IGT039 Meeting #7

Present:
Colette Baldwin         Eon
Gethyn Howard (Chair)   IPL
Cher Harris             SSEPL
Tabish Khan             British Gas
David McCrone           Scottish Power
Andy Miller             Xoserve

*Due to an issue with the host teleconference facilities, Anne Jackson of SSE was unable to join the teleconference.

1) Introductions

The group members introduced themselves.

2) Review of Actions from Previous Meeting

   i) GH, DS, AM identify process differences between IGTs and GDNs. [carried over] AM commented that Xoserve had undertaken a project to commence the differences in drafting between the IGT UNC. GH commented that IGTs will be meeting mid August to PROGRESS this work. In order to avoid duplication, AM agreed to send IGTs the work carried out to date so IGTs can take this forwards.

   ii) DS to compare IGT and Shipper communications against those set out in the IGT UNC. [carried over]

   iii) CW to discuss IAS further with lawyers following discussion on presentation and provide update at next physical meeting. [carried over]

   iv) AM to review the Agency Services Agreement and update with UNC references. [closed] GH agreed to circulate AM’s documents.

   v) GH to review timeline of events. [closed]

3) NEXUS Update

AM commented that a meeting had been held at Ofgem earlier in the week with a number of industry participants and due to the timescales required for systems build, the pre-consultation process will no longer be going ahead. Instead, Ofgem have asked that a detailed business case is put forwards for Project NEXUS. It is therefore anticipated that the CBA will be provided in the responses to the Code modifications themselves.

AM also confirmed that there were 3 “build” areas for NEXUS;
   - Settlement Suite
   - IGT SSP
   - Retrospective Adjustments

It is currently anticipated that high level costs would be available for the IGT SSP around October which would allow for Xoserve to ask for CBA to commence during November/December. This was due to the fact that Xoserve are likely to need to start any NEXUS system build in April 2013. GH commented that he had made some amendments to the timeline of events and that this will need to be reviewed by Xoserve to ensure this aligns with the NEXUS SSP timeframe. AM also confirmed that the IGT SSP will be subject to a separate CBA and “Clarification and Questions” document in due course.

CB highlighted that there may be synergies with the CBA performed for the HH settlement of larger customers that was undertaken within the electricity industry. Aligning the CBA work for NEXUS with that carried out under the electricity work may therefore allow for all relevant information to be provided to
Ofgem and avoid any further information requests. AM agreed to contact Ofgem to discuss the approach taken for the electricity CBA.

**Action: GH to send AM timeline of events for review.**
**Action: AM to contact Ofgem regarding information required for CBA.**

4) **Update on NG legal view on drafting approach**
CW confirmed that a legal meeting was due to take place in the coming weeks and that this should enable more flesh to be put on the bones at the next meeting which is to be held in September.

5) **Discussion of clarity of costs and timeframe for Xoserve cost provision**
GH summarised that at the last IGT039 IGT UNC Panel update, a party had asked about the transparency of proposed IGT SSP NEXUS costs and whether Ofgem would be involved in analysis of such proposed costs. AM commented that the costs provided will be high level and take shape as a rough order of magnitude so it is unlikely that the costs could be broken down into any specific activities. DS asked whether such costs could be focused enough to be able to determine a per supply point cost. AM confirmed that this may be possible in due course.

6) **AOB**
There was no additional business.

7) **Future Meeting Dates**
The next meeting will be held on 13th September (venue tba).

---

**Actions:**

1) GH, DS, AM identify process differences between IGTs and GDNs.
2) DS to compare IGT and Shipper communications against those set out in the IGT UNC.
3) CW to discuss IAS further with lawyers following discussion on presentation and provide update at next physical meeting.
4) GH agreed to circulate AM’s documents.
5) GH to send AM timeline of events for review.
6) AM to contact Ofgem regarding information required for CBA.