

Stage 01: Modification Proposal

iGT072:

iGT Single Service Provision, non-effective Days for cutover

This modification creates six non-effective Days from 25th September 2015 to 30th September 2015 inclusive, to enable data preparation and cutover to the arrangements created by modification 039 - Use of a single Gas Transporter agency for the common services and systems and processes required by the iGT UNC



The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:

- assessed by a workgroup



High Impact:
Shippers and suppliers



Medium Impact:
iGTs, gas consumers



Low Impact:
Insert name(s) of impact

At what stage is this document in the process?

01

Modification Proposal

02

Workgroup Report

03

Draft Modification Report

04

Final Modification Report

Contents

1. Plain English Summary	3
2. Rationale for Change.....	4
3. Solution.....	4
4. Relevant Objectives	6
5. Impacts and Costs	6
6. Likely Impact on Consumers.....	7
7. Likely Impact on Environment.....	7
8. Implementation	7
9. Legal Text	7
10. Recommendation	8
11. Appendix 1 timeline chart.....	8

About this document:

This modification will be presented by the proposer to the panel on xx xxx 20xx.

The panel will consider the proposer's recommendation, and agree whether this modification should be subject to self-governance; and whether it should be issued for consultation or be referred to a workgroup for assessment.

Guidance on the use of this Template:

This is an iGT UNC Modification Proposal template that the Proposer is asked to complete. All parts other than the Solution (which is "owned" by the Proposer) will be refined by the workgroup process. A separate checklist is also available to help identify impacts that, if material, should be recorded in this template.

The iGT UNC Representative is available to help and support the drafting of any Modification Proposals, including guidance on completion of this template and the wider modification process. Contact igt-unc@gemserv.co.uk or 0207 090 1044.



Any questions?

Contact:
Code Administrator



igt-unc@gemserv.com



0207 090 1044

Proposer:
Gethyn Howard



Gethyn.howard@BU-UK.co.uk



01359 245754

Workgroup Chair:
Insert name



email address



telephone

Additional contacts:
Insert name



email address



telephone

iGT072

Modification Proposal

09 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 8

© 2015 all rights reserved

1. Plain English Summary

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

For the non-effective period created by this modification there will be a material impact on commercial activities connected with the shipping and transportation of gas and it is therefore considered not to meet the Self-Governance criteria (bb) detailed below.

Self-Governance criteria

The modification:

(i) is unlikely to have a material effect on:

(aa) existing or future gas consumers; and

(bb) competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes; and

(cc) the operation of one or more pipe-line system(s); and

(dd) matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies; and

(ee) the uniform network code governance procedures or the network code modification procedures; and

(ii) is unlikely to discriminate between different classes of parties to the uniform network code/relevant gas transporters, gas shippers or DN operators.

If so, will this be progressed as a Fast Track Modification?

This modification does not meet the Self-Governance procedures as a result it is not a Fast Track Modification.

Rationale for Change

To cutover to the iGT agency services model created by modification 039 - Use of a single Gas Transporter agency for the common services and systems and processes required by the iGT UNC, a period of time is required to prepare and migrate data. This requires a number of non-effective days during which files to update and maintain the Supply Point Register and for supply point administration activities cannot be submitted.

Solution

The solution is to create six days as Non-Effective Days (see definition later) as days on which certain transactions may not be made. The six days are September 25th 2015 to September 30th 2015.

Relevant Objectives

This modification supports Objective C, "Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations", in that it will create the arrangements to implement modification 039.

There are no implementation costs to Gas Transporters, it is expected that Shippers may incur costs of managing processes differently for the non-effective period.

Implementation

There are no implementation costs associated with the modification.

This modification can be implemented immediately following Ofgem approval.

2. Rationale for Change

Set out in plain English why the modification of the code is proposed – i.e. what is the defect in the existing code that has been identified and needs to be rectified. This may be either an issue with an existing code provision or an issue on which the iGT UNC is silent but should not be.

To cutover to the iGT agency services model created by modification 039 - Use of a single Gas Transporter agency for the common services and systems and processes required by the iGT UNC, a period of time is required to prepare and migrate data. This requires a number of non-effective days during which files to update and maintain the Supply Point Register and for supply point administration activities cannot be submitted.

iGT modification 039 and Large Transporter modification 0440 Project Nexus iGT Single Service Provision, create the arrangements for a single system to administer independent Gas Transporter (iGT) and Large Transporter supply points, providing a single interface for Shippers regardless of gas transporter type. Xoserve (as the iGT agency role) will migrate the data from each iGT Supply Point Register to the common Supply Point Register and will implement associated file formats to enable Shippers to transact through Xoserve to each iGT Supply Point Register. The scope of the iGT Agency role is set out in modification 039 and is not covered here.

