










iGT UNC Request	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>RG007:</h1> <h2>Secure measures of sending electronic communication and password protection</h2>	<div>01 Request</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Final Modification Report</div>
<p>Purpose of Request:</p> <p>Following IGT118 it became apparent that the password protection protocol needs to be given a wider scope to cover additional measures that are currently not within this scope. The aim of this Workgroup is to address these issues and widen the scope of the protocol.</p>	
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this request should be assessed by a Workgroup</p> <p>This request will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 21st June 2019.</p>
	<p>High Impact:</p> <p>N/A</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>N/A</p>
	<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>IGTs, Shippers, CDSP, Pipeline Users, Pipeline Operators</p>

Contents		 Any questions?
1 Request	3	Contact:
2 Impacts and Costs	3	Code Administrator
3 Terms of Reference	5	 iGTUNC@Gemserv.com
4 Recommendation	6	 02070901044
About this document:		Proposer:
<p>This document is a Review Group Request, which will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 21st June 2019.</p> <p>The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and agree whether this Request should be referred to a Workgroup for review.</p>		Radhika Kalra E.ON
		 radhika.kalra@eonenergy.com
		 07971810459

1 Request

Why is the Request being made?

This review group is requested following discussions that took place with IGT118 which sought to widen the scope of the modification. However, the objective of IGT118 was to bring the Password Protection Protocol up to date with references to technology and the mechanisms by which protected information is sent. Discussions at the Workgroup on IGT118 highlighted additional areas where password protection should be used in order to offer wider protection when confidential information is being sent from one organisation to another.

Scope

The proposed scope of this review will be to consider the updated Password Protection Protocol as well as ideas that arose from the workgroup discussions regarding IGT118 regarding widening of the scope of the protocols, and any additional parts of the IGT UNC which contain processes by which confidential information is sent between industry parties and may need to be sent in a more secure format. Consideration will need to be given to Part K 23.2A of the main body to determine whether it needs to be revised to point only to the protocols.

Recommendations

Objectives

- To consider the information which is currently sent between parties which falls within the scope of the Password Protection Protocols and to determine whether there is additional information that is sent between parties that does not fall under the scope of the protocols
- To determine whether the Password Protection Protocol needs to have a wider scope to cover the information type and the mechanisms by which confidential information is sent between IGT UNC parties
- To review the legal text to determine whether it needs to be revised in light of the Password Protection Protocols

Additional Information

None

2 Impacts and Costs

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

Impacts & Costs

No direct impacts or costs are envisaged as a result of the proposed work for this review group, but there are likely to be impacts seen in the mechanism of sending confidential information to parties across the industry by inclusion of a password or alternative document delivery mechanism(s).

Impacts

Impact on Central Systems and Process	
Central System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Operational Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The addition of a password when sending electronic communications to other parties containing commercially sensitive data

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The addition of a password when sending electronic communications to other parties containing commercially sensitive data
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Password protection measures for electronic communication may be updated in the IGT UNC

Impact on Transporters	
Area of IGT business	Potential impact
System operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Development, capital and operating costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Recovery of costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Price regulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Contractual risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Password protection measures for electronic communication may be updated in the IGT UNC
Standards of service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A

Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
iGT UNC Panel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
General administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Involvement in communicating updated passwords

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
IGT Network Codes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part K 23.2A of the main body

Impact on iGT UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document(s)	Potential impact
IGT UNC Ancillary & Guidance Documents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Password Protection Protocol

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Operation of the Total System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Industry fragmentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A

3 Terms of Reference

Background

The proposed scope of this review will be to consider the updated Password Protection Protocol as well as ideas that arose from the workgroup discussions regarding IGT118 regarding widening of the scope of the protocols, and any additional parts of the IGT UNC which contain processes by which confidential information is sent between industry parties and may need to be sent in a more secure format. Consideration will need to be given to Part K 23.2A of the main body to determine whether it needs to be revised to point only to the protocols.

Objectives

- To consider the information which is currently sent between parties which falls within the scope of the Password Protection Protocols and to determine whether there is additional information that is sent between parties that does not fall under the scope of the protocols
- To determine whether the Password Protection Protocol needs to have a wider scope to cover the information type and the mechanisms by which confidential information is sent between IGT UNC parties
- To review the legal text to determine whether it needs to be revised in light of the Password Protection Protocols

Topics for Discussion

- Understanding the objectives
- Assessment of alternative means to achieve the objectives
- Development of Solution (including business rules if appropriate)
- Assessment of potential impacts of the Request
- Benefit of alignment with UNC
- Assessment of legal text.

Outputs

Produce a Workgroup Report for submission to the Modification Panel, containing the assessment and recommendations of the Workgroup including a draft modification where appropriate.

Composition of Workgroup

The Workgroup is open to any party that wishes to attend or participate.

A Workgroup meeting will be quorate provided at least two Transporter and two User representatives are present.

Meeting Arrangements

Meetings will be administered by Gemserv and conducted in accordance with the Code Administration Code of Practice.

4 Recommendation

The Proposer invites the Panel to:

- DETERMINE that RG007 progress to Workgroup for review.