

# At what stage is this **Modification** document in the process? iGTxxx: Workgroup Report Creating permissions for the CDSP to **Draft Modification** Report release data to Meter Asset Providers Final Modification Report

### **Purpose of Modification:**

This modification seeks to create the permissions for supply point data to be provided to Meter Asset Provider (MAP) organisations via the Central Data Services Provider (CDSP) mirroring the obligations introduced into the UNC via modification 0422 and further refined via modification 0637S.

The Proposer recommends that this modification should be: *(delete as appropriate)* 



- subject to self-governance
- proceed to Consultation

This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on dd mmm yyyy (Code Administrator to provide date). The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.



High Impact:



Medium Impact:



None

None



Low Impact:

Pipeline Operators / Pipeline Users

### Guidance on the Use of this Template:

Please complete all sections unless specifically marked for the Code Administrator.

Green italic text is provided as guidance and should be removed before submission.

The Code Administrator is available to help and support the drafting of any modifications, including guidance on completion of this template and the wider modification process. Contact iGTUNC @gemserv.com or 020 7090 1044.



### Contents

- 1 Summary
- 2 3 Governance 4
- Why Change? 3 **Code Specific Matters** 4
- 45 5 **Solution** 45
- **Impacts & Other Considerations** 5
- 7 **Relevant Objectives** <u>5</u>6
- 8 **Implementation 67**
- **Legal Text** 9 **67** 10 Recommendations **67**
- 11 Appendix 1 **78**

# Any questions?

Contact:

3

**Code Administrator** 





Proposer:

**Kirsty Dudley** 



Kirsty.Dudley@eone nergy.com



**Insert name** 

Other:





Other: Insert name





Other: Insert name





## Timetable

Please provide proposer contacts and an indicative timeline. The Code Administrator will update the contents and provide any additional Specific Code Contacts.

The Proposer recommends the following timetable: (amend as appropriate)

#### Initial consideration by Workgroup dd month year Amended Modification considered by Workgroup dd month year Workgroup Report presented to Panel dd month year Draft Modification Report issued for consultation dd month year Consultation Close-out for representations dd month year Variation Request presented to Panel dd month year

Final Modification Report available for Panel

Modification Panel decision

dd month year

dd month year



## 1 Summary

#### What

Currently the Uniform Network Code (UNC) permits the release of certain data items to Meter Asset Providers (MAP) where they provide the Meter Point Reference Number (MPRN) Meter Serial Number (MSN) and meter model to the CDSP. The CDSP conducts a validation check and where the details have a positive match the CDSP releases Supply Point information to the enquiring MAP. This modification seeks to introduce the permissions into the iGT UNC for the occasions where the Pipeline Operator is not also the MAP.

### Why

There are an increasing number of meter installations which are being completed by Pipeline Users where the Pipeline Operator is not the MAP...T.to ensure the actual MAP is able to identify which Pipeline User the asset is with there is a requirement for the iGT UNC to introduce the permissions for the CDSP to share information...TW-without this the CDSP will only have the permissions to share information relating to UNC supply points.

#### How

To mirror the solution and validation rules in the UNC (recently refined via modification 0637S) and to share only the following data items with the MAP:

- Asset data
  - Meter serial number
  - o Meter model
  - o MAM id
  - o Meter installation date
  - o Meter removal date
- Supply meter point data
  - Meter point status
  - GT id
- Supply data
  - Supplier id and full name
  - Confirmation effective date

Where the request from the MAP does not meet the validation rules the data will not be shared.

### 2 Governance

### **Justification for Self-Governance Procedures**

The proposed change does not have any material impact on the future of gas consumers, competition, operation of the pipe-line system, matters of supply security or iGT UNC governance. It is therefore recommended this modification is subject to the Self-Governance procedures.



### **Requested Next Steps**

This modification should:

- be subject to self-governance
- proceed to Consultation

# 3 Why Change?

There are an increasing number of assets which are being installed by MAPs who are not the Pipeline Operator; there may be occasions where flows between relevant parties (Suppliers and MAMs to the MAPs) are not received which results in the MAP having outdated information and <u>c</u>an lead to incorrectly invoicing parties.

This solution is proposed to introduce a mechanism for the MAP to confirm with the CDSP the supply point information, currently these provisions are only in place for supplies which are under the UNC meaning where a supply is with an iGT the permissions are not there and therefore the data cannot be shared.

This proposal is only acting as an enabling modification to allow the permissions and utilise the process already in place for the UNC, it doesn't guarantee there will a large quantity of requests, but, where they do occur the CDSP will have the permissions to share the information.

It is possible that with smart meter rollout and increased volumes in switching there will be increased requests for this information (due to an increased volume of missing/incorrect flows), although not quantified it is a further reason to include this provision now rather than in the future when the MAPs are facing further challenges to invoicing.

# 4 Code Specific Matters

### **Technical Skillsets**

NA

#### **Reference Documents**

UNC Modifications 0422 and 0637S

### 5 Solution

This proposal seeks to introduce the permissions and solution outlined in UNC modification 0422 which were further refined in modification 0637S.

The solution will see MAPs submitting a request to the CDSP including the MPR, MSN and meter model, the validation rules will ensure that either a full match will result in returned data but where meters have a capacity <u>up to and including 11m3</u> and the MPR and the MSN match but the model doesn't the information will still be returned. This validation is the refinement approved by 0637S.



The solution proposed does not seek to introduce any other validation for supplies with the Pipeline Operators but seeks to mirror the permissions already in place for the UNC.

# 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

This change does not impact the UNC, it does however seek to mirror obligations already in place in the iGT UNC.

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

No impact

**Consumer Impacts** 

No impact

**Environmental Impacts** 

No impact

# 7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(A) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system	None
(B) Co-ordinated, efficient and economic operation of	None
(i) the combined pipe-line system; and/or	
(ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	
(C) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations	None
(D) Securing of effective competition:	Posit <u>i</u> ve
(i) between relevant shippers;	
(ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or	
(iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation	
agreements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers	
(E) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to	None
secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	
(F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code	Positive



(G) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators None

There may be positive impacts as it may improve competition between Shippers/Suppliers by the provision of timely data to the MAP organisation as it may reduce, in some circumstances, unknown or potentially misallocated costs being incurred by Suppliers. Improved efficiency of meter provision arrangements should lead to lower overall metering costs. Whilst not directly benefitting iGT UNC parties, this modification may improve the efficiency of the operation of the wider gas industry and thereby reducing industry costs and improving services to consumers which pay design also improves the efficiency of the code. Additionally maintaining consistency between the Codes (UNC and iGT UNC) should assist parties who operate under both Codes.

# 8 Implementation

The next release following approval

### 9 Legal Text

It is suggested to insert a clause within Section K of the iGT UNC which links between the iGT UNC and the UNC to deliver the solution.

The following is suggested subject to iGT Pipeline Operator consent.

#### **Section K**

23.8 Disclosure of Meter Asset Provider Information

23.8.1 For the purposes of this Clause 23.8 the Pipeline Operators are authorised to disclose such data as is set out within Annex V-9 of the UNC to a Meter Asset Provider subject to the provisions of Section V5.16 of the UNC.

#### Part M

"Meter Asset Provider" shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in the UNC;

# 10 Recommendations

### **Proposer's Recommendation to Panel**

Panel is asked to:

- Agree that Self Governance procedures should apply
- · Issue this modification directly to Consultation



# 11 Appendix 1

[If required] Insert text here