 4 Code Specific Matters

#### Technical Skillsets

Whilst no specific technical knowledge is required to assess this SCP, an understanding of SPAA and UNC change processes and an understanding of the Market Participant MDD would be beneficial.

#### Reference Documents

SCP467 change documents issued in the March 2019 change pack

- SCP 467 – Attachment 1 – Implementation Plan v1.0
- SCP 467 – Attachment 2 – Existing MDD Process and Considerations for Migration v1.0
- SCP 467 – Attachment 3 – MDD20181213 Final Minutes v1.0
- SCP 467 – Attachment 4 – Draft Legal Text v0.2 (Schedule 18) (legal review)
- SCP 467 – Attachment 5 – Draft Legal Text v0.1 (MDD Market Participants v19.0)

UNC Modification 0682

XRN 4851

### 5 Solution

Although MDD (Market Domain Data) is referenced in Appendix G2 and some ancillary documents there is no description or definition as to what MDD currently is, this should be added, and aligned terms and requirements delivered in the UNC 0682 solution.

This solution seeks to clearly signpost IGT UNC Pipeline Operators and Pipeline Users to either SPAA MDD for general information and asset information or Market Participant Identities List in UNC for what will be managed by the CDSP (delivered via the UNC obligations in UNC modification 0682 and XRN 4851).

Extracted below are main body and ancillary document references and which (SPAA/UNC(CDSP)) they should point to.

#### Main IGT UNC - Appendix G2 - Portfolio Extract file format

Field Name	Description	Comments
Gas Transporter ID	Gas Transporter short code identifying the Gas Transporter, per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> .	Information will be available from the CDSP
Shipper	Shipper short code identifying the shipper, per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> .	Information will be available from the CDSP
Supplier	Supplier short code identifying the shipper, per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> .	Information will be available from the CDSP
Meter Point Status Code	Code identifying the status of the meter point. Per SPAA MDD	Information will be available from SPAA until REC v[3]
MAM id	Per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> .	Information will be available from the CDSP
Meter Manufacturer	Short Code version of meter manufacturer's name for the meter, per SPAA MDD.	Information will be available from SPAA until REC v[3]
Meter Model Code	The model type of the meter per SPAA MDD.	Information will be available from SPAA until REC v[3]

#### Ancillary Documents:

##### 1) Pipeline Operator Standards of Service Query Management

Query Type	Description	Solution
MFR	Submitted to the Pipeline Operator where they are also the Meter Asset Manager (according to <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> ) to query the asset	Information will be available from the CDSP

	details where there is a possible mismatch.	
CMQ	Submitted to the Pipeline Operator where they are also the Meter Asset Manager (according to <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> ) to query the asset details where there is a possible crossed meter.	Information will be available from the CDSP
EXQ	Submitted to the Pipeline Operator where they are also the Meter Asset Manager (according to <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> ) to query the asset details where there is a possible exchanged meter.	Information will be available from the CDSP
AMD	Submitted to the Pipeline Operator where they are also the Meter Asset Manager (according to <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> ) to query the meter asset details where there is possible mismatch. Examples of mismatches include the meter serial number, the number of dials and the multiplication factor.	Information will be available from the CDSP
MRR	Submitted to the Pipeline Operator where they are also the Meter Asset Manager (according to <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> ) to query the asset details where there is a possible removed meter.	Information will be available from the CDSP

## 2) SOS Appendix

Spreadsheet tab	Field Name	Description	Comments
Query Template	Pipeline User ID	A reference which uniquely identifies the Pipeline User – as per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a> .	Information will be available from the CDSP

Query Template Response	Pipeline User ID	A reference which uniquely identifies the Pipeline User - as per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC.</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
Query Template Additional Info	Pipeline User ID	A reference which uniquely identifies the Pipeline User - as per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC.</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
Response to Additional Info	Pipeline User ID	A reference which uniquely identifies the Pipeline User - as per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC.</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
Query Template 3rd Party	Pipeline User ID	A reference which uniquely identifies the Pipeline User - as per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC.</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
Query Template Final Response	Pipeline User ID	A reference which uniquely identifies the Pipeline User - as per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC.</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP

3) CSEP NExA Tables Document

No MDD references – no suggested changes

4) IGT UNC ANCILLARY DOCUMENT

No MDD references – no suggested changes

5) IGT RPC Invoice Template Document (considering changes in Mod IGT112V – approved for June 2019

Field Name	Description	Comment
IGT_ID	Populate with the reference which uniquely identifies an IGT, <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC.</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
SHIPPER_ID	Populate with a reference which uniquely identifies a Pipeline User, <a href="#">per Market Participant</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP

