

IGT112 Technical Subgroup Meeting

Monday 24th September 2018

Gemserv, 8 Fenchurch Place, London EC3M 4AJ

Attendee	Organisation	
Steve Ladle (SL)	Gemserv	Chairman
Rachel Horton (RH)	E.ON	
Mark Donaldson (MD)	E.ON	
Kirsty Dudley* (KD)	E.ON	
James Hill (JH)	EDF	
Katy Binch (KB)	ESPUG	
Tony Hall* (TH)	BU-UK	
James Sarjeant* (JS)	BU-UK	
Paul Orsler* (PO)	Xoserve	
Rachel Clarke (RC)	Gemserv	Secretariat

1. Welcome and apologies for absence

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting of the IGT112 Technical Subgroup meeting. The Chair noted that this meeting was the first Technical group created in the IGT UNC. The aim is that this meeting will deliver a technical solution that can be fed into the main modification Workstream meetings for discussion in the wider context of the governance.

2. Confirmation of Agenda

The Chair confirmed the items for discussion as outlined in the final agenda. The Workgroup had no further business to add to the agenda.

3. Confirmation of Terms of Reference

The Chair noted the Terms of Reference (ToR) for this meeting and highlighted that the focus of the meeting was to discuss and agree on a technical solution, that is fit for purpose and delivers the intent of the modification. KD added that as the proposer of the modification comments were welcomed prior to the meeting, however, none were received. Therefore, comments throughout the meeting were welcomed however, not all may be included in the amended modification.

The Workgroup noted the update.

4. IGT112 – Refinements to the RPC Template

This item was covered in agenda item 6.

5. Review of amended ancillary document (V1.2)

This item was covered in agenda item 6.

6. Review of suggested RPC backing data format draft

RH introduced the document to the Workgroup and worked [through the document](#) as below:

Change History

The Workgroup discussed the change history of the document and noted that the description for version 1.1 should be changed to reflect that this change was due to 'Nexus Implementation'. The Chair also noted that any IGT112 changes would in fact be a v1.3 change, as IGT102 (Enduring solution for provisions that allow consecutive estimated invoicing in the event of System Failure by the CDSP) will bring in changes in November 2018.

Document Overview

The Workgroup reviewed the suggested changes made to the document. The Workgroup agreed with the additional changes, however, KB queried whether the date convention should be changed to align with UK Link conventions. KB suggested that it should be YYYYMMDD instead. KD noted that this had been discussed internally, and it was determined that the addition of this would lead to too bigger change, and it may inhibit the development of the modification. KB suggested that if the template is going to be aligned with UK Link conventions, this would be the time to do all of them.

KD resolved to take this suggestion away and incorporate this into a further drafting.

The Workgroup welcomed the introduction of the definitions at the head of the document instead of the end.

The Workgroup agreed that the introduction of double quotation marks (" ") could be added to the template with minimal changes needed.

IGT RPC invoice template

RH noted that the proposal is to delete this section in its entirety as all information is now contained in a subsequent section. The Workgroup all supported this change.

Header

RH noted that the new proposal for the template is to add extra detail to the header description, and as the current version does not stipulate specifics, the proposer has detailed what is operationally being used.

PO queried whether the header is a separate record or if this is to be read in a single flat record. RH noted that this was to be in the file itself as one flat data stream. PO noted that it may be worth checking that the main body of the invoice does not need its own record type definition. KD resolved to check this following the meeting. KD added that this should be read as a parent and child

structure. KB added that the addition of a hierarchy in the notes would help in reading this template in the way it was intended. RH added that the proposed changes to the ancillary document are not changing the process that is already in place, it is just seeking to rid the document of ambiguity. SL sought to clarify the current situation with systems. RH noted that currently, systems recognise a T01 as the opening of an invoice, a Z99 as the end of the invoice, and anything in between the detail of the invoice.

KB queried whether the template should have an upper limit, akin to the current UK Link conventions. KD agreed to add an upper limit to the further drafting for comment.

KB noted that the UK Link convention does not have double quotation marks around the date. KD noted to remove these from the example detailed in the document.

The Workgroup all agreed that the proposed header facilitates the intended solution for IGT112.

Record type definition

The Workgroup reviewed the new proposal for the main body of the invoice data. RH noted that firstly the new proposal puts the template into the UK Link structure. The Workgroup all agreed with this approach.

