

## **iGT UNC Modification Workstream Meeting 17-06**

**Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> June 2017**

Gemserv, 8 Fenchurch Place, London EC3M 4AJ

<b>Attendee</b>		<b>Organisation</b>	
Steve Ladle	(SL)	Gemserv	Chairman
John Welch*	(JW)	Npower	
Mark Jones*	(MJ)	SSE	
Claire Towler*	(CT)	SSE	
Kirsty Dudley*	(KD)	E.ON	
Andrew Margan*	(AM)	Ofgem	
Paul Orsler*	(PO)	Xoserve	
Adam Pearce*	(AP)	ES Pipelines	
Nicky Rozier*	(NR)	BU-UK	
Chris Barker*	(CB)	BU-UK	
Rachel Bird	(RB)	Gemserv	Secretariat

*\*Attended via teleconference*

### **1. Welcome and apologies for absence**

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting of the iGT UNC Modification Workstream. As it was anticipated that the June Workstream meeting would not run to the normal timings, it was decided by parties to host this meeting as a Teleconference.

### **2. Confirmation of Agenda**

The Chair confirmed the items for discussion as outlined in the final agenda. The Chair also asked the Workgroup whether there were any additional items of business. The Workgroup was happy with the agenda and did not have any additional items to add.

### **3. Approval of the Previous Minutes**

Attendees reviewed the minutes from the Modification Workstream meeting held on 2nd May 2017 (17-05). There were no additional comments received for the draft minutes. The minutes were agreed and approved as a true and accurate record of the meeting.

### **4. Outstanding Actions**

Please refer to the table in Appendix 1 at the end of the minutes for updates on actions arising.

## **Action 17/05-02**

ESPUG brought a modification proposal to 17-05 Workstream meeting for comments by the Workgroup. The modification was revised and sent out as iGT099 on 10<sup>th</sup> May. This modifications progress was subject to the Project Nexus Go/No Go (GONG) decision on 16<sup>th</sup> May. Ofgem confirmed that Project Nexus would be implemented on 1<sup>st</sup> June 2017 and this modification was consequently withdrawn by ESPUG on 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2017.

## **5. iGT095/UNC593 – PCW & TPI Access - Update**

The Chair updated the Workgroup on the recent Joint Distribution Workgroup meeting which met on 25<sup>th</sup> May to discuss iGT095 and UNC593. The Chair noted that Xoserve attended a meeting with the CMA, Shipper, iGT & GT representatives on 10<sup>th</sup> May 2017 and the CMA agreed to widen the investigation report to extend the scope of the report to meet the intention of the order as DES in its current form is not considered to be an effective solution. The CMA are due to publish the amended investigation report by 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017. The Chair gave the Workgroup an overview of the potential route the Modification can take. There is potential to vary the modification to reflect the widened CMA solution, develop the PIA to consider an API solution, varied modification to be developed at the Workgroup for a Workgroup report. This would then go to a Panel meeting to be sent out for consultation, assuming the Panel considered the variation to be material. An FMR would then be produced to send to Ofgem and a letter would be requested from the Panel to go to Ofgem to address the initial letter. The Chair noted that this process has been discussed with Bob Fletcher of the UNC Joint Office and he is supportive of this approach.

NR added that the PIA is intended to be developed at the next meeting on 22<sup>nd</sup> June and the FMR to go to the July Panel.

The Workgroup discussed the API solution put forward by Xoserve. JW informed the group that Xoserve presented an outline of the API solution to a DSC steering group and the slides were very informative. JW proposed that the presentation be brought to the next Joint Workgroup in June.

PO noted this and agreed to take it back to the team with a view to getting the presentation circulated.

## **Action MWS17/06-01: PO to request the API Solution presentation to be taken to the next Joint Workgroup for iGT095/UNC593.**

The Chair concluded the discussion noting that the next joint Workgroup will be on Thursday 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2017, this will be held at Elexon's offices.

## **6. Known Issues Register**

None

## **7. Mandatory address information in the PSR process**

MJ informed the group that he had spoken to KD after the last Workstream meeting regarding the mandatory vs optional address information. The initial PSR flow includes mandatory fields which are sufficient for Shipper billing. However, subsequent file flows only contain limited Mandatory data, with a number of 'optional' fields also potentially presented. PO sought to clarify the difference between mandatory and optional fields within the .IMC (iGT MPRN Creation File); Mandatory Fields – Post town, post code out, post code in, and any one of the following; Building Name, Building Number or Delivery Point Alias.