	<a href="#">Identities List in UNC.</a>	
METER_MECHANISM	Where the METER_SERIAL_NUMBER is populated, populate in accordance with the Retail Gas Metering Arrangements (RGMA) A0086 in SPAA <a href="#">MDD</a> .	Information will be available from SPAA until REC v[3]

6) IGT AQ Review Procedures Document

No MDD references – no suggested changes

7) IGT Non-Domestic New Connections Ancillary Document

No MDD references – no suggested changes

8) IGTs New Connections Domestic Sites Only

No MDD references – no suggested changes

9) PROJECT\_SUMMARY\_REPORT - PS1

Field Name	Description	Comment
SHIPPER_ID	A reference which uniquely identifies a Shipper User, <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
SHIPPER_SHORT_CODE	Shipper Short Code <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
SUPPLIER_SHORT_CODE	Supplier Short Code <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
IGT_SHORT_CODE	iGT Short Code per <a href="#">Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
METER_MECHANISM	Operating mechanism of the installed meter. Initial indication of the operating mechanism as per SPAA MDD. VALUES: CR = Credit MT = Mechanical Token ET = Electronic Token CM = Coin TH = Thrift SMETS = non-Compliant S1 = SMETS Version 1 S2 = SMETS Version 2 SM = Smart PP = Prepayment	Information will be available from SPAA until REC v[3]



	UNK = Unknown	
MAM_ID	MAM ID <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
MAP_ID	MAP ID <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
GAS_ACT_OWNER	<p>Meter Owner VALUES: T = Transporter S = Supplier C = Consumer U = Unknown</p> <p>CONTEXT: If third party then this should be defaulted to Supplier. This is consistent with RGMA Baseline requirements as per SPAA MDD.</p>	Information will be available from SPAA until REC v[3]

#### 10) PROJECT\_SUMMARY\_NOTIFICATION - PS2

Field Name	Description	Comment
SHIPPER_ID	A reference which uniquely identifies a Shipper User, <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
SHIPPER_SHORT_CODE	Shipper Short Code <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
SUPPLIER_SHORT_CODE	Supplier Short Code <a href="#">per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP
IGT_SHORT_CODE	<a href="#">IGT Short Code per Market Participant Identities List in UNC</a>	Information will be available from the CDSP

### Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup concluded that the defined term of Market Participant Identities List needed to be added to the solution for this modification. Where possible the IGT UNC will point across to the UNC.

## 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

### Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

This modification works as an enabling change working towards movement of the MDD information so the CDSP are the custodians ahead of the CSS/REC being implemented.

#### Consumer Impacts

There are no direct customer impacts

#### Environmental Impacts

There are no direct environmental impacts

#### Cross Code Impacts

Changes have been raised in the SPAA (SCP467), UNC (0682) and by the CDSP (XRN 4851). This modification will only impact the gas industry; therefore, no electricity codes are impacted.

#### Workgroup Comments

The workgroup agreed that there were no other impacts.

## 7 Relevant Objectives

### Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(A) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system	None
(B) Co-ordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system; and/or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	None
(C) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations	None
(D) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation agreements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers	None
(E) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	None
(F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Positive

(G) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators

None

This Modification furthers relevant object F) as it promotes efficiency in the implementation of arrangements required for the implementation of the CSS by making the required changes in the IGT UNC and ancillary documents.

### Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup agreed that modification satisfies relevant objective F.

## 8 Implementation

It is proposed that this Modification be implemented with effect from the February 2020 UK Link Release Date (date to be confirmed by Transporters but likely to be 28 February 2020) but aligned to the SPAA, UNC and CDSP implementation date so that the solution can transition between codes

### Workgroup Comments

The workgroup agreed with the implementation date of February 2020. The workgroup identified that there might be some minor system changes required. The Proposer informed Workgroup that the IGT UNC change needs to deliver at the same point as the XRN and the UNC change so that the implementation is aligned to ensure smooth transition.

## 9 Legal Text

### Suggested Text

Following Discussion with the Code Administrator, the Legal Text changes will be as set out in the Solution above. The relevant changes to distinguish between the relevant verification source being either the Market Participant Identities List maintained by the CDSP or the SPAA MDD will be made to Appendix G2 in the main body of the Code and to the relevant Ancillary Documents as identified. There will also be an addition to Part M – Definitions as follows: “Market Participant Identities List” shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in the UNC;

### Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup are satisfied with the Legal Text.

