

Consultation Response

IGT0112: Refinements to the RPC Template

Responses invited by: 06 12 2018

Respondent Details

Name: Cher Harris

Organisation: Indigo Pipelines

Support Implementation ☐

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☒

Do Not Support ☐

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We have no objections to this proposal

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

Agree

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

None

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Impacts Objective F in so far as it clarifies the formatting of RPC Invoices and removes the current ambiguities

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

This will require a system change so will incur development costs, not expected to incur any ongoing costs once implemented. Development costs not yet know.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

System changes are required so at least 6 months lead time required to design, develop, test and implement the changes

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Insert text here

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com