

**Consultation Response****iGT105: Creating permissions for the CDSP to release data to Meter Asset Providers**

Responses invited by: 17 Jan 2018

**Respondent Details**

Name: Kirsty Dudley

Organisation: E.ON

Support Implementation ☒

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

## **Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition**

We support this modification because it introduces a mechanism into the iGT UNC to allow MAPs to clarify with the CDSP where their asset is, this then ensures charges are issued to the correct parties thus reducing query volumes across the industry.

We don't anticipate large volumes of requests for the iGTs initially because in a lot of instances the MAP is also the iGT, however, we believe with smart rollout and a more competitive metering market this may not always be the case and MAPs need a way to check where their meter is, just like they can in the UNC.

We believe this is a positive change and we support its implementation.

## Self-Governance Statement

**Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?**

As this change does not have any material impact on the future of gas consumers, competition, operation of the pipe-line system, matters of supply security or iGT UNC governance, we are happy it us subject to Self-Governance procedures .

## Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

Nothing to add.

## Relevant Objectives

**How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?**

Objective D ensures timely delivery of data to the MAPs and will ensure charges are invoiced/paid by the correct parties. Objective F ensures the iGT UNC mirrors the modifications requirements already approved in the UNC keeping codes aligned and robust.

## Impacts and Costs

**What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?**

We believe costs to be minimal as the CDSP already holds the data and the reports can already be run, they just aren't due to permissions. Data would only be released when a formal request is submitted which brings no additional costs. Shippers nor iGTs need to (in our view) make any system changes so costs are minimal.

## Implementation

**What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?**

The next release following approval (as determined by panel).

## Legal Text

**Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?**

We are happy with the legal text proposed.

## Further Comments

**Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?**

No further comments.

**Responses should be submitted by email to [IGTUNC@gemserv.com](mailto:IGTUNC@gemserv.com)**