

At what stage is this **iGT UNC Request** document in the process? RG003: Request Workgroup Report Review of data permission Final Modification Report provisions within the iGT UNC **Purpose of Request:** This Proposal seeks to undertake a review of data permission provisions within the iGT UNC and produce a summary of the Workgroups findings to the iGT UNC Panel for consideration. The Proposer recommends that this request should be assessed by a Workgroup This request will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 21st February 2018. High Impact: N/A Medium Impact: N/A Low Impact: N/A



Contents

- 1 Request
- 2 Impacts and Costs
- 3 Terms of Reference
- 4 Recommendation

About this document:

This document is a Request, which will be presented by the Proposer to the panel on 21st February 2018.

The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation, and agree whether this Request should be referred to a Workgroup for review.



Contact:

3

6

Code Administrator





Proposer:

TBC





Other:

Paul Orsler



paul.orsler@xoserve. com



0121 623 2060



1 Request

Why is the Request being made?

iGT UNC does not currently specify the permission to share iGT Supply Meter Point data with Meter Asset Managers, Meter Asset Providers, Performance Assurance Framework Agreement, Energy Theft and Tip Off Service, or Theft Risk Assessment Service. The larger Gas Transporter Uniform Network Code enables the Central Data Service Provider to provide specific data items to the parties mentioned above, on behalf of larger Gas Transporters and Shippers.

Following implementation of Project Nexus, iGTs became signatories to Central Data Service Provider arrangements, with all iGT Supply Meter Points being recorded and administered with a consolidated central system.

Recent industry initiatives have seen a requirement to extend iGT UNC data provisions to additional industry parties such as Price Comparison Websites, Performance Assurance Framework Agreement and Suppliers. We believe it is an appropriate time to undertake a wider review data permission provisions in the iGT UNC, to assess whether the treatment of iGT Supply Meter Points affords the right level of cover to those involved parties, and ensures sites contained within iGT portfolios are equitably treated as those which reside on larger Gas Transporter networks.

Scope

It is proposed the scope of this review will be iGT UNC Section K (General), and its alignment to equivalent data permissions in place under UNC TPD Section V (General). The Proposer notes that Section K part 23 'Information and Confidentiality' and Section K part 24 'Terms of permitted disclosure' as being the primary area of focus as part of this review.

The Workgroup will be asked to assess these Sections against equivalent provisions as described in UNC Section V (detailed below);

- 5.4 Terms of Permitted Disclosure
- 5.5 Exceptions
- 5.11 Disclosure of Supply Meter Point Information
- 5.15 Disclosure of Historic Supply Meter Point Asset and Read Information
- 5.16 Disclosure of Meter Asset Provider Information
- 14 Theft of Gas
- 15 Gas Safety Visits
- Annex's V-5, V-6, V-7, V-8, V-9, V-11, V-12

Impacts & Costs

No impacts or costs are envisaged to be incurred as a result of this work undertaken by this Request Proposal.

Recommendations

The objective of this Request Proposal is to produce a recommendation to the iGT UNC Modification Panel. It should be referred to a Workgroup as this will enable a thorough and collaborative review of



provisions, and enable a consolidated recommendation to be provided to the iGT UNC Panel based on industry input.

Additional Information

N/A

2 Impacts and Costs

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

Impacts

Impact on Central Systems and Process	
Central System/Process	Potential impact
UK Link	• n/a
Operational Processes	• n/a

Impact on Users	
Area of Users' business	Potential impact
Administrative and operational	• n/a
Development, capital and operating costs	• n/a
Contractual risks	• n/a
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• n/a

Impact on Transporters	Fransporters	
Area of IGT business	Potential impact	
System operation	• n/a	
Development, capital and operating costs	• n/a	
Recovery of costs	• n/a	
Price regulation	• n/a	
Contractual risks	• n/a	
Legislative, regulatory and contractual obligations and relationships	• n/a	
Standards of service	• n/a	



Impact on Code Administration	
Area of Code Administration	Potential impact
Modification Rules	• n/a
iGT UNC Panel	• n/a
General administration	• n/a

Impact on Code	
Code section	Potential impact
	• n/a

Impact on iGT UNC Related Documents and Other Referenced Documents	
Related Document(s)	Potential impact
	• n/a
	• n/a

Other Impacts	
Item impacted	Potential impact
Security of Supply	• n/a
Operation of the Total System	• n/a
Industry fragmentation	• n/a

3 Terms of Reference

Background

As outlined above, it is proposed to conduct a review of data permission provisions within the iGT UNC, as outlined in Section K. This will be informed by undertaking a comparison exercise against equivalent data permission provisions as defined within Uniform Network Code, Section V (General).

Topics for Discussion

- · Understanding the objective
- Assessment of legal text
- Identify the benefit of alignment of data permissions
- Identify any necessary access rules needed for third parties
- Assessment of means to achieve the objective

- Assessment of potential impacts of the Review
- Development of solution and appropriate course of action to be taken forward (including business rules if appropriate)

Outputs

Produce a Workgroup Report for submission to the iGT UNC Modification Panel, containing the assessment and recommendations of the Workgroup including a draft Modification Proposal where appropriate.

The Workgroup Report should consider the following:

- Review of available options;
- Assessment of option benefits from an industry perspective;
- Consideration of any identifiable risks, issues and dependencies

Composition of Workgroup

The Workgroup is open to any party that wishes to attend or participate. A Workgroup meeting will be quorate provided at least two Pipeline Operator and two Pipeline User representatives are present.

Meeting Arrangements

Meetings will be administered by Gemserv and conducted in accordance with the Code Administration Code of Practice.

4 Recommendation

The Proposer invites the Panel to:

DETERMINE that Request RG003 progress to Workgroup for review.