

iGT UNC Modification Panel Meeting 14-11

Wednesday 15th October 2014

Gemserv, 8 Fenchurch Place, London EC3M 4AJ

Attendee	Organisation	Representing	As
Steve Ladle (SL)	Gemserv	Code Administrator	Chairman
Paul Rocke (PR)	Gemserv	Code Administrator	
Cher Harris (CH) *	Indigo Pipelines	Pipeline Operators	
Kishan Nundloll (KN) *	ES Pipelines	Pipeline Operators	
Jenny Rawlinson (JR) *	Brookfield Utilities	Pipeline Operators	
Kirsty Dudley (KD) *	E.ON UK	Pipeline Users	
Andrew Margan (AM) *	British Gas	Pipeline Users	
Kirandeep Samra (KS) *	npower	Pipeline Users	
Rory Edwards (RE) *	Ofgem	Authority	
Trevor Peacock (TP) *	Fulcrum	Observer	

**Attended via teleconference*

1. NOTICE OF ALTERNATES AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

SL welcomed attendees to the iGT UNC Modification Panel meeting. No apologies for attendance had been received.

2. RECORD OF INVITEES AND OBSERVERS

Trevor Peacock (Fulcrum) was in attendance as an observer.

3. MINUTES FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes from the iGT UNC Panel 14-10 were agreed as a true and accurate record of the meeting.

4. ACTIONS ARISING

Action 01/14-10: PR to update the iGT056 page of the website to advise on the progress of the workgroup. **Closed.**

5. SHORT NOTICE BUSINESS

None.

6. PANEL DECISIONS

None.

7. UPDATE ON DEVELOPMENT GROUPS

[iGT039 - Use of a Single Gas Transporter Agency](#)

JR provided an update on behalf of Workgroup Chair, Gethyn Howard (Brookfield Utilities). JR noted that the Workgroup had met twice since the previous Panel meeting, on 22nd September and 9th October.

The initial meeting was held predominantly to provide an update on the externally reviewed legal drafting, as well as agreeing the ancillary document review process (to be taken forward by the iGT Shipper Standing Work Group) and updated Workgroup Report. The Workgroup also agreed to send a letter to Ofgem, raising concerns over the lack of progress regarding the funding arrangements for SSP and requesting an urgent update prior to sending the Modification out for consultation. RE noted that Jon Dixon (Ofgem) would be attending the next AIGT meeting to provide an update and, furthermore, was in the process of drafting a written response.

At the second meeting, the timeframes for the completion of the Workgroup stage of the Modification were confirmed. The legal drafting was to be circulated on 13th October 2014 for a four week review period, followed by a legal draft review meeting during the week commencing 10th November 2014. Assuming that all drafting issues had been addressed, the final Workgroup meeting for iGT039 would be held on 2nd December 2014 and the Workgroup Report would be presented to the iGT UNC Modification Panel for consideration on 17th December 2014.

[iGT056 – UPRN Provision](#)

JR noted that the Workgroup for iGT056 had not met since the last meeting, as the Group continued to await a response from DECC with regard to their intentions around the UPRN. The Panel considered that the Proposer of the Modification may consider withdrawing the Modification until there was a greater understanding of how the proposal could be progressed. SL noted that the guideline timescale within CACoP for a Modification remaining

in Workgroup stage was between three and six months; a timescale already exceeded by iGT056. JR agreed to speak with the Proposer with regard to the status of iGT056.

Action 01/14-11: JR to speak with Colette Baldwin (E.ON UK and iGT056 Proposer) with regard to the status of iGT056 and whether the Modification should be withdrawn.

8. URGENT MODIFICATION PROPOSALS RECEIVED

None.

9. NON-URGENT MODIFICATION PROPOSALS RECEIVED

None.

10. FAST TRACK MODIFICATION PROPOSALS RECEIVED

None.

11. WORKGROUP REPORTS

[iGT066 – Aligning UNC with Licence conditions relating to European legislative change](#)

SL noted that, following two meetings, the Workgroup for iGT066 had concluded and the Workgroup Chair had published the Workgroup Report for consideration by the Panel. The Panel considered the Workgroup Report and agreed with the Workgroup's recommendation that the Modification was ready to send to consultation. The Panel unanimously **AGREED** to circulate the Modification for consultation as a Draft Modification Report and agreed that no further legal text was required to be drafted, as legal text had been reviewed and accepted by the Workgroup.

