

Stage 01: Modification Proposal

iGT071:

Updating the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document

At what stage is this document in the process?

01	Modification Proposal
02	Workgroup Report
03	Draft Modification Report
04	Final Modification Report

This Modification seeks to amend the Ancillary Document for the iGT AQ Review Procedure to align with new processes expected to be introduced under Single Service Provision.

The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:



- subject to self-governance
- sent to consultation



High Impact:
N/A



Medium Impact:
N/A



Low Impact:
All iGT UNC Parties

Contents

- 1. Plain English Summary..... 3
- 2. Rationale for Change 4
- 3. Solution 4
- 4. Relevant Objectives 4
- 5. Impacts and Costs..... 5
- 6. Likely Impact on Consumers 5
- 7. Likely Impact on Environment 5
- 8. Implementation..... 5
- 9. Legal Text..... 5
- 10. Recommendation 6

About this document:

This modification will be presented by the proposer to the panel on 21st January 2015.

The panel will consider the proposer’s recommendation, and agree whether this modification should be subject to self-governance; and whether it should be issued for consultation or be referred to a workgroup for assessment.

Guidance on the use of this Template:

This is an iGT UNC Modification Proposal template that the Proposer is asked to complete. All parts other than the Solution (which is "owned" by the Proposer) will be refined by the workgroup process. A separate checklist is also available to help identify impacts that, if material, should be recorded in this template.

The iGT UNC Representative is available to help and support the drafting of any Modification Proposals, including guidance on completion of this template and the wider modification process. Contact igt-unc@gemserv.co.uk or 0207 090 1044.


Any questions?
Contact: Code Administrator

igt-unc@gemserv.com

0207 090 1044
Proposer: Kiran Samra

Kirandeep.samra@npower.com

Telephone 07917037401
Workgroup Chair: Insert name

email address

telephone
Additional contacts: Insert name N/A

email address N/A

Telephone N/A

1. Plain English Summary

The following **summary** should be completed in **plain English**, and should be as **brief** as possible. A more detailed exposition should be provided in the following sections.

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

As an Ancillary Document to the iGT UNC, the Proposer believes that this proposal should be managed as a self governance modification, and does not require authority consent.

If so, will this be progressed as a Fast Track Modification?

Not applicable because the delivery of this modification is in conjunction with iGT039 implementation.

Rationale for Change

As a result of iGT039 - Single Service Provision, all Ancillary Documents are being reviewed and sections amended to reflect the legal text that has been prepared to support the implementation of Modification Proposal iGT039. As such sections of the 'IGT AQ Review Procedures Document' need to be reviewed and assessed as to what needs to stay and what needs to be removed.

Solution

The solution is to remove most sections in the 'iGT AQ Review Procedures Document', as these processes will be performed under the UNC rules. However Sections 9 part (e) (reporting > igt data collection) and Section 10 (annual updates to the AQ values within the CSEP NExA Table) shall be retained as these shall still fall under the scope of the iGT UNC.

Relevant Objectives

The proposer believes this modification better facilitates Objective (f) - Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Implementation

The modification should be implemented at the Project Nexus Go Live date to coincide with changes being applied to the UNC under Nexus Mods 432, 434 and Mods 440 and the iGT UNC under iGT039 (Single Service Provision).

2. Rationale for Change

As a result of iGT039 - Single Service Provision, all Ancillary Documents are being reviewed and sections amended to reflect the legal text that has been prepared to support the implementation of Modification Proposal iGT039. As such sections of the 'IGT AQ Review Procedures Document' need to be reviewed and assessed as to what needs to stay and what needs to be removed.

3. Solution

The solution is to remove most sections in the 'iGT AQ Review Procedures Document' as these processes will be performed under the UNC rules. However, Sections 9 part (e) (reporting > igt data collection) and Section 10 (annual updates to the AQ values within the CSEP NExA Table) shall be retained as these shall still fall under the scope of the iGT UNC.

4. Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive

g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

*The following paragraphs should explain in detail and plain English **how** each of the impacts identified above would arise and **how** this impacts the relevant objective identified.*

The Proposer considers that this Modification would facilitate:

Objective F

Objective F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Objective f has been met as it will create an alignment between the codes and a single point of reference. It will also ensure that there is no confusion regarding the manner in which AOs are reviewed under Single Service Provision.

5. Impacts and Costs

There are no costs associated with this change

6. Likely Impact on Consumers

None identified

7. Likely Impact on Environment

None identified

8. Implementation

The modification should be implemented at the Project Nexus Go Live date to coincide with changes being applied to the UNC under Nexus Mods 432, 434 and Mods 440 and the iGT UNC under iGT039.

9. Legal Text

While the Proposer is welcome to put forward suggested legal text, text will be provided by the Transporters when requested by the Modification Panel.

n/a

iGT071

Modification Proposal

09 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 6

© 2015 all rights reserved

10. Recommendation

If it is recommended that the modification is issued directly to consultation, the Proposer should provide a justification. If workgroup assessment is recommended, the proposer may outline a recommended timetable and indicate any particular areas that a workgroup is asked to consider.

The Proposer invites the Panel to:

- Determine that this modification should/should not be subject to self-governance;
- Determine that (where self-governance is recommended) this modification should/should not be subject to a fast track procedure;
- Determine that this modification should progress to Workgroup assessment/Consultation.