- Charge type – Workgroup agreed that this would work as drafted.
- IGT Project Reference - Workgroup agreed that this would work as drafted.
- MPRN - Workgroup agreed that this would work as drafted.
- Start Date – The Workgroup suggested B14 and B15 are added to the description for completeness.
- End Date – The Workgroup suggested B14 and B15 are added to the description for completeness.
- Billing Days – The Workgroup suggested B15 is added to the description for completeness.
- Exit Zone – The Workgroup suggested taking out the words 'if available' as all IGTs will have this information. PO suggested that there is already a CDSP field name and description for this data item of CSEP Exit Zone Identifier. KD resolved to use this in the further drafting.
- Property Type – The Workgroup suggested adding INF (Infil) into the description for this data item and also suggested that DCV (Domestic Caravans) would be subsumed in Band A of the CSEP NExA Table under 1BP. The Workgroup discussed the term COM for non-domestic properties, and it was resolved to keep this in the drafting.
- RPC Entry Point Date – The Workgroup suggested making this field conditional to accommodate legacy invoicing as operationally IGTs use this same template for both RPC and legacy invoicing. The Workgroup questioned whether the name of the document should

change to reflect this. KD noted the suggestion and resolved to add this into the further drafting and to introduce this into the modification.

- EUC - PO suggested that there is already a CDSP field name and description for this data item of EUC Description. KB added that this data item should have a WAR band example as IGTs rarely do this.
- RPC Entry Point AQ - The Workgroup suggested making this field conditional to accommodate legacy invoicing and update the description.
- RPC Entry Point SOQ - The Workgroup suggested making this field conditional to accommodate legacy invoicing and update the description.
- Formula Year SMP AQ – The Workgroup suggested that operationally the Formula AQ is used here and not the rolling AQ.
- CSEP ID - PO suggested that there is already a CDSP field name and description for this data item.
- CSEP Nominated AQ – PO noted that this could be removed from the template as the data here is captured in CSEP Connection Max AQ. The Workgroup suggested that this be left in the template but populated either with zero or non.
- CSEP Connection Max AQ -- Workgroup agreed that this would work as drafted.
- IGT System Max AQ -- Workgroup agreed that this would work as drafted.
- IGT System Max SOQ -- Workgroup agreed that this would work as drafted.
- Meter Serial Number – The Workgroup suggested amending the test here to add 'or known' as KB noted this information should be shared even if they are not the Gas Act Owner.
- RPC Infil Charge – KB suggested some alternative text for this data item which is from the CDSP. The Workgroup also discussed whether this was a Rate or a Charge and resolved that this was a Rate and therefore the title should be changed to reflect this.
- MO Charge – KB suggested that this is extended to Meter Operator Charge. The Workgroup also discussed whether this was a Rate or a Charge and resolved that this was a Rate and therefore the title should be changed to reflect this.
- Corrector Charge - The Workgroup also discussed whether this was a Rate or a Charge and resolved that this was a Rate and therefore the title should be changed to reflect this.
- Meter Mechanism - Workgroup agreed that this would work as drafted, however, suggested taking out the examples and add in a reference to RGMA file formats.

- Transportation Charge – The Workgroup discussed whether this charge should be in pounds (£) or pence (p). The Workgroup resolved that this should be in pounds (£) which is in keeping with the current template and to therefore reducing the decimal places from 4 to 2.
- RPC Entry Rate – Th Workgroup suggested that this data item be made conditional and a clause added for this in the case of RPC invoices.
- Total Charge - Workgroup agreed that this would work as drafted.
- General Information – The Workgroup discussed the drafting and questioned whether this should be conditional instead of optional for instances of Contingency Invoicing. The Workgroup agreed that a conditional clause should be added to this to make this conditional on all Contingency Invoicing and reverting back to optional for any other charge types.

Footer

The Workgroup reviewed the proposed footer and suggested that the double quotation marks should be removed from the example as this is not a current UK Link requirement. KB also suggested removing the word 'thousands' from the invoice value as this was unnecessary.

KD noted that some IGTs are sending backing data separately and Shippers are having to marry these up with invoices, therefore a 1:1 ratio is to be introduced into the document to ensure this is understood going forward.

The Workgroup all agreed that the proposed footer facilitates the intended solution for IGT112.

Format guidance

RH noted that this has been removed and inserted into the notes section at the top of the document.

The Workgroup all agreed with this change.

KD resolved to take the Workgroups suggestions and to provide a further draft of the amended document for comment by subgroup members. The Code Administrator resolved to circulate this for comment ahead of the IGT UNC Modification Workstream meeting on 2nd October 2018.

Action IGT112SG-01: KD to amend the ancillary document incorporating suggested amendments from the subgroup and submit this to the CA.

Action IGT112SG-02: CA to circulate amended ancillary document to subgroup members for comment ahead of the IGT UNC Modification Workstream meeting on 2nd October 2018.

7. AOB

None.

The next IGT UNC Modification Workstream Meeting is on 2nd October 2018

Appendix 1

IGT112SG-01	24 th September 2018	KD to amend the ancillary document incorporating suggested amendments from the subgroup and submit this to the CA.	KD	New.
IGT112SG-02	24 th September 2018	CA to circulate amended ancillary document to subgroup members for comment ahead of the IGT UNC Modification Workstream meeting on 2nd October 2018.	CA	New.

DRAFT