

**Consultation Response****iGT100:** Reinstating Asset Query Codes

Responses invited by: 11 AUG 2017

**Respondent Details**

Name: Nicky Rozier

Organisation: BU-UK

Support Implementation ☐Qualified Support ☒Neutral ☐Do Not Support ☐

## **Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition**

BUUK support the reinstatement of the specified meter query types where the iGT also acts as a MAM. We recognise the purpose of iGT073S was to remove query codes which were redundant following implementation of Project Nexus.

It has now been identified these meter query codes are required to enable Shippers to communicate with iGTs as MAMs when raising asset related queries.

Qualified support has been given due to the address query reference within the objective section. If the modification is approved iGTs may be required to process address queries via the Standards of Service procedure. Under the new arrangements with the CDSP the address queries are captured within the CMS therefore this would add duplication of work as two mechanisms for raising address queries would be utilised.

**Self-Governance Statement**

**Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?**

BUUK agree this modification meets the criteria of self-governance

**Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered**

N/A

**Relevant Objectives**

**How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?**

We agree there is a positive impact on Objective F as this facilitates a standardised process being brought back into code. We believe by removing the address query code from the modification the justification of how it meets the relevant objective should be amended. The current wording indicates iGTs would still receive address queries.

**Impacts and Costs**

**What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?**

There are no anticipated costs

**Implementation**

**What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?**

We do not see any reason why this modification cannot be implemented within the next code release.

**Legal Text**

**Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?**

The legal text is fit for purpose.

**Further Comments**

**Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?**

**Responses should be submitted by email to [IGTUNC@gemserv.com](mailto:IGTUNC@gemserv.com)**