

Consultation Response**iGT104: Permissions modification to allow the CDSP to release iGT supply point information under UNC MOD0520A**

Responses invited by: 17 Jan 2018

Respondent Details

Name: Kirsty Dudley

Organisation: E.ON

Support Implementation ☒

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We support this modification because we see benefit in granting the permissions for the PAC to have visibility of data for both GT and iGT supply points.

The modification seeks to only introduce the same requirements to those already approved into the UNC and is drafted so that any further additional requirements need to be completed via modifications for both codes.

We believe this is a positive step and implementation should therefore be approved.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

We agree with the self-governance determination as this is essentially a permissions modification and therefore doesn't have a material impact on consumers, competition or safety of supply.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

No new/additional issues.

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Objective D will have a positive impact because the PAC will have full market insight to assist with understanding settlement performance.

Objective F will have a positive impact because the modification will promote cross-code working and ensure efficiency because the CDSP currently holds the data but it doesn't have the permissions to share it with the PAC.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

We do not anticipate any costs because the data is already shared to the CDSP, they just don't have the permissions to share it with the PAC, there might be a CDSP cost saving as they will cease activities which ensure data is not included in anything shared with the PAC.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

With the current UIG issues we support implementation as soon as possible. Current self-governance rules could see implementation as soon as 16WD from approval; we would like to suggest Panel considers this.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

No comments.

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

No comments.

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com