






Final Modification Report	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>IGT125F:</h1> <h2>Alignment of the Re-Establishment provisions between the IGT UNC and the UNC</h2>	<div>01 Modification</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div>04 Final Modification Report</div>
<p><b>Purpose of Modification:</b></p> <p>The intent of this change proposal is to update the code to ensure that obligations are effectively captured, where there is reference to the UNC (Uniform Network Code). This activity being focused on areas which have been identified as part of RG005.</p>	
	<p>Panel consideration is due on 17<sup>th</sup> May 2019</p> <p><i>(delete as appropriate following Panel's decision)</i></p> <p>The Panel recommends implementation</p>
	<p><i>(delete as appropriate following Panel's decision)</i></p> <p>The Panel does not recommend implementation</p>
	<p>High Impact:</p> <p>None</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>None</p>
	<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>N/A</p>

## Contents

1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	4
4	Code Specific Matters	4
5	Solution	4
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4
7	Relevant Objectives	4
8	Implementation	5
9	Legal Text	5
10	Consultation	6
11	Panel Discussions	9
12	Recommendations	9

## Timeline

### The Proposer recommends the following timetable:

Initial consideration by Workgroup	N/A
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	N/A
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	N/A
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	3 <sup>rd</sup> April 2019
Consultation Close-out for representations	25 <sup>th</sup> April 2019
Variation Request presented to Panel	N/A
Final Modification Report available for Panel	29 <sup>th</sup> April 2019
Modification Panel decision	17 <sup>th</sup> May 2019



Any questions?

Contact:

**Code Administrator**



**igtunc@gemse  
rv.com**



**020 7090 1044**

Proposer:

**Chris Barker**



**chris.barker@bu-  
uk.co.uk**



**01359 245705**

## 1 Summary

### What

During the review group RG005, and the review of the current IGT UNC drafting, it was identified that the IGT UNC does not effectively point across to the UNC.

Within the 'Re-establishment' process (CIV6.1) there are a number of scenarios and other provisions which have been captured within the UNC but don't appear to have been considered by the IGT UNC for their impact, and therefore do not have the required reference amendments. The UNC additions were made via the Modification process during the period when the work was also being carried out to prepare for Single Service Provision. They should have been captured during the development of the IGT039 modification but were missed at that time. As such under Single Service, the additional Re-establishment processes are already being applied by the CDSP regardless of whether the Supply Point is on a GT network or an IGT network.

### Why

The present misalignment between the IGT UNC and the UNC could potentially cause confusion and misinterpretation of the obligations. This change is therefore designed to update and correct this misalignment.

### How

While the present clause itself in Part CIV of the IGT UNC points to Re-establishment within the UNC as a whole there are a number of provisions that require updating and inclusion to account for areas not applicable to the IGT UNC requirements.

The proposed legal text therefore accounts for these and the related clause references which need to be pointed to.

## 2 Governance

### Justification for Fast Track Self-Governance Procedures

This change proposal is designed to implement a specific kind of housekeeping change, whereby the IGT UNC is aligned effectively with the UNC. This will have no foreseen impact on parties and therefore does not require extensive review. It is therefore deemed that this change can follow fast track procedures. Likewise, because there is no material impact proposed from this change, it can follow a self-governance approach.

### Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be subject to fast track self-governance

### 3 Why Change?

The present misalignment between the IGT UNC and the UNC could potentially cause confusion and misinterpretation of the obligations. To ensure that the code is applied effectively a number of updates are required.

### 4 Code Specific Matters

#### Technical Skillsets

N/A

#### Reference Documents

- IGT UNC - Version 10.9

### 5 Solution

While the present clause itself in Part CIV of the IGT UNC points to Re-establishment within the UNC as a whole, and therefore parties to the IGT UNC are bound to the obligations, multiple provision requires updating and inclusion to account for areas not applicable to the IGT UNC requirements.

### 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

#### Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

There are no foreseen impacts on the SCR, and the ongoing Consequential Changes activity, because this change proposal is having no material impact on the code. The reference and text changes will need to be monitored as the Code Administrator carries out its requirement of maintaining two versions of the IGT UNC i.e. one live and another accounting for identified consequential changes from the REC and Faster Switching.

#### Consumer Impacts

N/A

#### Environmental Impacts

N/A

## 7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(A) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system	None
(B) Co-ordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system; and/or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	None
(C) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations	None
(D) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation agreements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers	None
(E) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	None
(F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Positive
(G) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators	None

Due to the nature of the proposed change, objective F will be better facilitated by this change proposal as the IGT UNC will be updated to ensure its accuracy which will improve the administrative efficiency behind the code.

## 8 Implementation

The implementation of this proposal should be applied to the next code release of the IGT UNC. There is no identified system change requirements or other causes which would cause a delay to these proposed amendments to code.

## 9 Legal Text

### Text Commentary

The below text is from Part CIV of the IGT UNC, version 10.9. The clause in question being 6.1. The new conditions in red are to cover off additional obligations which have been added to the UNC but have not been effectively captured for IGT UNC consideration and required word amendments.

