

# **Stage 02: Workgroup Report**

# AQ Review Workgroup Report December 2014

At what stage is this document in the process?

01 Modification Proposal

02 Workgroup Report

03 Draft Modification Report

Final Modification Report

A proposal to update the AQ values within the CSEP NExA Table in line with the process set out within the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document



Workgroup recommends that the Proposed CSEP NExA Table is implemented



High Impact:



Medium Impact:



Low Impact:

Pipeline Operators; Pipeline Users

AQ Review Dec 2014 Workgroup Report

447 2045

14 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 5

C	O	n	te	en	its

1.	Plain English Summary	3
	Rationale for Change?	
	Solution	
4.	Impacts and Costs	4
5.	Likely Impact on Consumers	4
	Likely Impact on Environment	
7.	Implementation	5
8.	Legal Text	5
	Recommendation	



Any questions?

Contact:

**Code Administrator** 



igt-unc@gemserv.com



0207 090 1044

# About this document:

The purpose of this report is make a recommendation to the Panel, to be held on 21st January 2015, on whether the Proposed CSEP NExA Table should be implemented in line with the process set out in the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document.

AQ Review Dec 2014

Workgroup Report

14 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 5



# 1. Plain English Summary

### Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

In line with the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document and subject to Modification Panel approval, implementation of the Proposed CSEP NExA Table does not require an Authority Decision. Modification Rules for this proposal are distinct and are set out in full in the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document.

## **Rationale for Change**

IGTs are required to adopt the AQ values present within the CSEP NExA AQ Table for the purpose of calculating domestic transportation charges through the Relative Price Control (RPC) Charging Methodology. The AQ values represent an estimate of the value of gas consumed in accordance with geographic location and house type.

Changes to the AQ values are necessary to take account of revised Seasonal Normal Demand data (produced by the UNC Demand Estimation Sub-Committee) and the impacts of demand destruction resulting from climate change, energy efficiency measures and increased energy prices.

The Proposed CSEP NExA Table seeks to introduce the most up to date AQ values into the iGT UNC, providing reassurance to all parties that ongoing pricing reflects the current average consumption levels, and is as accurate as possible.

#### **Solution**

The iGTs have calculated a revised table based on the latest information and in line with the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document. Subject to Modification Panel approval, the Current Table within Section 2 of the CSEP NExA Tables Ancillary Document will be amended to reflect the Proposed CSEP NExA Table. The existing table will be transposed to Section 3 Previous Tables.

#### **Implementation**

In line with the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document and subject to Modification Panel agreement, the Proposed CSEP NExA Table will be implemented in the release scheduled for 26<sup>th</sup> June 2015.

AQ Review Dec 2014

Workgroup Report
14 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 5

## 2. Rationale for Change?

IGTs are required to adopt the AQ values present within the CSEP NExA AQ Table for the purpose of calculating domestic transportation charges through the Relative Price Control (RPC) Charging Methodology. The AQ values represent an estimate of the value of gas consumed in accordance with geographic location and house type.

Changes to the AQ values are necessary to take account of revised Seasonal Normal Demand data (produced by the UNC Demand Estimation Sub-Committee) and the impacts of demand destruction resulting from climate change, energy efficiency measures and increased energy prices.

The Proposed CSEP NExA Table seeks to introduce the most up to date AQ values into the iGT UNC.



## 3. Solution

The iGTs have calculated a revised table based on the latest information and in line with the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document. Subject to Modification Panel approval, the Current Table within Section 2 of the CSEP NExA Tables Ancillary Document will be amended to reflect the Proposed CSEP NExA Table. The existing table will be transposed to Section 3 Previous Tables.

The Proposed CSEP NExA Table was presented to the Workgroup held on 15th December 2014.

The Proposed CSEP NExA Table presented to the Workgroup can be found in Section 8 Legal Text. No objections or challenges were submitted to the Proposed CSEP NExA Table at the Workgroup. The Workgroup was therefore of the view that the existing CSEP NExA Table should be automatically updated with the values contained in the Proposed CSEP NExA Table.



# 4. Impacts and Costs

There may be some impacts on Pipeline Operators in terms of applying new CSEP values to contracts. It is anticipated that there will be no/limited impact for Pipeline Users.



# 5. Likely Impact on Consumers

No expected impacts.



# 6. Likely Impact on Environment

No expected impacts.

AQ Review Dec 2014

Workgroup Report

14 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 5



# 7. Implementation

In line with the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document and subject to Modification Panel agreement, the Proposed CSEP NExA Table will be implemented in the release scheduled for 26th June 2015.

# 8. Legal Text

The existing CSEP NExA AQ Table as follows will be moved to Section 3 Previous Tables of the CSEP **NExA Tables Ancillary Document:** 

Band	House Type	South SW, NT, WS, SO		Average WN, SE, NW, EA, EM, WM, NE (0%)		North NO, SC	
		AQ (kWh)		AQ (kWh)		AQ (kWh)	
Α	1 Bed	5,565		6,127		6,892	
В	2BF, 2BT	7,048		7,387		8,214	
	2BS, 2BD, 3BT,						
С	3BF	9,758		10,319		10,572	
D	3BS, 2BB	10,699		11,271		11,686	
E	3BD, 3BB	12,675		13,571		15,660	
F	4BD, 4BT, 4BS	15,141		16,367		18,526	
G	5BD, 5BS, 6BD	21,810		23,026		24,634	

The Proposed CSEP NExA AQ Table as follows will form Section 2 Current Table of the CSEP NExA **Tables Ancillary Document:** 

Band	House Type	South SW, NT, WS, SO (92%)		Average WN, SE, NW, EA, EM, WM, NE (0%)		North NO, SC (108%)	
		AQ (kWh)	Number	AQ (kWh)	Number	AQ (kWh)	Number
Α	1 Bed	5,458		5,985		6,885	
В	2BF, 2BT	6,837		7,199		7,926	
С	2BS, 2BD, 3BT, 3BF	9,601		10,030		10,390	
D	3BS, 2BB	10,719		10,927		11,005	
E	3BD, 3BB	12,412		13,240		15,381	
F	4BD, 4BT, 4BS	14,871		16,008		18,112	
G	5BD, 5BS, 6BD	21,801		22,748		24,243	

# 9. Recommendation

The Workgroup invites the Panel to:

• AGREE that the Proposed CSEP NExA Table be automatically implemented in the release scheduled for 26th June 2015.

AQ Review Dec 2014 Workgroup Report

14 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 5