

Consultation Response

iGT079S - Adding Commercial New Connections Framework Ancillary Document

Responses invited by: 08.03.2016

Respondent Details

Name: Kish Nundloll

Organisation: ESP

Support Implementation

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

ESP is happy with the purpose of this modification and accepts that the proposer has made every effort to incorporate views from all parties.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

ESP believes that this does meet the self-governance criteria. There is no material impact as such.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

ESP believes that all parties are aware of the correct iGT Commercial New Connections process, and that a new Ancillary Document to the iGT UNC, such as this will be beneficial to all parties.

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

ESP agree that the relevant objectives suggested by the proposer would be met through this modification , with particular emphasis on objective F.(Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code, as this change will improve governance arrangement and therefore improve administration of the Code.)

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

N/A

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

ESP are mindful that implementation has been discussed and aligned with SSP go live, but in order for iGTs to all fully adhere to the requirements and have a consistent and fair approach, ESP are keen to allow sufficient time for all parties to confidently make required changes and not cause unnecessary delay to users. ESP also believes the two (SSP and Mod) are not dependant on one another. ESP therefore accept that Q1 2017/Feb 2017 be the suggested implementation date.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

The legal text is robust and clear and ESP believes it to be sufficient enough to benefit the modification.

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

While not a pre-requisite it would make system changes and cohesion better if this was aligned with iGT78 and the implementation date. Currently this is the same.

Responses should be submitted by email to iGTUNC@gemserv.com