

## **iGT UNC Modification Workstream Meeting 17-12**

**Tuesday 5<sup>th</sup> December 2017**

Gemserv, 8 Fenchurch Place, London EC3M 4AJ

<b>Attendee</b>		<b>Organisation</b>	
Steve Ladle	(SL)	Gemserv	Chairman
Claire Towler	(CT)	SSE	
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE	
Kirsty Dudley	(KD)	E.ON	
Rob Johnson	(RJ)	ES Pipelines	
Nicky Rozier	(NR)	BU-UK	
Paul Orsler	(PO)	Xoserve	
Rachel Bird	(RB)	Gemserv	Secretariat

### **1. Welcome and apologies for absence**

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting of the iGT UNC Modification Workstream. Apologies were received from Andrew Margan (British Gas).

### **2. Confirmation of Agenda**

The Chair confirmed the items for discussion as outlined in the final agenda. The Chair noted that E.ON had submitted a late paper for AOB to discuss a draft modification proposal. The Workgroup did not have any additional items to add to the agenda.

### **3. Approval of the Previous Minutes**

Attendees reviewed the minutes from the Modification Workstream meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2017 (17-11). The Workgroup did not have any comments on the previous minutes. The minutes were agreed and approved as a true and accurate record of the meeting.

### **4. Outstanding Actions**

Please refer to the table in Appendix 1 at the end of the minutes for updates on actions arising.

### **5. Cross-Code Modification Implications**

The Chair introduced a document prepared by the Code Administrator which is to be used to track UNC modifications which could have an impact on the iGT UNC.

The Workgroup reviewed the document and discussed the possible implications to the iGT UNC, the discussion is detailed below;

Mod Ref	Description	Workgroup determination
638	Mandate monthly read submission for Smart and AMR sites from 01 April 2018	This modification is linked to UNC633. The legal text has been published for these modifications and changes do not appear to impact the iGT UNC Code as the cross-reference to the UNC text is at a sufficiently high level. Workgroup resolved to keep this on the tracker until implementation as any changes to the legal text though development could result in effects on the Code.
637 S	Amending the permissions to release data to Meter Asset Provider organisations	A draft modification proposal has been reviewed by the iGT UNC Workgroup on 5 <sup>th</sup> December 2017 and will be submitted to the iGT UNC Panel on 20 <sup>th</sup> December 2017.
636	Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge	No iGT UNC Implications.
635	Reforms to incentivise accurate and timely DM reads to improve the accuracy of Unidentified Gas allocation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refer to the Authority for Direction</li> <li>• It is proposed that two new processes are incorporated into the UNC to incentivise shippers to ensure that daily metered sites are settled on accurate and timely metered reads, and that any errors or issues are resolved promptly</li> <li>• Obligations to be placed on shippers and Transporters via the UNC in order to ensure every effort is made to reduce the number of sites with UIG</li> <li>• Seeks to increase the scheduling charge to incentivise accurate submission of daily metered nominations.</li> <li>• Implementation of financial incentive to ensure shippers and transporters provide daily read for 97.5% of DM sites in their portfolio.</li> <li>• Impacts UNC TPD Section M Supply Point Metering</li> <li>• Approved to proceed to Distribution Workgroup with report brought back to 19 April 2018 Panel</li> </ul>
634	(Urgent) - Revised estimation process for DM sites with D-7 zero consumption	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This modification may now have impacts on the iGT UNC.</li> <li>• The Code does not recognise Consumption adjustment reads, not sure if this is an oversight or deliberate, currently the Codes do not align.</li> <li>• Ofgem have passed this modification for implementation, however, the UNC Panel have requested that this is sent back to a Workgroup to be developed.</li> <li>• UNC Workgroup to look at enduring solution vs transitional and a report is to be sent back to the UNC Panel by April 2018.</li> <li>• <b>ACTION; Nicky Rozier (BU-UK) to consult with Gethyn Howard (BU-UK) to understand whether the exclusion of Consumption adjustment reads was deliberate or an oversight.</b></li> </ul>

