

Options	Right expertise/time dedicated to potential change	Identification of cross-code impacts	Reduction of duplication/wastage	Industry Parties right to make changes to the codes	Effective/consistent approach to legal text	IGTs/Shippers protected	Alignment of Codes	Totals
Amalgamate all common areas of the IGT UNC and the UNC	2	5	5	5	5	1	5	28
BUUK	2	5	5	3	4	1	5	25
SSE	4	5	5	2	5	3	5	29
Common UNC and IGT UNC modification process	4	4	2	5	3	3	4	25
BUUK	4	4	3	4	3	5	3	26
SSE	4	4	2	5	3	4	3	25
Amend the IGT UNC to reference the UNC at a much higher 'section type' level	4	3	3	2	4	5	3	24
BUUK	4	2	2	2	3	5	3	21
SSE	4	3	3	2	3	5	3	23
Put the IGT UNC (and its Ancillary documents) in their entirety into the UNC as a separate section akin to the IGTAD	2	4	4	5	4	2	5	26
BUUK	3	4	4	5	4	2	4	26
SSE	4	4	4	5	4	2	4	27
Do nothing approach	3	3	1	2	2	5	2	18
BUUK	3	3	1	2	2	5	2	18
SSE	3	3	1	2	2	5	2	18

Key  
1 - Do not achieve  
5- Full achieves

**N.B All suggested solutions have been graded with an ideal voting mechanism/protection for IGTs in mind where possible.**

<p>Agreed drivers;</p> <p><b><u>Right expertise/time dedicated to potential change</u></b></p> <p>This agreed driver was the result of discussions around the right IGT/Shipper/CA expertise and time being available when discussing the impacts on IGTs. This would be greatly reduced in some of the suggested solutions due to amalgamation.</p> <p><b><u>Identification of cross-code impacts</u></b></p> <p>This driver takes into consideration the need for cross-code impact analysis for all suggested changes across both Codes.</p> <p><b><u>Reduction of duplication/wastage</u></b></p> <p>This refers to parties time spent either A) discussing mirror modifications or considering cross-code impacts in both arenas, and B) duplication of creating mirrored change. This refers to both the Workstream meeting and governance vehicle.</p>	<p><b><u>Industry parties right to make changes to the Codes</u></b></p> <p>This looks at a review of the Parties to Codes who have the ability and right to make change to the IGT UNC. An example given was WWU identifying an implication on the IGT under current governance, but not being able to suggest that change.</p> <p><b><u>Effective/consistent approach to legal text</u></b></p> <p>This refers to the procurement and composition of legal text across the two Codes. This is currently carried out very differently and this would change with some suggested solutions.</p> <p><b><u>IGT/Shippers Protected</u></b></p> <p>This refers to both IGTs and Shippers voting rights and protection against an inappropriate suggested solution, and access to the development of solutions which affect IGTs and the Shipper relationship.</p>	<p><b><u>Alignment of Code</u></b></p> <p>This was identified as one of the most crucial drivers for change. This is ensuring that both the IGT UNC and the UNC are aligned.</p>
---	---	--