

Stage 03: Draft Modification Report

iGT077S:

Amendment of Portfolio Extract format in line with Single Service Provision

At what stage is this document in the process?

- 01 Modification Proposal
- 02 Workgroup Report
- 03 Draft Modification Report
- 04 Final Modification Report

This modification seeks to amend the Portfolio Extract within iGT UNC Section G in line with the introduction of Single Service Provision.



Responses invited by 14 April 2015



High Impact:



Medium Impact:



Low Impact:
All iGT UNC Parties

Contents

1. Plain English Summary	3
2. Rationale for Change?	4
3. Solution.....	4
4. Relevant Objectives.....	6
5. Impacts and Costs	6
6. Likely Impact on Consumers	6
7. Likely Impact on Environment	7
8. Implementation	7
9. Legal Text.....	7
10. Recommendation	7

About this document:

This document is a Draft Modification Report, which was issued for consultation responses, at the request of the Panel on 20 March 2015. The close-out date for responses is 14 April 2015. The Panel will consider the responses and agree whether or not this modification should be made.



Any questions?

Contact:
Code Administrator



igt-unc@gemserv.com



0207 090 1044

Proposer:
Kirsty Dudley – E.ON



Kirsty.Dudley@eonenergy.com



07816 172 645

Workgroup Chair:
Code Administrator



igt-unc@gemserv.com



0207 090 1044

iGT077

Draft Modification Report

20 March 2015

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 7

© 2015 all rights reserved

1. Plain English Summary

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

The Mod Panel has agreed that this Modification should be subject to Self-Governance; there are no material impacts to consumers or competition, and the Modification is properly for administration purposes in connection with the wider implementation on iGT039.

If so, will this be progressed as a Fast Track Modification?

The Mod Panel has agreed that this Modification does not meet the criteria for Fast Track Self-Governance.

Rationale for Change

The introduction of Single Service Provision (SSP) will move processes previously provisioned under the iGT UNC into the UNC and will be conducted by Xoserve therefore the layout of the Portfolio Extract format requires amendments to be aligned with processes post SSP. For clarity, these edits will only become effective if and when iGT039 is implemented.

Solution

This modification seeks to amend the Portfolio Extract in Section G-2 to coincide with the introduction of Single Service Provision (iGT039) legal text amendments.

Relevant Objectives

The proposer and workgroup believe this modification better facilitates Objective (f) - Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Implementation

The modification should be implemented at the Project Nexus Go Live date, in conjunction with the delivery of the implementation of Single Service Provision (iGT039).

2. Rationale for Change?

As part of iGT039 working group it was highlighted that changes in processes following the introduction of Single Service Provision (SSP) could result in some of the current ancillary documents becoming redundant. In November 2014 the iGT Shipper Workgroup reviewed all ancillary documents within Section K-2.

Although the Portfolio Extract is directly in code (section G-2) and not an ancillary document it was deemed appropriate to make necessary amendments to the Portfolio to ensure it was also in line with SSP delivery e.g. Removal of Logical Meter Number (LMN) and the inclusion of CSEP ID.

The Workgroup agreed that the Rationale for Change was appropriate and complete.

3. Solution

Below are the amendments required to ensure the Portfolio Extract is aligned with the introduction of Single Service Provision; each amendment is the justification for the change.

Field	Field Name	Data Item Definition	Mandatory/ Optional/ Conditional	Domain T = Text, N = Numeric, D = Date	Field Length	Decimal	Justification
5	ATC-Ref (LMN)		€	ƒ	10	0	Remove this field as no longer required post SSP
5	CSEP ID	Xoserve unique reference for the MPR	M	T	12	0	Inclusion of new CSEP ID
32	IGT-CSEP Maximum Total AQ in kWh	The AQ which is used to charge us on the CSEP invoice (individual downstream charge)	€	N	12	0	Remove this field as this isn't required post SSP Renummer fields 33-60 following the removal of field 32

Field	Field Name	Data Item Definition	Mandatory/ Optional/ Conditional	Domain T = Text, N = Numeric, D = Date	Field Length	Decimal	Justification
58 59	Last Valid Actual Meter Reading	Last Actual Meter Reading accepted by the Pipeline Operator	C	N	12	0	Housekeeping edit - Remove space before 'characters'

Following consideration of the Modification and discussion around any alternative solutions, the Workgroup agreed that the solution fully achieved the purpose of the Modification and proposed no further changes.

4. Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

The Workgroup agreed that this Modification would facilitate:

Objective (f)

The introduction of iGT039 will introduce a Single Service Provision will move some processes into UNC from the iGT UNC and therefore these updates are required to ensure that Portfolio Extracts are compatible and aligned with the new SSP processes.

5. Impacts and Costs

The Workgroup considered that there would be costs for Pipeline Operators to amend systems in order to create the necessary files, and costs for Pipeline Users to amend systems in order to load the amended files should they elect to process the files.

6. Likely Impact on Consumers

The Workgroup considered that there were no likely impacts on consumers.

iGT077

Draft Modification Report

20 March 2015

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 7

© 2015 all rights reserved

7. Likely Impact on Environment

The Workgroup considered that there was no likely environmental impact.

8. Implementation

The Workgroup agreed that implementation of iGT077 must be aligned with the Project Nexus Go Live Date (currently 1st October 2015, subject to amendment), with the new portfolio template to be utilised from the month following Project Nexus Go Live Date.

The Workgroup recognised that system changes would be necessitated; iGT UNC Parties would be asked to provide an indication of necessary implementation lead time during the consultation process of iGT077.

9. Legal Text

[Proposed Amendments](#)

The Workgroup agreed that the legal text was appropriate and fully met the requirements of the solution.

10. Recommendation

All parties are invited to consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this Modification. The close-out date for responses is 14 March 2015. All responses should be sent to the Code Administrator at igt-unc@gemserv.com.

Respondents are particularly requested to provide an indication of the lead time necessitated for the implementation of this change.

A response template is available for use at <http://www.igt-unc.co.uk/Modifications>.