

## **iGT UNC Modification Workstream Meeting 16-09**

**Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> September 2016**

Gemserv, 8 Fenchurch Place, London EC3M 4AJ

<b>Attendee</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	
Steve Ladle (SL)	Gemserv	Chairman
Laura Cahill * (LC)	SSE Energy Supply	
Kirsty Dudley (KD)	E.ON Energy	
Maria Hesketh * (MH)	Scottish Power	
Kishan Nundloll (KN)	ES Pipelines	
Paul Orsler (PO)	Xoserve	
Trevor Peacock * (TP)	Fulcrum Pipelines	
Nicky Rozier (NR)	Brookfield Utilities	
Michael Wall (MW)	ES Pipelines	
Paul Rocke (PR)	Gemserv	Secretariat

*\*Attended via teleconference*

### **1. Welcome and apologies for absence**

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting of the iGT UNC Modification Workstream. Apologies for absence were received from Mark Jones (SSE Energy Supply), Sharon Eaton (npower) and Gethyn Howard (Brookfield Utilities).

### **2. Confirmation of Agenda**

The Chair confirmed the items for discussion as outlined in the final agenda. PR noted that two additional items of business had been proposed by NR ahead of the meeting, specifically with respect to a Modification expected to be raised imminently, and an update on the iGT RGMA baseline work. The Chair agreed to address the items of business at the tail of the meeting.

Furthermore, MH raised a suggestion that the Code Administrator may consider adopting a screen sharing facility for those parties attending the meeting remotely. PR agreed to consider solutions and propose a recommendation to the Modification Panel.

**Action 16/09-01: Code Administrator to investigate solutions and recommend to the Modification Panel a proposal for adopting screen sharing functionality at future meetings of the Modification Workstream.**

### **3. Approval of the Previous Minutes**

The Work Group reviewed the minutes from the Modification Workstream meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2016 (16-08). There were no comments received, and the minutes were approved as a true and accurate record of the meeting.

#### **4. Outstanding Actions**

Please refer to the table in Appendix 1 at the end of the minutes for updates on actions arising.

#### **5. iGT086 - Central Data Service Provider - Implementing iGT UNC Changes to support FGO**

The Chair noted that no progress had been made in the development of the parallel UNC Modification UNC0565 since the previous meeting of the Modification Workstream. Consequently, the Proposer had not been in a position to progress the development of iGT086 until the legal text drafting of UNC0565 had advanced, as the iGT UNC Modification was dependent on the approach the UNC took to the legal drafting. The next workgroup meeting for UNC0565 was scheduled for 7<sup>th</sup> September 2016, and Gethyn Howard (Brookfield Utilities) had agreed to circulate a summary of the discussions to the Modification Workstream.

#### **6. iGT087 - Revision to the Modification Rules in Response to CGR3 – Significant Code Review Modifications**

The Chair noted that the Modification Panel meeting in August 2016 had first considered the Modification Proposal for iGT087 and agreed to send the change for development at one meeting of the Modification Workstream. The Modification sought to introduce the changes required to the iGT UNC in order to comply with Ofgem's Code Governance Review Phase 3 (CGR3) final proposals for Significant Code Review (SCR) modifications.

The Work Group agreed that the solution was complete and fit for purpose, and that the rationale for change was valid. The Work Group concurred that the Relevant Objectives C), D) and F) were better facilitated, as identified in the Modification Proposal. The Work Group concurred that the legal text achieved the aims of the solution.

With respect to self-governance, the Work Group agreed that the Modification met the criteria for self-governance, as the impacts on the Modification Procedure were not deemed to be material. The Work Group did note that by assigning the Modification with a self-governance status, there was a risk that the Modification Panel may choose to reject the Modification, which would put parties in breach of the amended Licence Condition.

The Work Group agreed that the development activity for iGT087 was complete, and that a Workgroup Report should be published ahead of the next Modification Panel meeting.

**Action 16/09-02: Code Administrator to publish a Workgroup Report for iGT087 and present to the next Modification Panel meeting.**

#### **7. iGT088 - Determining Implementation of Self Governance Modifications**

The Chair noted that the Modification Panel meeting in August 2016 had first considered the Modification Proposal for iGT088 and agreed to send the change for development at one meeting of the Modification Workstream. The Modification sought to implement changes to improve the setting of implementation dates for self-governance Modifications.

The Work Group agreed that the solution was complete and fit for purpose, and that the rationale for change was valid. The Work Group concurred that the Relevant Objective F) was better facilitated, as identified in the Modification Proposal. The Work Group concurred that the legal text achieved the aims of the solution.

