













Workgroup Report	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>IGT122:</h1> <h2>Amendment of the Data Permission Matrix to add Meter Asset Provider as a new User type</h2>	<div>01 Modification</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div>04 Final Modification Report</div>
<p><b>Purpose of Modification:</b></p> <p>This Modification seeks to amend the Data Permissions Matrix to add Meter Asset Provider (MAP) as a new User type.</p>	
	<p>The Workgroup recommends that this modification should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be subject to self-governance procedures</li> <li>be further assessed by a Joint UNC/IGT Workgroup</li> <li>proceed to Consultation</li> <li>be implemented</li> </ul> <p>The Panel will consider this Workgroup Report on 17<sup>th</sup> April 2019. The Panel will consider the recommendations and determine the appropriate next steps.</p>
	<p>High Impact:</p> <p>None</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>None</p>
	<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>Transporters, Shipper Users, CDSP</p>

Contents		 Any questions?
1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	4
4	Code Specific Matters	4
5	Solution	4
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4
7	Relevant Objectives	5
8	Implementation	6
9	Legal Text	6
10	Recommendations	6
Timetable		
<b>The Proposer recommends the following timetable:</b>		Contact: <b>Code Administrator</b>
Initial consideration by Workgroup 2 <sup>nd</sup> April 2019		 <a href="mailto:IGTUNC@gemse rv.com">IGTUNC@gemse rv.com</a>
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup		 020 7090 1044
Workgroup Report presented to Panel 17 <sup>th</sup> April 2019		Proposer: <b>Colin Blair</b>
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation 17 <sup>th</sup> April 2019		 <a href="mailto:colin.blair2@scottish power.com">colin.blair2@scottish power.com</a>
Consultation Close-out for representations 10 <sup>th</sup> May 2019		 0141 614 8468
Variation Request presented to Panel dd month year		Other: <b>Simon Harris</b>
Final Modification Report available for Panel 13 <sup>th</sup> May 2019		 <a href="mailto:simon.harris@xoserv e.com">simon.harris@xoserv e.com</a>
Modification Panel decision 17 <sup>th</sup> May 2019		 0121 623 2455
An equivalent Modification (0684) has been raised for the UNC, it would be beneficial for the two Modifications to be developed at one workgroup.		

## Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup agreed with the Proposers timetable as set out in the modification.

## 1 Summary

### What

Meter Asset Providers (MAP) are already privy to data under IGT UNC but are not currently specified as a User Type within the Data Permissions Matrix (DPM).

Modification 115 - Update to IGT UNC to formalise the Data Permission Matrix, has formally created the Data Permission Matrix as part of the UK Link Manual. Modification 115 requires that the addition of a new User type (a new party to be recognised on the Data Permission Matrix) is undertaken by an IGT UNC Modification (24.6.2). Once the new User type is created the approval for the release of data to the new User type is governed under the Data Services Contract, Contract Management Committee (CoMC).

### Why

In line with IGT UNC legal text (24.6.2) implemented for Modification 115 a new Modification is needed to add a new User type to the DPM, regardless of if they are already specified under IGT UNC Section K.

MAPs are needed to be added to the DPM due to a requirement that was placed on the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP) as part of Ofgem's Central Switching Service Consequential Change to pass Meter Asset Provider Identifier (MAP Id) to CSS in order for the MAPs to be notified at a Supplier registration and switching event. Population of MAP Id into UK Link system is required for CSS testing. Data cleansing will be required to aid MAPs in providing accurate data to the CDSP. Adding MAPs to the DPM will facilitate release of data over and above what is currently detailed in Section K (subject to DSC Contract Managers Committee (CoMC) approval).

### How

This enabling Modification is proposing to add Meter Asset Providers (MAP) as a new User type to the DPM.

## 2 Governance

### Justification for Self-Governance Procedures

It is proposed that this modification is classified as Self-Governance as it does not have a material impact on gas consumers, competition, pipeline operations, security of supply, governance procedures and is does not discriminate between code parties. The modification is to enable data sharing permissions only.