633		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refer to the Authority for Direction</li> <li>• This mod seeks to bring forward Effective implementation of the CMA remedy mandating Smart and AMR meters to submit reads of a monthly basis from 1st April 2018</li> <li>• Proposed to bring forwards to 1st December 2017 in order to address UIG issue</li> <li>• No anticipated system impacts</li> <li>• SGN has confirmed legal text will not be available for Distribution Workgroup on 26th October but currently working on it, formal request by Panel</li> <li>• Mirror mod to 0430, IGT equivalent mods required</li> <li>• A large number of Smart meters on IGT sites so further review is required</li> <li>• As proposer of the UNC modification Gareth Evans from WatersWye may get in touch regarding an IGT modification.</li> <li>• Approved to proceed to a Workgroup with report to be presented to short notice Modification Panel on 09 November 2017</li> </ul>
632	S	<p>Shipper asset details reconciliation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Self-governance</li> <li>• No formal obligation on Shippers to indicate to the CDSP whether a site has a Smart or AMR device fitted</li> <li>• AUGÉ confirmed this information is crucial due to Shipper compliance with the CMA regarding meter readings</li> <li>• Unclear as to whether meter types affect UIG</li> <li>• Current data on number of smart meters not robust</li> <li>• Proposed to oblige shippers to provide the information (whether a Smart Meter/AMR device exists on site)</li> <li>• System changes may be required to capture the information</li> <li>• Xoserve to report within 3 months of implementation</li> <li>• Mod only seeking to create obligation within the UNC</li> <li>• Modification 0430 created obligation to provide information on Smart meters</li> <li>• MDD arrangements/meter technical details within SPAA need to be tightened- Electralink have confirmed this is being reviewed as part of the Faster Switching work</li> <li>• Approved to proceed to Distribution Workgroup with report brought back to 18 January 2018 Panel</li> <li>• Believe there to be possible impacts to IGTs- adding a new obligation within IGT UNC or pointing across to the UNC</li> </ul>
631	R	<p>Review of NDM algorithm post-Nexus</p> <p>No iGT UNC Implications.</p>

630	R	Review of the consequential changes required in UNC as a result of the Ofgem Switching Programme	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This seeks to request a review group and produce a report</li> <li>• Cadent working with Xoserve to identify impacts</li> <li>• Ofgem are keen not to duplicate work, other forums i.e. regulatory design group will look to address these at a later date</li> <li>• Timings of meetings yet to be determined, Cadent will speak with Ofgem</li> <li>• Modifications raised will affect SCR</li> <li>• Approved to proceed to a standalone workgroup with report brought back to 17 May 2018 Panel</li> </ul>
629	S	Standard Design Connections: A2O connection process modification	No iGT UNC Implications.
628	S	Standard Design Connections: PARCA process	No iGT UNC Implications.
627	S	Removal of the absolute requirement to include a Remotely Operable Valve (ROV) Installation for all new NTS Exit Connections	No iGT UNC Implications.
624	R	Review of arrangements for Retrospective Adjustment of Meter Information, Meter Point/Supply Point and Address data	The Workgroup noted that this may affect the iGT UNC and noted that this should continue to be monitored.
623		Governance Arrangements for Alternatives to Self-Governance Modification Proposals	UNC623 has been sent to a Workgroup for development for three months, and suggested that we should wait to see how that modification progresses before raising an iGT equivalent
619		Application of proportionate ratchet charges to daily read sites	A further alternate has been raised to this modification, 619, 619A & 619B. iGT UNC to monitor which modification Ofgem approve as these are Authority decision modifications.
593	V	Provision of access to Domestic Consumer data for Price Comparison Websites and Third-Party Intermediaries	iGT095VV passed for implementation by Ofgem. Xoserve have noted that they still aim to deliver their solution by December 2017, and a DSC Change Management meeting will give more clarity later this week. Code Administrator noted that the Code has been prepared for an extraordinary release and will coordinate with the UNC to ensure both codes are aligned in the implementation of these modifications.

**Action MWS17/12-01: CA to update the Cross-Code modification implications tracker to close all modifications which do not implicate the iGT UNC.**

**Action MWS17/12-02: NR to consult with Gethyn Howard (BU-UK) to understand whether the exclusion of Consumption adjustment reads in the iGT UNC was deliberate or an oversight in relation to UNC634.**

**Action MWS17/12-03: CA to seek steer from the iGT UNC Panel on the creation of a review group on iGT UNC implications resultant of UNC630R and to discuss faster switching.**

KD queried how this standing agenda item will be conducted in future meetings, noting that some discussions are repetitive of the previous month. The Workgroup agreed that the agenda item was important in keeping a visual of possible impacts to the Code, however, resolved that the group should only talk in depth about developments and new modifications raised.