In order to migrate the data in each iGT Supply Point Register to the Agency in order for the Agency to manage the common Supply Point Register for iGTs and Large Transporters, there has to come a point in time at which no further revisions to the current iGT Supply Point Register can be made. Time then needs to be allowed for iGTs to submit final data to Xoserve. Xoserve then require a certain amount of time to process the final data from iGTs, to prepare the data for migration to the UK Link formats and then to migrate the data and test that migration has been successful. This period is in total, six days.

Modification 039 and 0440 are due to be implemented on 1st October 2015. From this point onwards Shippers will be transact through Xoserve for supply point register and supply point administration activities.

3. Solution

Set out in detail the iGT UNC changes that are proposed – what, not why. This section is "owned" by the proposer and will not be altered by the workgroup and so should set out the change you, as proposer, wish to see made – which you can amend later to take into account issues raised by a workgroup. This is also the section that will be used to draft the legal text that changes the iGT UNC. It should therefore be in sufficient detail to act as legal instructions and support the drafting of text. In general, the provision of business rules is recommended.

The solution is to create six Non-Effective Days, the 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 September 2015.

For the purposes of this modification a Non-Effective Day is a day on which Code Communications and Conventional Notice are not permitted for the following sections of the iGT UNC:

C I sections:

- 2.7
- 5.4 [note, please double check this and its implications, it is the Supply Point Systems Business Day definition]
- 8.1
- 8.2
- 8.4
- 8.5

iGT072

Modification Proposal

09 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 8

© 2015 all rights reserved

- 9.5
- 10 (all sections)
- 11 (all sections)
- 12 (all sections)
- 13 (all sections)
- 14 (all sections)
- 15.1
- 15.2
- 15.3
- 15.4
- 15.5
- 15.6
- 15.8
- 15.9
- 16.2
- 17 (all sections)
- 19 (all sections)

C II sections

- 1.2
- 1.5
- 1.6
- 1.7
- 3.2
- 5.3
- 5.4
- 6.5

C IV

- 1 (all sections)
- 2.4.2
- 3 (all sections)
- 5 (all sections)

C V

- 2.5
- 2.7
- 2.8

D

- 5.1
- 7 (all sections)

E

- 2 (all sections)
- 3 (all sections)
- 4 (all sections)
- 6 (all sections)
- 7 (all sections)
- 8 (all sections)

Note, the intention of this modification is to not permit communications on the six Non-Effective Days. A supply point confirmation may still be effective on any of these six Non-Effective Days.

A chart in appendix 1 aims to illustrate the requirements.

iGT072

Modification Proposal

09 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 8

© 2015 all rights reserved

4. Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	Positive
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	None
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

The following paragraphs should explain in detail and plain English **how** each of the impacts identified above would arise and **how** this impacts the relevant objective identified.

The Workgroup consider that this Modification would facilitate:

Objective c)

This modification facilitates the implementation of modification 039.

5. Impacts and Costs

This section should list the industry impacts and costs associated with the implementation of this Modification, as identified by the Workgroup. Potential areas impacted include Transporter and Shipper systems and processes, Code Administration and impact on the Code itself. Further guidance on completing this section is available in the Modification Checklist.

There are no costs to the Gas Transporters as a result of this modification.

There are impacts for Shippers in that they will have to change processes to avoid sending files to Gas Transporters to allow for the cut over to Agency Service arrangements.

iGT072

Modification Proposal

09 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 8

© 2015 all rights reserved

6. Likely Impact on Consumers

The minimum change of supplier timescales created by modification 059 will be up to six days longer as a result of this modification.

7. Likely Impact on Environment

Please detail any anticipated impacts of the proposed Modification on the environment (e.g. increased carbon emissions).

None

8. Implementation

Provide any views you have on the anticipated impact of the proposed Modification on all parties (such as Transporters, Shippers, central systems, customers) in terms of the costs and benefits of a range of implementation options where appropriate.

If a suggested implementation date is not provided and the decision is to accept the modification, then the Transporters will set the implementation date.

This modification can be implemented immediately following Ofgem approval.

9. Legal Text

While the Proposer is welcome to put forward suggested legal text, text will be provided by the Transporters when requested by the Modification Panel.

Insert text here

10. Recommendation

If it is recommended that the modification is issued directly to consultation, the Proposer should provide a justification. If workgroup assessment is recommended, the proposer may outline a recommended timetable and indicate any particular areas that a workgroup is asked to consider.

The Proposer invites the Panel to:

- Determine that this modification should progress to Workgroup assessment.

11. Appendix 1 timeline chart

