

## RG006 – Review of metering arrangements in the IGT UNC

Summary of Legal Text Extract Responses as of 31<sup>st</sup> May 2019:

### 1. In the Supply Meter Installation definition, are the ‘including’ attributes accurate?

#### IGT1

I’m happy with the list of attributes. The Pipelines Operator wouldn’t usually own or be responsible for the meter Housing, but it wouldn’t say they never are, so ok to leave it in the list.

#### IGT3

In line with the current drafting of the legal text we feel that the below list suitably categorises the elements included with a ‘Supply Meter Installation’:

- Associated pipework
- Regulator
- Filters
- Valves
- Seals
- Housings
- Mountings

#### IGT5

Yes, we agree that the ‘including’ attributes outlined in the legal text and below for the ‘Supply Meter Installation’ definition are accurate.

- Associated pipework
- Regulator
- Filters
- Valves
- Seals
- Housings
- Mountings

#### Shipper 1

We believe that not all IGTs include all attributes which causes inconsistency so should be clarified as part of the discussions. Confirmation by the IGTs of what they include in their charges will help to inform the extent of variability and may provide the basis for code amendments. Use of the word ‘including’ creates scope for other items to be added, our preference is for this to be explicit and requires codified amendments to add/remove anything as the code forms a contractual foundation which can be superseded with a bilateral agreement if suitable for parties.

For simple domestic installations a prescriptive list would be clearer and potentially in conjunction with a picture (possibly in an ancillary document). Additionally, is a meter box a ‘housing’ or is it part of the

building? Is there potential overlap between the Supply Meter Installation and the supply installation e.g. pipework up to and including the ECV.

A scenario we would like clarification on is: the IGT fitted the supply and the energy Supplier fitted the meter who in this situation would provide the box?

Our view is, the review group has recommended in the discussions so far for standardisation of the code requirements; where possible our preference would be standardisation across providers not just IGTs although we appreciate that by some this may be deemed out of scope.

## 2. In the Meter Installation Works wording, are the attributes listed accurate?

### IGT1

I'm also happy with this list of attributes. It may be clearer to refer to "Meter ~~Installation~~ Works" but I don't think it's currently ambiguous as the list that follows defines the types of work clearly.

### IGT3

Likewise, with our response to question 1 and in line with the current drafting of the legal text we feel that the below list suitably categorises the elements included with a 'Meter Installation Works':

- Installation
- Testing
- Maintenance
- Repair
- Exchange
- Replacement

### IGT5

Yes, we agree that the attributes outlined below are suitable in describing what constitutes 'Meter Installation Works' with respect to the meter and ancillary equipment as outlined in Q1.

- Installation
- Testing
- Maintenance
- Repair
- Exchange
- Replacement

### Shipper1

We have experienced inconsistencies relating to this wording, however, we believe that once the Supply Metering Installation attributes have been clarified then it makes it easier to link the Meter Installation Works, the two in our view need to align.