

iGT UNC / iGT INC Modification Proposal

Date	26 th January 2012
Urgency	Non-urgent
Reference	iGT046
Status	For Development
Title	Revision to the Modification rules
Proposer	Colette Baldwin - E.ON
iGT UNC / Pipeline Operator Confirm whether the Modification Proposal is to the iGT UNC or an iGT's Individual Network Code.	iGT UNC
Modification Proposal Dates	Circulation: 21/12/2012 Response: 16/01/2013 Circulation of DMR: 06/02/2013 Response to DMR: 27/02/2013 DFMR published: 20/03/2013 DFMR considered at Panel: 17/04/2013 FMR sent to authority: dd/mm/yyyy Circulate Authority's determination: dd/mm/yyyy Suggested Implementation date: dd/mm/yyyy

Urgency

Not Urgent

Background

Modifications raised under the UNC and also under the IGT UNC will not conclude their assessment stages together and therefore will not be referred to the Authority for a decision at the same time. The consequences are that this could result in the implementation of modifications being either out of step with each under the different codes or the delay of the implementation in one code to align delivery timescales with the other where Pipeline Users systems are impacted.

The IGT UNC modification rules were based loosely around the UNC modification rules, but in the absence of a regular workgroup that could be used to develop and consult on modifications additional steps were included in the iGT UNC Modification Rules to allow for extra off-line review by Pipeline Operators and Users. The introduction of the Standing Workgroup now creates a regular workgroup where modifications can be referred for further development and so the additional consultation stage is no longer required.

The Proposal

The proposal seeks to remove the additional steps that are not a feature of the UNC Modification Rules to align the assessment of modifications that are raised at the same time under both codes.





iGT UNC / iGT INC Modification Proposal

How will the proposal operate?

The revision of the iGT UNC Modification rules to a common timeline with that of the large transporters.

Suggested timescale for implementation

As this is a document only change it will not affect modifications already raised and so it should be implemented two months from Authority Consent.

Section of the Code Concerned

Section L - Clauses 9 - 21

Facilitation of the relevant objectives

How this proposal will, if implemented, better facilitate the "code relevant objectives", as defined in Standard Condition 9 of the Gas Transporters Licence. For those answered Yes to, please provide a detailed explanation below the table.

Relevant Objective	Yes/No
a. the efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system to which	
this licence relates	
b. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the coordinated,	
efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system of one or more	
other relevant gas transporters	
c. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the efficient	No
discharge of the licensee's obligations under this licence	
d. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) the securing of	No
effective competition between relevant shippers and between relevant	
suppliers	
e. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (d), the provision of	No
reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the	
domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects	
the availability of gas to their domestic customers	
f. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of	Yes
efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code	
and/or the uniform network code referred to in paragraphs 2 and 5	
respectively of this condition	
g. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (f), the compliance	No
with the Regulation* and any relevant legally binding decisions of the	
European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy	
Regulators	

^{*} Regulation 2009/715/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 July 2009

Relevant Objectives to be better facilitated:

By aligning the iGT UNC modification rules with that of the Large Transporter's network code it will enable all gas transporters to deliver a common solution in a





iGT UNC / iGT INC Modification Proposal

common timeframe. This will make the implementation of any changes more efficient.

Likely impact on environment?

None

Implementation issues including impact on systems

None

Proposed Legal Text

Wherever possible, a proposal should contain proposed draft legal text to reflect how the Network Code would change if the proposal were implemented.

To be developed in the workgroup

Completed forms should be returned to the iGT UNC Representative, Gemserv Ltd at iGT-UNC@gemserv.com or faxed to 020 7090 1001