With respect to self-governance, the Work Group agreed that the Modification met the criteria for self-governance, as the impacts on the Modification Procedure were not deemed to be material.

The Work Group agreed that the development activity for iGT088 was complete, and that a Workgroup Report should be published ahead of the next Modification Panel meeting.

**Action 16/09-03: Code Administrator to publish a Workgroup Report for iGT088 and present to the next Modification Panel meeting.**

#### **8. iGT089 - Revision to the Modification Rules in Response to CGR3 – Self Governance**

The Chair noted that the Modification Panel meeting in August 2016 had first considered the Modification Proposal for iGT089 and agreed to send the change for development at one meeting of the Modification Workstream. The Modification sought to implement changes required to the iGT UNC in order to comply with the CGR3 final proposals for self-governance modifications that were issued by the Authority – specifically when deciding whether a change met the criteria for self-governance.

The Work Group agreed that the solution was complete and fit for purpose, and that the rationale for change was valid. The Work Group concurred that the Relevant Objective F) was better facilitated, as identified in the Modification Proposal. The Work Group concurred that the legal text achieved the aims of the solution.

With respect to self-governance, the Work Group agreed that the Modification met the criteria for self-governance, as the impacts on the Modification Procedure were not deemed to be material.

The Work Group agreed that the development activity for iGT089 was complete, and that a Workgroup Report should be published ahead of the next Modification Panel meeting.

**Action 16/09-04: Code Administrator to publish a Workgroup Report for iGT089 and present to the next Modification Panel meeting.**

#### **9. RG001 - FGO review implications for the iGT UNC**

The Chair noted that there was no further update to be provided under RG001 at the present time.

#### **10. RG002 – Code Governance Review 3 (CGR3) Changes**

PR advised that the Joint Office had drafted materiality guidance for defining where a Modification met the criteria for self-governance. The guidance had been proposed to the cross-industry group of Code Administrators, to comment on whether the guidance was suitable for adoption across the industry. Attendees noted that they believed the Joint Office guidance was suitable for application within the iGT UNC.

PR noted that the Modification Panel had agreed the new Modification Proposal template, to be adopted for all new Modifications raised from immediate effect.

## **11. Automating the Procedure for iGT078 and iGT079**

KD queried whether there was scope to automate the sending of the files defined for iGT078 and iGT079 by adopting IX or similar, rather than the email format currently agreed within the Ancillary Document. PO considered that, as IX was currently utilised for iGT to Shipper traffic (by way of the SMU files), there should be no additional cost for adding a new allowable file type; PO would confirm following the meeting.

**Action 16/09-05: PO to confirm ahead of the next meeting whether there would be additional cost for using IX to transmit Project Summary Report/Notification files.**

MH considered that if a change were to involve further system changes, there may be no current capacity to change before the iGT078/iGT079 implementation date of February 2017. However, it was considered that any changes could be deferred to a date after the Project Nexus Implementation Date.

KD agreed to circulate questions requesting feedback in relation to the issue, with parties to respond by 22nd September 2016.

**Action 16/09-06: KD to circulate questions seeking feedback with respect to automating the process for sending and receiving Project Summary Reports, with parties to provide feedback before 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2016.**

## **12. SSP AQ Review Process – Impact of PNID change**

PO noted that, as a result of the delay to the Project Nexus Implementation Date (PNID), Xoserve had carried out an impact assessment on the impact to associate activities, to understand mitigating measures that were required. PO emphasised that specific dates – for example around the non-effective window and the AQ review process – could not be confirmed until the revised date for PNID had been announced.

Specific to the AQ review process post-implementation of Single Service Provision, PO understood that the impact of PNID change on the iGT AQ review process had yet to be considered. However, PO did note that a full UNC AQ review in 2016/17 is not currently intended to take place. Xoserve had explained that certain activities (e.g. AQ Trial Calc., AQ Appeals and Amendments) can continue to take place until dates advised in the impact assessment document.

**Action 16/09-07: PO to raise at the next UNC Distribution Workgroup that the iGT AQ Review process should form part of the PNID change impact assessment.**

## **13. iGT075 Legal Text drafting**

The Chair noted that the Modification Panel in August 2016 had requested that the Pipeline Operators should draft legal text for iGT075 – “Identification of Supply Meter Point pressure tier” before the

Modification proceeded to consultation as a Draft Modification Report. Attendees considered that the legal text as drafted was fit for purpose.

#### **14. Known Issues Register**

There was one new risk to add to the issues register – see Item 12, consequences of the PNID change on iGT AQ Review.

