

Stage 03: Draft Modification Report

iGT084:

Clarification on iGT RPC Invoice Template

At what stage is this document in the process?

- 01 | Modification Proposal
- 02 Workgroup Report
- Draft Modification Report
- Final Modification Report

This modification seeks to update and clarify the iGT RPC invoice template, raised as part of iGT076



Responses invited by 8th July 2016



High Impact:



Medium Impact:



Low Impact:

Shippers

iGT084

Draft Modification Report

16th June 2016

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 7

Contents

1.	Plain English Summary	3
2.	Rationale for Change?	3
3.	Solution	3
4.	Relevant Objectives	4
5.	Impacts and Costs	5
6.	Likely Impact on Consumers	5
7.	Likely Impact on Environment	5
8.	Implementation	5
9.	Legal Text	6
10.	Recommendation	7



Any questions?

Contact:
Code Administrator



igtunc@gemserv.com



0207 090 1044

Proposer: **Kish Nundloll**



kishann@espipelines. com

Workgroup Chair:

Code Administrator

About this document:

This document is a Draft Modification Report, which has been issued for a 16 Working Day consultation, at the request of the Panel on 15th June 2016. The close-out date for responses is 8th July 2016.

The Panel will consider the consultation responses at their next meeting on the 20th July 2016, and agree whether or not this Modification should be Self-Governance and whether it should be implemented.

iGT084

Draft Modification Report

16th June 2016

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 7

1. Plain English Summary

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

This will be a self-governance modification.

If so, will this be progressed as a Fast Track Modification?

This will not be a Fast Track modification.

Rationale for Change

This change seeks to provide clarity in regards to the data to be populated within the "Current Supply Point AQ in kWh" field within the iGT RPC Invoice Template document.

Solution

It is proposed that within the iGT RPC Invoice Template, the field name "Current Supply Point AQ kWh" remains, and going forward we propose to amend the comment to "Formula Year Annual Quantity".

Relevant Objectives

The proposer believes this Modification better facilitates:

Objective F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code, as this change will improve governance arrangement and therefore improve administration of the Code.

Implementation

This change will be aligned with Project Nexus/Single Service Provider (SSP) go live, and in tandem with *iGT076 - Amendment of RPC format in line with Single Service Provision*.

2. Rationale for Change?

From SSP go live a supply point is allocated two live AQ values.

These are the Supply Meter Point AQ (Rolling AQ) and "Formula Year Annual Quantity". The latter is also referred to as the "Billing AQ" so the proposer believes that it is this value that should be populated within the iGT RPC Invoice Template.

The Workgroup agreed that the Rationale for Change was appropriate and complete.

3. Solution

It is proposed that within the iGT RPC Invoice Template, the field name and population of "Current Supply Point AQ kWh" remains, and going forward we propose to amend the comment to "Formula Year Annual Quantity". This field must be populated with the "Formula Year Annual Quantity".

iGT084

Draft Modification Report

16th June 2016

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 7

Following review of this Modification Proposal on the 7th of June, the Modification Work Group the Workgroup agreed that "Formula Year Annual Quantity" was the correct field that should be used and the Proposer amended the Modification to this effect.

The Work Group further agreed that an issue remains regarding the definition of "Formula Year AQ", as the current "Formula Year AQ" definition in iGT039 references the UNC, rather than the iGT UNC and the Formula Year for iGTs is different. The Work Group agreed not to address this issue in this Modification and to raise it separately.

4. Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:					
Re	evant Objective	Identified impact			
a)	Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None			
b)	Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None			
c)	Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None			
d)	Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None			
e)	Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None			
f)	Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive			
g)	Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None			

The proposal was reviewed by the Workgroup at its meeting on the 7th June 2016. Minor changes were suggested to the Modification which were agreed by the Proposer who has submitted an amended Modification Proposal.

The Workgroup considers that this Modification would facilitate:

iGT084

Draft Modification Report

16th June 2016

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 7

Objective F

As this modifications sole purpose is to update and inform all parties of clear direction regarding the iGT RPC invoice template, it satisfies the criteria set out above, with specific reference to 'efficiency'.

5. Impacts and Costs

The Workgroup considers that there are no costs envisaged for any party.

6. Likely Impact on Consumers

The Workgroup considers that there are no impacts on consumers.

7. Likely Impact on Environment

None anticipated.

8. Implementation

As stated above, this Modification will need to be implemented at Project NEXUS Implementation Date, currently scheduled for October 1st 2016, and in tandem with iGT076.