## **6. Modification Workgroup**

### [iGT104 - Permissions modification to allow the CDSP to release iGT supply point information under UNC MOD0520A](#)

KD introduced the Modification to the Workgroup, noting that the modification seeks to the relevant permissions to allow the CDSP to share iGT supply point data with the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC). KD noted that this modification should proceed as a Self-Governance modification and as the principles of this modification have already been passed in the UNC by Ofgem that there will no longer be a material impact on parties. The Workgroup agreed with the Proposers view that this Modification should proceed under Self-Governance rules.

The Workgroup discussed previous Panel comments made that there are already sufficient permissions already in Code (Part K) which gives the DSC the authority to decide which data can be shared and that the Code has delegated permission to the DSC to make those decisions. The Workgroup agreed that there is a certain amount of ambiguity in both iGT UNC and UNC and that any concerns around this should be brought out in Consultation responses. The Workgroup agreed that the Modification is needed to give total clarity to the issue of data sharing of iGT information to PAC within the current Schedules included in the Performance Assurance Framework's scope.

The Workgroup discussed the impacts on the CDSPs ability to produce whole view reports to PAC. The Workgroup agreed with the proposers view that this modification is permissions only and does not incur any material or system changes to be made upon implementation.

The Workgroup reviewed the legal text provided by the proposer and was generally comfortable that this supported the solution, however, a further suggestion was made to include an equivalent UNC reference relating to the requirement to maintain the confidentiality of information that is to be provided to the PAFA. Workgroup members were supportive of this and noted that this gave greater comfort to iGTs.

PO suggested that there are many areas of the iGT UNC where permissions were needed for the CDSP to share iGT data with third parties, and that an additional modification may be required which incorporates all areas of Code where permissions are currently lacking. NR noted that BU-UK would be more comfortable in dealing with these on an individual basis due to the different third parties involved and detail of specific data being shared.

The Workgroup concluded that iGT104 can proceed to a Panel decision on Consultation.

**Action MWS17/12-04: CA to finalise the Workgroup report for iGT104 and add this to the Final Agenda for the December Panel meeting.**

## **7. NGNs/ESPs Service Reconnection (Blakelaw NE5) project**

RJ noted that since the last meeting PO had confirmed Xoserve's comments and these had been included in the final draft. RJ noted that in the event that a change in networks happens in the future it is likely that the document will need amending due to the individual nature of these events.

The Chair asked the Workgroup for any further comments on the guidance document. The Workgroup had no additional comments to make. The Chair noted that the document should be finalised by the Code Administrator, noting that the date and version should be updated accordingly.

**Action MWS17/12-05: CA to finalise Change of Networks guidance document and upload this to the iGT UNC Website.**

## **8. iGT UNC Known Issues register**

The Workgroup reviewed the current items recorded on the known issues register

### **RGMA Procedures post PNID**

The Workgroup resolved to keep this on the register. KD noted that the SPAA Panel passed various changes to the RGMA Procedure and noted that the iGT UNC guidance document should be updated accordingly. KD noted that the changes to the RGMA procedure will go live in June 2018. The Chair noted that because this was an ESPUG lead guidance document and RJ should consult with Katy Binch who has been involved in its development to date.

**Action MWS17/12-06: RJ to review the iGT UNC RGMA Guidance document and align this with any proposed changes made in SPAA.**

### **Potential issues with the quality of data supplied to iGTs Post Nexus**

The Workgroup resolved that this issue may be superseded by the decision on iGT102, and therefore to keep this as an open issue until iGT102 has gone to the Authority for decision.

### **MSC validation - PCW access**

RJ noted that he will be bringing a paper to a future Workstream meeting regarding this issue.

The Workgroup resolved to keep this on the register.

KD suggested that there be a separate tab created on the known issues register for cross-code impacts, this way it would be much clearer to the reader what are operational impacts and what is cross-code monitoring.

RB noted this and resolved to make these changes in the next version published on the website.

