

Operational Metering Communications – User Guide for consideration

August 2011

Version 0.1

Operational Metering Communications - points for consideration as part of the discussion

ONAGE

- Will MAM reject a flow if address information held is different?
 - What sort of information is validated as part of the above? E.g. totally correct, partially or wrong? Though this is optional in the Baseline but still required by some MAMs
 - Should we introduce standard processes for address mismatches? E.g. what kind of validation is required
- Contract Reference (A0053) is a mandatory field for an Appointment - group need to agree the treatment of De-appointment as there's no requirement in Baseline.
- Padding of Files - what is the required file length and must this be padded out?
- "P" for meter link code in the Baseline indicates Prime & Subs though some iGTs view "P" to mean Primary which is the same as "F" freestanding - will this be an issue?
 - Complete ONAGE File Example (De-appointment) - check "F" in MTPNT to see if utilised in another flow type.
 - Should we hard code "F"
 - Check consequences and confirm DNs processes and associated flows, where applicable
 - Check iGT flows where "F" is applicable
- Baseline needs to be looked at for consistency - is "P" Meter Link Code (A0076) a minimum requirement in the Baseline? RGMA page 173
 - Should Meter Link Code be sent? This is for free standing that EDF currently populate - what are the implications if populated by others?
- 'De-Appointment' Change of Agent event requires a trigger of ONDET from Old MAM to provide existing metering details - should this be a part of this discussion or transferred to the MAM Manual discussion?
- Should MAM ID in ONAGE De-appointment be made mandatory? There is a possibility the new MAM is not made aware of the meter details etc. This is mandatory in the Baseline

ONJOB

- Should fields be padded or not?
 - Consider file lengths etc

K08

- Should this be mandatory? Only on Change of Agent and CoS..

- Confirm why this is not part of the minimum requirement but in the Baseline?

RNAGE

- Rejection reason must be looked at with a view of correcting the issue
 - what are the minimum requirements?
- Technical File rejections -
 - one is specific to reason of files e.g. meter point reference
 - another is to inform of a record e.g. market participant and,
 - Third reason if more than one .i.e. reason why.
- Metering Rejection Codes are to be built in to RNAGE De-appointment.
- RNAGE Response File
 - check Baseline 15.8.4 which relates to erroneous record 1.2.2 (not minimum requirement)

ONUPD

- iGT / MAM function should be De-appointed before ONUPD is accepted - otherwise iGTs would reject the flow as they can't validate unavailable record. Also consider the following points:
 - Is the date of the update prior to de-appointment
 - Does job files lists date of Job
 - Can we amend Baseline to include installation date? ONJOB?
- In what scenario would RNAGE be sent to suppliers? - Response to an appointment flows
 - What else is sent to the GTs?
- Make sure supplier ID & entity is the same for K08 & K09 .i.e. Shipper Reference e.g. 408

MAM / MAS

- Do we pad out Generation number or would "1" suffice as in Ashley's example?
 - Headers should match file name generation number
 - iGTs to check & report back