

iGT UNC Modification Panel Meeting

Tuesday 10th January 2017

Gemserv, 8 Fenchurch Place, London EC3M 4AJ

Attendee	Organisation	Representing	As
Paul Rocke (PR)	Gemserv	Code Administrator	Chairman
Andrew Margan (AM)*	British Gas	Pipeline Users	
Kirsty Dudley (KD)*	E.ON UK	Pipeline Users	
Kristian Pilling (KP)*	SSE Energy Supply	Pipeline Users	
Kish Nundloll (KN)*	ESPUG	Pipeline Operators	
Cher Harris (CH)*	Indigo Pipelines	Pipeline Operators	
Jenny Rawlinson (JR)*	Brookfield Utilities	Pipeline Operators	
Jon Dixon (JD)*	Ofgem	Authority	
Rachel Bird (RB)	Gemserv	Code Administrator	Secretariat

**Attended via teleconference*

1. Alternates, observers and apologies

PR welcomed attendees to the Modification Panel meeting of the iGT UNC. Apologies for absence were received from Steve Ladle. Paul Rocke was asked to act as Chair for this meeting in Steve's absence. PR thanked the Panel for their co-operation in attending this short notice meeting in order to consider the Workgroup Report for iGT092 and its alternate.

2. Confirmation of Agenda

PR asked the Panel if they had any additional items to add to the agenda for this meeting. The Panel had no additional items.

3. Workgroup Reports

PR presented the combined Workgroup Report for iGT092 and iGT092A, which sought to introduce a window of Non-Effective Days (NEDs) prior to the Project Nexus Implementation Date (PNID). The Workgroup, held in conjunction with the Workgroup for the parallel UNC Modifications UNC 0602(/A).

PR noted that the Workgroup had considered that its development activity had concluded, and had presented its Workgroup Report to the Modification Panel for consideration. The Workgroup activity had been developed in conjunction with the UNC in a joint meeting held on 5th January 2017, however, this Modification and its alternate had been considered on two other occasions.

The Panel reiterated the Workgroup's assertion that the Modification did not meet the criteria for self-governance, due to its material impact on industry process and potential consumer impacts.

PR presented the Impacts section to the Panel and outlined a change in the legal text in the Draft Modification report which refers to the impacts on Consumers if the 21-day licensing condition is extended for the cut-over of Project Nexus. JR noted that in the last Workstream meeting held on 5th January 2017, the prospect of manual processing had not fully been explored with an in-depth impact assessment, and therefore there may be impacts for some iGTs who do not have an automated system for confirmation processing. JR outlined that in iGT092 there may be an inability for Shippers to object under the proposed rules.

JD noted that while it was important to highlight this in parties' consultation responses to these Modifications, it was imperative that these be sent out to consultation without delay due to the deadline of June 2017 for either Modification to be implemented.

PR resolved that this be highlighted as a particular question to be responded to during consultation and it be made clear in the Draft Modification Report. PR confirmed that the Panel were happy to proceed with this Modification rather than sending it back to a Workgroup.

JD also highlighted the need for any representations towards the alternate Modification (iGT092A) to distinguish why this option would be the most beneficial to their business. Ofgem have indicated that these Consultation responses need to make a case for why the extension is needed under these special circumstances.

JD noted that Ofgem would also like parties to consider when they intend to take down their operating systems before the NEDS. Ofgem is keen to have a picture of who will be closing down their systems on Friday 19th May as they feel that there may be unforeseen issues in multiple companies shutting down early on that Friday. JD suggested that if parties feel this information is too sensitive to be published, then Ofgem have indicated that they can contact them directly or via the PricewaterhouseCoopers (PWC) portal.

PR noted that the legal text published by the iGTs to accompany the DMR has removed the reference to the definition of Non-Variable business days. The Panel were happy to accept this change. AM noted that he felt the iGT UNC legal text was much more robust and easy to understand than the UNC equivalent.

The Panel unanimously agreed to send iGT092/iGT092A for consultation as a Draft Modification Report, with a consultation window of 15 working days.

Action: EXP1001_01: Code Administrator to circulate a Draft Modification Report for iGT092/iGT092A for a full 15 business days' consultation period.

4. Any Other Business

None

The next Modification Panel meeting will be convened on 18th January 2017.

Summary of Actions

Action Reference	Date	Action	Owner	Status
EXP1001_01	10 th January 2017	Code Administrator to circulate a Draft Modification Report for iGT092/iGT092A for a full 15 business days' consultation period.	Code Administrator	New