

Questions Document

November 2011

Version 1

Question	Response	Party
Should we introduce standard processes for address mismatches? E.g. what kind of validation is required?	Shipper should raise a query direct with IGT	IPL
	Agreed with IPL	GTC
	Raise a Query	ESP
Padding of Files - What is the required file length and must this be padded out?	Prefers not to pad	IPL
	GTC can accept padded or non padded files. But agree there needs to be feedback and agreement from all parties as to whether to pad or not.	GTC
	According to the meter read file specification, readings need to be 12 characters wide, padded with zeroes to the number of dials/digits at the meter. We are indifferent so will build to agreed specification	ESP
P” for meter link code in the Baseline indicates Prime & Subs though some iGTs view “P” to mean Primary which is the same as “F” freestanding – will this be an issue?	Can’t see that we use this in TAS flows. Also don’t believe this appears in the IGTUNC.	IPL
	We do not currently populate this, but would be able to populate the “F” if required	GTC
	We only have Prime meters. Unsure what Freestanding actually means. Would prefer to use “P” as it’s clear we have primary meters as opposed to freestanding, do they have the same meaning?	ESP
Should we hard code F?		
Is “P” Meter Link Code (A0076) a minimum requirement in the Baseline?		
Should Meter Link Code be sent?	Not sure what this is used for so unable to comment	IPL
	We could populate if required, comments from other parties necessary to determine whether this is populated or not	GTC
	To discuss	ESP

What are the implications if populated by others?	The only implication would be if IGT's do not currently hold this information within their systems. This may involve a system change for some IGT's which could be time consuming.	IPL
'De-Appointment' Change of Agent event requires a trigger of ONDET from Old MAM to provide existing metering details – should this be a part of this discussion or transferred to the MAM Manual discussion?	It is possible that not all IGT's will offer a metering contract and consequently may not use the MAM Manual. ONDET for "Current" meters will need to be covered under this work.	IPL
	Agree	GTC
ONJOB- Should fields be padded or not?	Prefers not to pad	IPL
	As above, we can accept padded or non padded files	GTC
ONUPD- Is the date of the update prior to de-appointment?	If not de-appointed first then we are responsible for maintaining someone else's asset until de-appointed	IPL
	Same view as IPL	ESP
Does job files lists date of Job?		
Can we amend Baseline to include installation date? ONJOB?	Would be sensible as this would be required to notify supplier of next inspection date	IPL
	Agree	GTC
	Would be useful to have the installation date, we can assume the "reading date" field is the same as the installation date as it should be.	ESP
MAM/MAS Do we pad out Generation number?	Prefer not to pad	IPL