**Action MWS 16/09-08: Code Administrator to update the known issues register on the iGT UNC website.**

#### **15. Any Other Business**

NR noted that she would be shortly raising a new Modification Proposal to mirror UNC0593 – “Provision of access to data for Price Comparison Websites and Third Party Intermediaries”.

NR further noted that a review had closed into a taskforce document underpinning a review of the iGT RGMA baseline document. It was likely that the guidance document hosted on the iGT UNC document (but sitting outside of iGT UNC governance) would be updated in the coming weeks.

There were no further items for discussion and the Chair closed the meeting.

**The next iGT UNC Modification Workstream Meeting is on 4<sup>th</sup> October 2016.**

## Appendix 1

MWS 16/07-04	5 <sup>th</sup> July 2016	Paul Orsler (Xoserve) to confirm whether a decision had been made regarding the AQ review process for 2017 (given delay in Project Nexus).	Xoserve	Closed. Agenda item 12.
MWS 16/07-14	5 <sup>th</sup> July 2016	Paul Orsler (Xoserve) to update the next Work Group on the Gas Transporter approach in relation to the non-effective days in the lead up to Project Nexus's implementation, which will form the basis of the respective iGT UNC Modification.	Xoserve	Carried forward. Full update at October meeting providing new PNID confirmed.
MWS 16/07-15	5 <sup>th</sup> July 2016	Gemserv to determine where there are any discrepancies between the UNC and iGT UNC codes following the deferral of Project Nexus's Implementation Date and consequential changes to the iGT039 Modification and legal text.	Gemserv	Queries on UNC legal text raised to Shiv Singh (National Grid), likely some minor amendments required to the iGT UNC.
MWS 16/07-16	5 <sup>th</sup> July 2016	SSE Energy Supply to circulate questions relating to the project summary report for <a href="#">iGT078 - Ancillary Document for the New Connections Process</a> and for Work Group members to provide their feedback at the August 2016 Work Group meeting.	SSE Energy Supply	Mark Jones to provide full update at October meeting with a view to moving issues forward.
MWS 16/08-01	2 <sup>nd</sup> August 2016	Code Administrator to draft the Workgroup Report for iGT071 and add to the agenda for the Modification Panel meeting in August 2016.	Gemserv	Closed
MWS 16/08-02	2 <sup>nd</sup> August 2016	npower (as Proposer of iGT071) to submit to the Code Administrator a revised Modification Proposal for consideration at the Modification Panel meeting in August 2016.	Npower	Closed

MWS 16/08-03	2 <sup>nd</sup> August 2016	Code Administrator to draft the Workgroup Report for iGT075 and add to the agenda for the Modification Panel meeting in August 2016.	Gemserv	Closed
MWS 16/08-04	2 <sup>nd</sup> August 2016	Code Administrator to update the known issues register on the iGT UNC website.	Gemserv	Closed
MWS 16/08-05	2 <sup>nd</sup> August 2016	KD to circulate a clarification note highlighting potential issues with changes to the Standards of Service query reporting process post-Project Nexus implementation.	E.ON	Closed
<b>MWS 16/09-01</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> September 2016</b>	<b>Code Administrator to investigate solutions and recommend to the Modification Panel a proposal for adopting screen sharing functionality at future meetings of the Modification Workstream.</b>	<b>Gemserv</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS 16/09-02</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> September 2016</b>	<b>Code Administrator to publish a Workgroup Report for iGT087 and present to the next Modification Panel meeting.</b>	<b>Gemserv</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS 16/09-03</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> September 2016</b>	<b>Code Administrator to publish a Workgroup Report for iGT088 and present to the next Modification Panel meeting.</b>	<b>Gemserv</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS 16/09-04</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> September 2016</b>	<b>Code Administrator to publish a Workgroup Report for iGT089 and present to the next Modification Panel meeting.</b>	<b>Gemserv</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS 16/09-05</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> September 2016</b>	<b>PO to confirm ahead of the next meeting whether there would be additional cost for using IX to transmit Project Summary Report/Notification files.</b>	<b>Xoserve</b>	<b>New</b>

<b>MWS 16/09-06</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> September 2016</b>	<b>KD to circulate questions seeking feedback with respect to automating the process for sending and receiving Project Summary Reports, with parties to provide feedback before 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2016.</b>	<b>E.ON UK</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS 16/09-07</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> September 2016</b>	<b>PO to raise at the next UNC Distribution Workgroup that the iGT AQ Review process should form part of the PNID change impact assessment.</b>	<b>Xoserve</b>	<b>New</b>
<b>MWS 16/09-08</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> September 2016</b>	<b>Code Administrator to update the known issues register on the iGT UNC website.</b>	<b>Gemserv</b>	<b>New</b>