Action 02/14-11: Code Administrator to circulate iGT066 for consultation as a Draft Modification Report.

12. FINAL MODIFICATION REPORTS

[iGT062/AA - Independent Secretariat Services for Modification Work Groups](#)

SL noted that the consultation period for iGT062 and its alternate iGT062AA had closed on 16th September 2014. Following the publication of the Final Modification Report, an amendment to iGT062AA had been received by the Code Administrator. The Proposer for iGT062AA had noticed that the Business Rules in the final version of the Modification Proposal had not taken into account late changes to iGT062 and had therefore become misaligned. The Panel unanimously agreed that the changes did not constitute material changes and noted that no representations from any parties had been received following the

publication of the updated version of iGT02AA. The amended Modification was therefore taken forward.

The Panel considered the Relevant Objectives and unanimously agreed with the workgroup's assertion that Relevant Objective F) was better facilitated by the Modification and that Relevant Objective D) was also positively impacted.

The Panel was asked to consider both Modifications independently and determine whether they would recommend implementation of each Modification. The Panel **RECOMMENDED** that iGT062 should be implemented, by a split majority of four to two. The Panel **DID NOT RECOMMEND** that iGT062 should be implemented, with three votes received to implement and three votes to not implement.

The Panel was then asked to provide a preference between the two Modifications. Three Panel members indicated a preference for iGT062; three Panel members indicated a preference for iGT062AA. This reflects the position where one Panel member believed both modifications were an improvement to the current situation but also believed that iGT062AA was a better solution than iGT062. Thus overall the Panel did not express a preference for either Modification.

The Panel **AGREED** that an implementation date three months following Authority Decision would be appropriate; this was likely to mean an Implementation Date of 27th February 2015.

Action 03/14-11: Code Administrator to send the Final Modification Report for iGT062 and iGT062AA to Ofgem for Authority Decision.

[iGT063 - Change to the iGT UNC Gas Day to align with the Gas Day in EU Network Codes](#)

SL noted that the consultation period for iGT063 had closed on 16th September 2014.

The Panel considered the Relevant Objectives and unanimously agreed that Relevant Objectives B) and G) were better facilitated by this Modification for the reasons identified by the Workgroup. The Proposer asked whether the Panel might consider whether Relevant Objective D) was also better facilitated; the Panel was satisfied that this Relevant Objective was not impacted by the Modification.

The Panel unanimously **RECOMMENDED** implementation of this Modification, and **RECOMMENDED** that the Modification should be implemented in an extraordinary release on 1st October 2015, to align with the EU CAM Network Code and the EU BAL Network Code implementation and the implementation of UNC Modification 0461. The Panel agreed that if

the EU CAM Network Code and the EU BAL Network Code implementation date was changed, the Implementation Date for iGT063 would follow suit.

Action 04/14-11: Code Administrator to send the Final Modification Report for iGT063 to Ofgem for Authority Decision.

13. AUTHORITY UPDATES

There was no update.

14. INDUSTRY DATA QUALITY

KS noted that the previous meeting of the gas industry workgroup on data quality, ownership and governance had been held on 29th September 2014. The workgroup had devised a questionnaire to be taken back to individual businesses to consider the key issues with respect to data quality. A meeting had been scheduled on 14th October 2014 to consider responses; however responses had only been received from iGT parties and the meeting had therefore been postponed. KS noted that the next meeting was scheduled for 27th October 2014 and confirmed that there were only two scheduled meetings remaining before the report to Ofgem would be due.

15. CACoP

PR noted that, on 6th October 2014, he had attended the first annual review meeting for the Code Administrators' Code of Practice, in his role as Code Administrator for the iGT UNC. PR noted that all CACoP signatory codes had been present at the meeting, the purpose of which was to consider potential changes to the best practice principles detailed within CACoP.

PR confirmed that ELEXON (as meeting hosts) would be drafting a report capturing discussions at the meeting; this report was due to be circulated to Code Parties by 21st November and an update would be provided at the December Modification Panel meeting. PR noted that if any new issues were raised at this time, these would be considered during next year's review, unless they were deemed of such significance as to warrant holding an additional meeting of the review group. Following the issuing of the report, ELEXON would be submitting the new version of the CACoP to Ofgem for approval in early 2015.

16. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

There was no additional business.

The next Modification Panel meeting will be convened at: 11:00am on 19th November 2014.