As there is an equivalent UNC modification (0684), it is recommended they both follow the same governance classification.

### Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be considered a non-material change and subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Joint IGT/UNC Workgroup

## Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup agreed with the Proposers view that this Modification should be Self-Governance.

### 3 Why Change?

This change is required to add MAP as a new User type to the DPM in line with code set out in Modification 115. This will then enable a request for the disclosure of specific data to be submitted to the DSC Contract Management Committee for approval, where needed, to support the data cleansing and verification in order to populate the accurate MAP Id in UK Link systems for onward transmission to CSS.

Also, as part of the Joint MIS Development Group (JMDG), Use case 58 was recommended for progression to provide MAP access to CDSP system data to assist with validating asset and Supplier information to aid in cleansing data for assuring operational processes.

### 4 Code Specific Matters

#### Reference Documents

MAPs are specified in IGT UNC under Section K 23.9 and are already allowed to receive data from the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP) as per the rules set out in Section V5.16 of the UNC.

### 5 Solution

This enabling Modification is proposing to add the MAP as a new User type to the DPM.

#### Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup discussed the solution and agreed this reflected the most pragmatic approach.

### 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

#### Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

No impact.

#### Consumer Impacts

None directly identified, this is a permissions Modification to permit the release of data that may lead to benefits to the consumer. However, this is subject to confirmation by the PIA.

#### Environmental Impacts

N/A

#### Cross Code Impacts

There will be a Modification for both the IGT UNC and the UNC, the UNC Modification is 0684.

## Central Systems Impacts

As this is a permissions Modification there are no direct impacts, however, impacts will be identified and developed through the DSC Contract Management Committee and the DSC Change Management Committee, where required, to deliver the data requested.

## Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup agreed with the Proposers view on Impacts.

## 7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(A) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system	None
(B) Co-ordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system; and/or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	None
(C) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations	None
(D) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation agreements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers	None
(E) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	None
(F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Positive
(G) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators	None

This change is to add Meter Asset Provider (MAP) to the Data Permissions Matrix. This Modification is less onerous than creating bespoke permissions for Meter Asset Provider (MAP) within the main body of IGT UNC to facilitate data access as part of consequential changes from CSS. This modification also aligns with the principles approved in IGT UNC Modification 115 - Update to IGT UNC to formalise the Data Permission Matrix (IGT UNC Section K 24.6).

## Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup agreed that the modification would have a positive impact on Relevant Objective (F). Promotion of efficiency in the administration of the Code.

## 8 Implementation

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised, it should also be aligned with the UNC.

## Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup discussed the suggested implementation date, with the Chair noting that a non-material documentation only change usually requires a two-month lead time within the Code. The Chair noted that any inclusion in an earlier release will have to be justified to the Panel. The Workgroup noted that 16 Business days following a Panel decision would be close enough to the Schedule June release to pragmatically include it in this, however, the Workgroup settled to defer the decision to the Panel.

## 9 Legal Text

### Text Commentary

None provided

### Text

**K24.3(o) To the disclosure of information to a Meter Asset Provider whereby such disclosure shall be in accordance with either paragraph 5.5.2(j) of Section V of the UNC or paragraph 23.9 of this Part K.**

## Workgroup Comments

The Workgroup discussed the suggested legal text, noting that the UNC is currently not suggesting adding legal text to their modification. The Code Administrator noted that they are expecting a follow up modification to remove the current provisions for MAPs within the IGT UNC. The Workgroup therefore agreed with the Proposers suggested legal text and noted that this fully delivers the modifications solution.

## 10 Recommendations

### Workgroup's Recommendation to Panel

The Workgroup asks Panel to agree that:

- This self-governance modification should proceed to consultation.

## 11 Appendix



UKL Data Permissions  
Matrix V7.0FR.xlsx