### Suggested Text

#### Re-establishment

For the purposes of Clause 6, the provisions of paragraph 3.7 of Section G of the UNC shall apply with the following changes:

the reference to paragraph 3.5.3 of Section G of the UNC in paragraph G3.7.1 shall be interpreted as a reference to paragraph 3.5.3 as incorporated in this Part CIV by Clause 5.1

the reference to Section H2 of the UNC in paragraph 3.7.3 shall be interpreted as a reference to Section H2 but only to the extent that it applies to the calculation of NDM Supply Meter Point Demand pursuant to Part F

the words "in accordance with the Siteworks Terms and Procedures (as defined in Section G7.2.2)" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.4(a)

the words "and Energy Balancing Charges" and "and in respect of Energy Balancing Charges for which the Registered User is liable pursuant to this paragraph 3.7.4(b) a reconciliation will be carried out in accordance with Section E6" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.4(b)

the words "and Energy Balancing Charges" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.5(b) (i)

the words "in accordance with the Siteworks Terms and Procedures (as defined in Section G7.2.2)" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.5 (b) (iii)

paragraph 3.7.5 shall not apply

the reference to "Capacity Charges and Customer Charges" in paragraph 3.7.5(d)(i) shall be interpreted as a reference to "Transportation Charges"

the words "in accordance with Siteworks Terms and Procedures (as defined in Section G7.2.2)" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.5(d)(iii)

the words "and Energy Balancing" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.7(a)(ii).

the words "in accordance with Siteworks Terms and Procedures (as defined in Section G7.2.2)" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.8(a)

the words "and Energy Balancing Charges" and "and in respect of Energy Balancing Charges for which the Registered User is liable pursuant to this paragraph 3.7.4(b) an Offtake Reconciliation will be carried out in accordance with Section E6" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.8(b)

the words "and Energy Balancing" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.9(b)

the words "NTS Exit Commodity Charges, LDZ Commodity Charges and Commodity Variable Component of Customer Charges in paragraph 3.7.10(a) shall be interpreted as a reference to "Transportation Charges"

the words "and Energy Balancing Charges" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.10(a)

paragraphs 3.7.10(b) and 3.7.10(c) shall not apply

the words "and Energy Balancing Charges" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.12(b)

paragraph 3.7.13(a) shall not apply

the words "in accordance with Siteworks Terms and Procedures (as defined in Section G7.2.2)" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.13(b)

the words "and Energy Balancing Charges" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.14(a)(ii)

the words "in accordance with Siteworks Terms and Procedures (as defined in Section G7.2.2)" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.16(a)

the words "and Energy Balancing" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.16(b)

the words "and in respect of Energy Balancing Charges for which the User is liable pursuant to paragraph 3.7.4(b) an Offtake Reconciliation will be carried out in accordance with Section E6." shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.16(b)

the words "and Energy Balancing" shall be deleted from paragraph 3.7.18(a)(ii)

## 10 Consultation

Panel invited representations from interested parties with the Consultation closing on 25<sup>th</sup> April 2019. Two representations were received following a full 15-day consultation period.

Representations were received from the following parties:

Organisation	Response	Relevant Objectives	Key Points
BUUK	Support	F - positive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We support the implementation of this change proposal because it will ensure that the IGT UNC is updated and thereby ensure the accuracy of the obligations under code, covering off areas of development under the UNC which have been previously overlooked.</li> <li>The proposer agrees that this change should go through a fast track self-governance process.</li> <li>The proposer states that this change satisfies Objective F as it helps to improve continuity between the IGT UNC and the UNC.</li> <li>There are no impacts or costs foreseen worth of note.</li> <li>It is agreed to have the implementation date should be part of the next release (June 2019).</li> <li>The proposed legal text is deemed sufficient to achieve the purpose of the modification after discussions held at the RG005 subgroup and with the Code Administrator.</li> </ul>
E.ON	Support	F- Positive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We support the implementation of this change as it is aligning the remaining parts of the IGT UNC with the UNC, which eliminates any potential confusion being caused.</li> <li>It is agreed that this change will satisfy Objective F and self-governance modification rules.</li> <li>We are satisfied with the proposed amendments to the legal text.</li> <li>We support the proposed implementation date of June 2019.</li> </ul>

In Summary:

- Two responses were received to the consultation for IGT125F, incorporating one response from a Pipeline User and one response from a Pipeline Operator.



- Both respondents offered support this modification.
- Both respondents agreed that this modification better facilitates Relevant Objective F.
- Both respondents agreed that this modification meets the criteria for Self-Governance.
- Both respondents agreed that this change should implemented in the next release (June 2019).
- Both respondents agreed with the proposed amendments to the Legal Text.

## 11 Panel Discussions

*The Code Administrator will provide a summary of the Panel discussions that inform any decisions taken. This will include a record of Panel's views on the representations, the outcome of any votes and, where alternates exist, Panel's preference.*

### Discussion

Insert text here

### Consideration of the Relevant Objectives

Insert text here

### Determinations

Insert text here

## 12 Recommendations

### Panel Determination Self-Governance

Members agreed:

- that Modification 125F should [not] be implemented

### Insert subheading here

Insert text here