**Action MWS17/12-07: CA to update the Known issues register and create a new tab dedicated to cross-code modifications being monitored for impacts on the iGT UNC.**

## 9. AOB

### **Draft Modification – Data sharing with Meter Asset Providers**

KD introduced the draft modification proposal to the Workgroup noting that this modification is a mirror of UNC422 and UNC637. KD noted that the iGT UNC lacks the current provision to allow the CDSP to share iGT data with Meter Asset Providers (MAPs). PO noted that the two UNC modifications were implemented post-nexus and, therefore, there would not have been the sufficient capabilities to report on this in the iGT UNC before Nexus implementation. KD added that at the time these UNC modifications were being developed there may not have been the cost/benefit to include this in the iGT UNC as occasions where MAPs required this information on an iGT network were infrequent. However, it was believed that this may no longer be the case particularly with the Smart Meter rollout.

KD informed the Workgroup that as the proposer of this modification her suggestion will be that this modification goes straight out to consultation pending a Panel decision on this. MJ suggested that this modification should be sent to one Workstream meeting unless there is a timing issue for its implementation.

PO added that there may be a need for a confidentiality agreement between iGTs and the CDSP which would mirror the current arrangement for GTs. PO noted that this should also be reflected in the proposed legal text as this currently states that this is the 'Pipeline Operators' responsibility when it should state 'CDSP' responsibility.

KD acknowledged the Workgroup comments and asked for the modification to be added to the December Panel agenda for discussion pending its official submission to the CA.

**Action MWS17/12-08: CA to add MAP permissions modification to the December Panel agenda and allocate this a number following its official submission to the Code Administrator.**

**The next iGT UNC Modification Workstream Meeting is on 9<sup>th</sup> January 2017.**



## Appendix 1

MWS17/11-01	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2017	CA to update the Cross-Code modification implications tracker to close all modifications which do not implicate the iGT UNC.	CA	Closed
MWS17/11-02	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2017	CA to finalise the Workgroup report and add this to the Final Agenda for the November Panel meeting.	CA	Closed
MWS17/11-03	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2017	PO to get internal feedback on the newly proposed additions to the change of networks document and report these back to Rob Johnson (ESP).	PO	Closed
MWS17/11-04	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2017	ESPUG to bring an amended Change of GT scenarios guidance document to the December Workstream meeting.	ESPUG	Closed
MWS17/11-05	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2017	NR to draft a modification proposal to change the CSEP NExA Table.	NR	Closed
MWS17/11-06	7 <sup>th</sup> November 2017	CA to speak to the Joint Office with regards to a 2018 meeting clash with the PAC meetings.	CA	Closed
<b>MWS17/12-01</b>	<b>5<sup>th</sup> December 2017</b>	<b>CA to update the Cross-Code modification implications tracker to close all modifications which do not implicate the iGT UNC.</b>	<b>CA</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS17/12-02</b>	<b>5<sup>th</sup> December 2017</b>	<b>NR to consult with Gethyn Howard (BU-UK) to understand whether the exclusion of Consumption adjustment reads in the iGT UNC was deliberate or an oversight in relation to UNC634.</b>	<b>NR</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS17/12-03</b>	<b>5<sup>th</sup> December 2017</b>	<b>CA to seek steer from the iGT UNC Panel on the creation of a review group on iGT UNC implications resultant of UNC630R and to discuss faster switching.</b>	<b>CA</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS17/12-04</b>	<b>5<sup>th</sup> December 2017</b>	<b>CA to finalise the Workgroup report for iGT104 and add this to the Final Agenda for the December Panel meeting.</b>	<b>CA</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS17/12-05</b>	<b>5<sup>th</sup> December 2017</b>	<b>CA to finalise Change of Networks guidance document and upload this to the iGT UNC Website.</b>	<b>CA</b>	<b>New</b>



<b>MWS17/12-06</b>	<b>5<sup>th</sup> December 2017</b>	<b>RJ to review the iGT UNC RGMA Guidance document and align this with any proposed changes made in SPAA.</b>	<b>RJ</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS17/12-07</b>	<b>5<sup>th</sup> December 2017</b>	<b>CA to update the Known issues register and create a new tab dedicated to cross-code modifications being monitored for impacts on the iGT UNC.</b>	<b>CA</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS17/12-08</b>	<b>5<sup>th</sup> December 2017</b>	<b>CA to add MAP permissions modification to the December Panel agenda and allocate this a number following its official submission to the Code Administrator.</b>	<b>CA</b>	<b>New</b>