

RG005 Subgroup Progress

Attendee	Organisation	Representing
Steve Ladle (SL)	Gemserv	Chair
Cher Harris (CH)	Indigo Pipelines	Pipeline Operator
John Cooper	BU-UK	Pipeline Operator
Chris Barker	BU-UK	Pipeline Operator
Brandon Rodrigues	ESP-Utilities	Pipeline Operator
Paul Orsler	Xoserve	CDSP
Rachel Clarke	Gemserv	Code Administrator
Billy Howitt (BH)	Gemserv	Code Administrator

1. Background

RG005 was raised by BU-UK on 12th December 2018. Within the January Workstream meeting a proposed timetable was presented to the group to map out the process for identifying consequential changes to the IGT UNC following the upcoming implementation of the Faster Switching/Retail Energy Code programme. The timetable set out key dates for deliverables, including the Regulatory Design User Group (RDUG) meetings where Code leads are due to present progress on their respective Code changes to Ofgem and the proposal for IGT UNC specific subgroups where IGTs meet to work through the Code to identify areas of change. The Code Administrator arranged two meetings which have now taken place. The Purpose of this paper is to provide the Workstream meeting with an update on the progress of the subgroup.

Key Date	Deliverable/Milestone	Owner
08/01/19	Initial kick off with considerations of key areas to cover	Mod Workstream
22/01/2019	Carry out a page turn exercise of the IGT UNC and REC drafts for V1 and V2, to assess areas of consequential change	IGTs and Gemserv
05/02/19	BUUK and Gemserv to present initial findings to the group for discussion	Mod Workstream
12/02/2019	Continue with a page turn exercise of the IGT UNC (if second workshop required)	IGTs and Gemserv
25/02/19	Presenting of consequential changes to RDUG, with full requirements of delivery to be clarified by Ofgem (Jon Dixon)	BU-UK
05/03/19	Group to collate views to be presented at the next Panel meeting	Mod Workstream
07/03/2019	Carry out another page turn exercise of the IGT UNC linking to Section M, to assess some areas of consequential changes	IGTs, Gemserv and Xoserve

18/03/2019	Follow up session on outstanding Actions	IGTs, Gemserv and Xoserve
22/03/19	Final progress report delivered to Panel	Panel
25/03/19	Presenting of legal text of proposed changes to RDUG	

2. IGT Subgroup

The Subgroup met with four IGT representatives and one System Operator. The group worked through the IGT UNC and cross referenced this with the legal text provided to the Code Administrator by Cadent.

Key actions:

CI 9 and 10. Project Summary Notification- defined terms(provisional name)

Trying to work out a better name for this process as “Supply Point Confirmation” is no longer relevant (potential impact on Ancillary document) –

Action: BU-UK to take back for internal discussions

CIV 3: Supply Point Withdrawal- Discussion suggested that the term “Withdrawal” is no longer applicable for CSS Supply Points and that this section should be headed Deregistration. It is proposed to replace references to Supply Point Withdrawal by the terms Supply Point Deregistration Deregistration request and reference UNC G5.6

This issue also affects other references in the IGT UNC to Supply Point Confirmation – e.g. in Part B5.2 DM Capacity where rewording has been suggested to replace supply point confirmation.

Action PO/SL to confirm whether this is possible and that the suggested terms are the correct terms

Potential changes to the timing by which IGTs will receive updates from the CDSP because of the dependence on the REC for change of registration information

Action: BU-UK- To find out if the data provision timings from the CSS thought the CDSP will allow IGT’s to bill accurately.

Is there any risk of misalignment between the IGT view and the CDSP central Supply Point Register view that may affect the requirement of IGT invoices to match UNC data?

Action: PO to go back find out about the estimated billing provision

Part CV-New Supply Meter Points and Other Siteworks

Action: PO to see if we can standardise on an alternative process to use instead of Supply Point Confirmation. PO also to clarify AQ Value/ base data needed for supply points.

Action: IGTs to take this section away to check that it is still supportive of the business processes in this area (new Supply Points either via PSR or by other means.

How will the process whereby a Supplier can change Shipper be handled?

Action PO to investigate

CI 11. Supplier of Last Resort- we currently have our own version of this. However, should we point across to the UNC? Also, the SOLR in the UNC is still being discussed so we will need to check the final version to make sure the IGT UNC remains compatible.

Action: All

Part N:

3.4-3.9- Links to documentation that no longer exists in the areas in which it states.

Action: PO to review this section to see if any changes are required

CIV 7: Reestablishment:

- Reestablishment add this in.
- IGT to do this to benefit GT's. Also benefits IGT's to accurately monitor the Supply Point Register.
- Without the inclusion of these references we are not updating the meter point status and impact supplier to register the supplier point.
- However, the UNC includes a number of additional cases which were not adopted into the IGT UNC. The references have been included in the REC version but we potentially need a Reestablishment modification

Action: CB to look at the need to raise a modification

Termination Section CI 1.4- How will this be enacted? Is it still relevant for the Code re area such as amending timings to processes and its tie in with the SOLR process.

Action: PO to advise

CI11 Supplier of Last Resort

Still waiting for full clarification of how this will work under the REC

Action: PO to advise

PART K Data Permissions

Will we need to add a clause to allow Data interactions with the REC? May need to liaise with the UNC Dentons on this

Action: PO to investigate

PART E Closing Meter Reads

Action: PO to advise when the new UNC process has been agreed

Other areas discussed during the Walkthrough:

- Part A.2 Need to pick up all defined terms properly
- Part CI Supply Point Registration- potential to change title to Supply Points
- 1.4 specific to the termination notice potential to move to the IGT UNC in Part K.

- 1.5 Directly copied from the UNC
- 1.5.F. Delete LDZ as the IGT UNC only has CSS Supply Points
- 1.5.M. Do we need to include all of these or point to the UNC?
- 2.5 Referred to rejected Supply Point Nomination no longer relevant?
- 2.7 Propose to delete this as it refers to Supply Point Nomination confirmation process which is no longer relevant. May need to rethink this if it is agreed to retain these processes.
- 8. Supply Point Registration
 - Paragraph 4.4 Should not apply but this needs to be checked
 - 8.2 Supply Point Registration- remove CSS Supply Points
- 10.5 Should all remain but we may want to think if there is a better place in Code for it.
- 13. Do we need to use CSS?
- Part CII- DM Capacity and offtake rate
- 2.1 Effect of withdrawal
- Isolation as prerequisite activity?
- 2.1 Supply Point Deregistration- Lose the withdrawal reference?
Take away any reference to withdrawal

3. Next Steps

The Subgroup has now reviewed all available documentation that has been provided by Cadent to date and has incorporated these changes into the latest drafting of the IGT UNC.

Cadent has indicated that their final legal drafting will not be available until 25th March.

The group will be meeting on Monday 18th March 2019 to follow up on actions in preparation for the final drafting before the 25th March 2019 deadline.

Billy Howitt

15th March 2019