The Workgroup support this proposed implementation.

iGT084

Draft Modification Report

16th June 2016

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 7



9. Legal Text

The legal text is a follows:

			follows		l	-
Field No.	Option	Field	Length	Decimal	Description	Comment
					Invoice Header	SPAA Formatted Short Codes for IGT and Shipper
Header	M	С	24	0		date in format DD/MM/YYYY and Invoice number -
						format Character ccccccccc
Factor		С	40	2	Invoice Footer	Number of records and invoice Value, thousands to
Footer	м		18	4		be delimited by comma
					Charge Type	This field can be used to apply an indication for east
					and ge 1/pe	recognition of RPC or Legacy charges and
1	M	Т	3	0		adjustment charges. B10 - Legacy/B11 Leagcy
						Adjustment. B12 RPC/B13RPC Adjustment
2	М	Т	15		CSEP Number	IGT's unique numbers as used by xoserve
3	M	N	10		MPRN	Meter Point Reference No.
4	M	D	10		Start Date (for charges in month)	Date Format DD/MM/YYYY
5	M	D	10	0	End Date (for charges in month)	Date Format DD/MM/YYYY
6	M	N	3		Billing Days in Invoice Period	Number of billing days in the period
7	М	Т	3		Exit Zone	Exit Zone or Local Distribution Zone if available
				Ť	Property Type	Property type as per NExA table at entry point to
8	M	Т	3	0	Property Type	
					0005 - 01-0	RPC. Commercial Supply Points populate "COM".
9	м	D	10	l o	RPC Entry Point Date	Connection date of live service to a premise
						formatted DD/MM/YYYY
10	M	Т	12	0	EUC	End User Category
			4.2		AQ agreed by Authority at time of entry	AQ is accordance with NExA table at time of RPC
11	м	N	12	l o	to RPC (kWh)	Entry
					SOQ agreed at time of RPC entry	SOQ derived from CSEP NEXA AQ at time of RPC
12	M	N	10	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Entry
13	м	N	12	_	Current Supply Boint AO in MAth	Formula Year Annual Quantity
13	IVI	- 14	12	- 0	Current Supply Point AQ in kWh	
14	С	т	12	о	xoserve Logical Meter number (where	Logical Meter number assigned by xoserve
					known)	
					xoserve Nominated Maximum CSEP AQ	Maximum AQ Value held be xoserve taking into
					(Provided by Lead IGT with Nest)	account the aggregated sum of all nested CSEPs.
	٠					If IGT is Nesting - value is 0
15	М	N	12	0		If IGT is Lead - aggregated AQ value
						If no nest exists - value is IGT CSEP Maximum AQ in
						kWh
					ICT CCCD Mandaman AO In IAMb	
16	M	N	12	о	IGT CSEP Maximum AQ in kWh	IGT CSEP Maximum AQ in kWh as quoted in
						Schedule 1
17	м	N	12	۰ ا	IGT CSEP billing AQ	AQ used to derive transportation rate at time of RPC
.,						Entry
40		N	12		IGT CSEP billing SOQ	SOQ used to derive transportation rate at time of
18	м	IN.	12	ľ		RPC Entry
					Shipper CSEP AQ after AQ Review	Aggregated CSEPs AQ valueby LMN under shipper
19	м	N	12	ا ا	(CSEPs)	portfolio after AQ review as of the 1st of each
19			12	ľ	(CSEPS)	
					****	month. (D01 file value)
20	С	т	16	l o	MSN	Should be populated if IGT is Gas Act Owner of
						meter
					RPC Infill Uplift charge applicable	Uplift maximum should not be greater than
21	C	N	20	4	pence per kWh/pence per day	10/ptherm or 0.3412p/kWh at time of RPC, plus RPI
					Meter Operator Charges - pence per	Meter charge per pence per day rate
22	С	N	20	4	day	Trees charge per perice per day race
23	С	N	20	-	LSP customer corrector charge	Meter asset corrector charge p/day
23		IN	20	- 4		
					Meter Type	CM - Coin Meter; CR Credit; ET - Electronic Token
	С	т	2	o		Meter; MT - Mechanical Token Meter; PP -
24						Prepayment; TH - Thrift; U - Unknown; NS - Non-
24						SMETS; S1 - SMETS1; S2 - SMETS2; S3 - SMETS3; S4 -
						SMETS4; S5 - SMETS5; S6 - SMETS6; S7 - SMETS7; S8
						SMETS8; S9 - SMETS9.
					Transportation Charge for Billiag Berlad	Should exclude RPC uplift Cost. Column 21 and
25	M	N	20	4		·
					£	meter charge column 22.
	м	N	20	4	Transportation Rate at time of RPC	Transportation rate before annual adjustment
26					a natural	I
26	IVI				entry	
26 27	M	N		2	Total Charge for Billing Period	Exclusive of VAT

eport

16th June 2016

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 7

10.

Recommendation

All parties are invited to consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this Modification. The close-out date for responses is 8th July 2016. All responses should be sent to the Code Administrator at igtunc@gemserv.com.

When responding, parties are particularly asked to consider whether this Modification is suitable to be progressed under the Self-Governance process and whether they support it's proposed implementation date.

A response template is available for use at http://www.igt-unc.co.uk/Modifications.

iGT084

Draft Modification Report

16th June 2016

Version 1.0

Page 7 of 7