## 10 Consultation

Panel invited representations from interested parties with the Consultation closing on 15<sup>th</sup> July 2019. The summaries in the following table are provided for reference on a reasonable endeavours basis only. We recommend that all representations are read in full when considering this Report. Representations are published alongside this Final Modification Report.

Representations were received from the following parties:

Organisation	Response	Relevant Objectives	Key Points
BUUK	Qualified Support	F - positive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We support the implementation of this change proposal because it will ensure consistency across the codes in the wake of a portion of MDD migrating between the codes. Therefore, that is why 'qualified support' is given to this change because it is on the assumption that the other associated changes (SCP467, UNC 0682 and XRN4851 are going to be implemented at the same time.</li> <li>We agree that this modification meets self governance criteria.</li> <li>We agree that Relevant Objective F is better achieved by this change as it will improve use of the IGT UNC as the CSS get implemented.</li> <li>There are no impacts or costs foreseen with the implementation of this change.</li> <li>We agree with the proposed implementation date of the change as this will align with the other codes and associated changes.</li> <li>The proposed legal text is deemed sufficient to achieve the purpose of the modification.</li> </ul>
SSE	Support	F - positive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We support this change to make the necessary updates to the IGT UNC and ancillary documents in relation to the changes being made via SPAA SCP467, UNC 0682 and DSC XRN 4851.</li> <li>We agree that this follows Authority consent procedures in order to align with the related UNC/SPAA and DSC change progression together.</li> <li>We agree that this modification positively impacts relevant objective F) to promote efficiency by making the IGT UNC ready for implementation of</li> </ul>

			<p>the Faster Switching Programme and REC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some systems and process change may be required dependent on whether much change is required to MDD processes as performed today.</li> <li>We support implementation in February 2020 in alignment with the related SPAA, UNC and DSC changes.</li> <li>We are satisfied with the proposed to the legal text.</li> </ul>
E.ON	Support	F – Positive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We support the migration of the MDD information for Market Participants into the UNC and subsequently the management via the DSC. We also support the updated references relating to MDD elements which are not related to Market Participants, so they are consistent across the IGT UNC.</li> <li>We support the Authority deciding on all changes raised in the IGT UNC, UNC and SPAA.</li> <li>It meets relevant objective F by promoting code efficiencies and working with the Faster and More Reliable Switching Programme.</li> <li>No material costs identified, some minor IT process cost changes to update location extraction of the data.</li> <li>We support the implementation of the SPAA, UNC, IGT and DSC changes delivering together in February 2020.</li> <li>We are comfortable with the legal text.</li> </ul>
ESPUG	Support	F – Positive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We support this modification as it seeks to aid the transition of the management of Market Participant data from one code to another while ensuring that the IGT UNC accurately reflects this change in ownership, thereby improving efficiency of the implementation and administration of the code.</li> <li>We agree with the workgroup that this modification should follow Authority Direction procedures.</li> <li>We believe the implementation of this modification would satisfy Relevant Objective F.</li> <li>We recognise the need for aligning implementation with the relevant modifications</li> </ul>

			under SPAA and the UNC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We are satisfied with the legal text.</li> </ul>
--	--	--	---



In summary:

- Four responses were received to the consultation for IGT124 from two Pipelines Operators and two Pipeline Users;
- All respondents offered support for this modification;
- All respondents agreed that the Modification better facilitates Relevant Objectives F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code;
- One respondent agreed that the Modification meets the criteria for Self-Governance with the other three respondents indicating it should follow Authority Direction;
- All respondents were satisfied with the legal text for this modification; and
- All respondents agreed that the change should be implemented in line with the other changes identified above.

## 11 Panel Discussions

### Discussion

The Chair indicated that this modification was supported by the four consultation responses. The Chair stated this modification could have been implemented through self-governance however to ensure consistency and to align with the other proposed changes to different codes (UNC and SPAA) Authority direction was agreed by the Panel. The Authority indicated that the rationale to implement this modification through the Authority was to ensure aligned implementation.

### Consideration of the Relevant Objectives

All Panel members voted and agreed that this Modification meets the criteria set out in Objective F.

### Determinations

The vote was carried out with three Pipeline Operators and two Pipeline Users. The Panel agreed by unanimous vote that the Modification should be implemented. All Panel members agreed that this Modification should be implemented in line with the other codes which is currently proposed for February 2020.

## 12 Recommendations

### Panel Determination Authority Approval

Members agreed:

- that Modification 124 should be subject to Authority Approval
- that Modification 124 should be implemented subject to Authority Approval