Optional Fields – If data is there & available it must be included.

Once processed, this information is then forwarded on to the relevant Elected Shipper within the .AES (Appointed Elected Shipper).

PO noted that a change is being progressed within the Data Service Contract Change Management process, to ensure certain address items which are not currently contained within the address dataset included within the AES file can be provisioned to Shippers. KD added that this process should be reviewed alongside other processes introduced for SSP. KD continued that there should be a period of bedding down of these systems before they are considered for revision.

## **8. Pipeline operator query codes**

KD informed the Workgroup that the email circulated by the Code Administrator did bring some feedback from parties on the additional query codes which now need to be considered after the implementation of iGT073 and that a modification will be raised and is intended to be brought to the June Panel.

## **9. CSEP NExA Table – Property types**

NR updated the Workgroup on discussions at the AiGT meeting, which resulted in the iGTs referring this to the Governing Executive Group (GEG). GEG recommended that the existing arrangements are kept in place with the exception of changing the 1 BED code to 1BP to conform with the 3-digit conformity and this would mean minimal system changes. iGTs did not see the need to create a new band to incorporate properties over 5 bedrooms.

AM queried how Shippers should handle codes which are currently being sent by iGTs which are not on the NExA Table. KD qualified this explaining that Shippers would be within their rights to reject these flows, however, if the Table was updated to encapsulate all current codes being used there would be no need for a system change.

The Chair noted that a modification would need to be raised to facilitate the changes to the ancillary document. AM suggested that this should go out to consultation for industry views.

AM also suggested that if all properties above 6 bedrooms were amalgamated into one code within the higher band there would be no need for significant system changes.

NR suggested that this be taken back to GEG for discussion and brought back to the next Workstream meeting.

The Chair summarised the discussion to the taken back to GEG; that 1 BED is to be changed to 1BP and all properties above 6 bedrooms is to be classed as 6BD/6BP. iGTs should also review the Codes they are actually using to see if any further changes to the Table would be of benefit or to otherwise agree to discontinue the use of these additional Codes.

**Action MWS17/06-02: NR to take the issue of the CSEP NExA property types back to the AiGT and report back to the next Workstream.**

## **10. AOB**

PO informed the Workgroup that he has been working on a diagram which outlines the process of updating iGT MPRN address data during the iGT MPRN creation process. The overarching principle for address updates within the new UK-Link system is that Xoserve will only accept an address update from either a) the iGT or b) the Registered User (Shipper). If the Shipper is not Registered against the site at the time the address update is identified, then only the iGT can update the address details for the MPRN.

KD noted that this will affect the solution of the modification she is raising in connection with the query codes and noted that she may have to add the ADD query to the list of suggested codes to be brought back.

**The next iGT UNC Modification Workstream Meeting is on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2017.**

## Appendix 1

MWS17/05-01	2 <sup>nd</sup> May 2017	CA to update the Known Issues register and publish this on the iGT UNC website.	Gemserv	Closed.
MWS17/05-02	2 <sup>nd</sup> May 2017	KN to amend the new AQ review modification proposal and send this to the CA for inclusion in the Final Agenda of May Panel meeting.	KN	Closed. Modification withdrawn.
MWS17/05-03	2 <sup>nd</sup> May 2017	KD to draft email to be circulated to the distribution list to outline the issues and to highlight the expectation that all parties will agree to accept the query codes until the modification progresses.	KD	Closed. Agenda item 8
MWS17/05-04	2 <sup>nd</sup> May 2017	CA to add Pipeline Operator Query Codes to the next Workstream agenda.	Gemserv	Closed. Agenda item 8
MWS17/05-05	2 <sup>nd</sup> May 2017	CA to put the issue of mandatory address information in the PSR process on the Agenda for the June 2017 Workgroup meeting.	Gemserv	Closed.
<b>MWS17/06-01</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> June 2017</b>	<b>PO to request the API Solution presentation to be taken to the next Joint Workgroup for iGT095/UNC593</b>	<b>PO</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS17/06-02</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> June 2017</b>	<b>NR to take the issue of the CSEP NExA property types back to the AiGT and report back to the next Workstream</b>	<b>NR</b>	<b>New</b>